arXiv:1604.06527v2 [hep-th] 8 Jul 2016

REFLECTION POSITIVITY AND INVERTIBLE TOPOLOGICAL PHASES

DANIEL S. FREED AND MICHAEL J. HOPKINS

Abstract. We implement an extended version of reflection positivity (Wick-rotated unitarity) for invertible topological quantum field theories and compute the abelian group of deformation classes using stable homotopy theory. This produces a general formula for the group of Symmetry Protected Topological (SPT) phases in terms of Thom's bordism spectra; the only input is the dimension and symmetry group. We provide computations for fermionic systems in physically relevant dimensions. Other topics include symmetry in quantum field theories, a relativistic 10-fold way, the homotopy theory of relativistic free fermions, and a topological spin-statistics theorem.

Contents

1. Introduction 2
2. Symmetry groups in relativistic quantum field theory 7
   2.1. Stabilization of Wick-rotated symmetry groups 7
   2.2. Curved manifolds and bordism categories with H_n-structure 13
3. Unitarity and Wick rotation 16
   3.1. Wick rotation in quantum mechanics 16
   3.2. Reflection positivity in Euclidean quantum field theory 18
   3.3. The extended symmetry group $\widehat{H}_n$ 19
4. Reflection symmetry on manifolds 22
   4.1. An involution on H_n-manifolds 22
   4.2. Duals and opposites 23
   4.3. Reflection structures and positivity 24
   4.4. Doubles 25
5. Invertible topological field theories and stable homotopy theory 27
   5.1. Extended field theories 27
   5.2. Invertible topological field theories 28
   5.3. Universal targets 31
   5.4. Remarks on non-topological invertible theories and low energy approximations 32
6. Equivariant stable homotopy theory 33
7. Reflection structures and stability 40
   7.1. Madsen-Tillmann and Thom spectra 40
   7.2. Positivity and stability 42
   7.3. H-type theories 47
8. Positivity in extended invertible topological theories 48
   8.1. Categorical reformulation of positive definite hermitian forms 48
   8.2. Positivity on spectra and reflection positive invertible field theories 49
9. Fermionic theories with scalar internal symmetry group 54

Date: July 7, 2016.

This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant Numbers DMS-1207817, DMS-1160461, DMS-1510417, and DMS-1158983. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation. We thank the Aspen Center for Physics for hosting our very productive working group. The first author also thanks the Institute for Advanced Study through the Wolfensohn Fund for providing a stimulating environment for parts of this work.

9.1. Symmetry groups of fermionic systems 55
9.2. Free fermions and twisted Dirac operators 57
9.2.1. A relativistic 10-fold way 57
9.2.2. Twisted Atiyah-Bott-Shapiro map 60
9.2.3. Twisted Dirac operators 61
9.2.4. Free fermion theories on Minkowski spacetime M^{n-1} 62
9.2.5. The anomaly theory and its deformation class 64
9.2.6. Remark on massive n-dimensional free fermion theories 66
9.3. Phases of topological insulators and topological superconductors 67
9.3.1. Internal symmetry group $K = \{\pm 1\}$ 68
9.3.2. Internal symmetry group $K = \mathbb{T}$ 70
9.3.3. Internal symmetry group $K = SU_2$ 72
10. Computations 73
11. A topological spin-statistics theorem 82
Appendix A. Wick rotation of time-reversal symmetry 83
A.1. Pin groups and pin manifolds 83
A.2. Time-reversal in relativistic quantum field theory and its Wick rotation 86
Appendix B. Involutions on categories and duality 89
References 91

1. Introduction

The twin pillars of quantum field theory are locality and unitarity. These fundamental properties persist after Wick rotation: locality manifests as factorization laws for correlation functions and unitarity manifests as reflection positivity. In the late 1980's a mathematical Axiom System for Wick-rotated quantum field theory on compact manifolds was introduced for scale-independent theories, by Segal [Se1] for 2-dimensional conformal field theories and by Atiyah [A] for topological field theories. With modifications these axioms are now believed to be relevant to scale-dependent theories as well. In this framework a quantum field theory is a linear representation of a *bordism category*. The latter categorifies Thom's bordism groups [T], and a field theory categorifies integer-valued bordism invariants, such as the signature of a compact oriented manifold. Locality is encoded in the composition of morphisms—the gluing of bordisms along codimension one submanifolds. It is fairly straightforward to implement reflection positivity as well. In the early 1990's, especially motivated by 3-dimensional Chern-Simons theory, an *extended* notion of locality was introduced by gluing bordisms with corners along higher codimension submanifolds, and this led naturally to formulations involving higher categories; see [F1, La, BD, L], for example. A natural question arises: What is the extended notion of reflection positivity which goes with extended locality? We offer a solution in a very special case: invertible topological field theories. Such theories have long been known to be maps out of Madsen-Tillmann bordism spectra, and our main result brings us full circle back to the bordism spectra introduced by Thom in his thesis [T].

Theorem 1.1. *There is a 1:1 correspondence*

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\textit{deformation classes of reflection positive}\\ \textit{invertible } n\textit{-dimensional extended topological}\\ \textit{field theories with symmetry group } H_n\end{array}\right\} \cong [MTH, \Sigma^{n+1} I\mathbb{Z}]_{\mathrm{tor}}. \tag{1.2}$$

The right hand side is the torsion subgroup of homotopy classes of maps from a Thom spectrum to a shift of the Anderson dual to the sphere spectrum. Spectra are the main characters in stable homotopy theory, a field which partly grew out of Thom's work, and there are standard computational techniques which we employ in the latter part of this paper to illustrate the efficacy of the theorem. Often field theories are classified by enumerating lagrangians with specified background and fluctuating fields which are consistent with a given symmetry group. By contrast, Theorem 1.1 is a direct *quantum* classification of correlation functions and state spaces, as encoded by the Axiom System; the only inputs are the spacetime dimension n and the Wick-rotated vector symmetry group[1] H_n. We prove Theorem 1.1 in §8.2.

There is a stronger assertion which remains conjectural in this paper: the abelian group of deformation classes of *all* reflection positive invertible field theories, including those which are not topological, is obtained by simply omitting 'tor' on the right hand side of (1.2). We make some comments about this generalization in §5.4 and Remark 8.31; we use it in the computations of §9. We remark that for general reasons nontorsion only arises if the spacetime dimension n is odd.

A strong motivation and immediate application come from condensed matter physics, where a topical issue is classification of topological phases of matter. One can envision a moduli space of quantum mechanical theories with fixed dimension and symmetry group, and the assumption of an *energy gap* may give rise to theories not connected by a path. The path components of the parameter space—deformation classes of gapped quantum systems—are the topological phases. We invoke without proof two physical principles to pass from these quantum mechanical systems, typically defined on discrete lattices, to continuum field theories:

(i) the deformation class of a quantum system is determined by its low energy behavior;
(ii) the low energy physics of a *gapped* system is well-approximated by a *topological*[2] field theory.

It is desirable to have a mathematical treatment of these principles. We also implicitly assume an emergent relativistic symmetry in the sense that the effective field theory is defined on compact n-manifolds without requiring local or global splittings into products of time and space. We restrict to a special class of lattice models, often called *short-range entangled* or *symmetry protected topological* (SPT) phases, for which the long-range effective topological field theory is *invertible*. Early discussions of this property may be found in [CGW, K1]. Theorem 1.1 provides a uniform solution to the desired classification, assuming that every possible deformation class of invertible topological theory can be realized by a lattice model. We emphasize that this answer is algorithmic: given a spacetime dimension n and a symmetry group H_n the right hand side of (1.2) is the group of topological phases. We provide concrete evidence for this application of Theorem 1.1:

[1] The basic case is $H_n = SO_n$. In general there is a homomorphism $H_n \to O_n$ whose image includes SO_n; the kernel consists of internal global symmetries. There is a unique associated stable symmetry group H independent of dimension, as we prove in Theorem 2.12.

[2] We allow a topological field theory tensored with a non-topological invertible theory; see §5.4.

in §9.3 we undertake detailed computations for fermionic systems and compare to results in the physics literature, the latter derived by means of physical arguments. Some readers may wish to examine our tables of computations before tackling the more theoretical parts of the paper. In unpublished work Kitaev [K1, K2, K3] develops a classification of short-range entangled phases based on microscopic considerations, and he too is led to stable homotopy theory and results consonant with our effective field theory classification. Kapustin [Ka1] initiated computations of topological phases via character groups of bordism groups, and he used them and subsequent computations, for example [KTTW], as phenomenological evidence for a general classification along these lines. Gaiotto-Kapustin [GK], following on Gu-Wen [GW], show that some invertible fermionic phases defined by lattice models are characterized by spin bordism groups. A previous paper [F3] by the first author contains much exposition and computations about this application of field theory to condensed matter physics, but the treatment of unitarity is incomplete and relativistic invariance is not assumed.

A second theme of this paper, after extended reflection positivity, is the study of symmetry groups in relativistic quantum field theory, and that is where we begin in §2. Our starting point is a theory on n-dimensional Minkowski spacetime with global symmetry group G_n, after dividing out by translations. The analytic continuations of correlation functions, which exist as a consequence of positivity of energy, are symmetric under the complex Lie group $G_n(\mathbb{C})$, and the entire Wick-rotated theory is symmetric under the compact real form $H_n \subset G_n(\mathbb{C})$ which appears on the left hand side of (1.2). Our arguments use the rigidity of compact Lie groups to constrain possible symmetry groups. The main result in this section (Theorem 2.12) is the existence and uniqueness of a stabilization H, which is the group in the Thom spectrum on the right hand side of (1.2). When we move to curved Riemannian manifolds—i.e., couple the theory to background gravity—the symmetry becomes infinitesimal in the sense of Cartan: an H_n-structure on the tangent bundle. In §3 we formulate reflection symmetry in terms of a group extension $H_n \to \widehat{H}_n \to \{\pm 1\}$; elements in $\widehat{H}_n \backslash H_n$ are a Wick-rotated analog of antiunitary symmetries in quantum mechanics. We use this extension in §4.1 to define an involution on the bordism category of H_n-manifolds. In the basic case $H_n = SO_n$ the involution is orientation-reversal, and our uniform treatment gives analogs for any symmetry group. For example, fermionic theories with time-reversal symmetry (and no other symmetry) have $H_n = \mathrm{Pin}^{\pm}_n$: the involution takes a pin structure to its "w_1-flipped" pin structure.

Three basic lessons we learned about reflection positivity: (i) 'reflection' and 'positivity' are distinct; (ii) 'reflection' is a structure whereas 'positivity' is a condition; and (iii) 'extended positivity' is a structure, not a condition. In the Axiom System a field theory is defined to be a homomorphism—a symmetric monoidal functor—

$$F\colon \mathrm{Bord}_{\langle n-1,n\rangle}(H_n) \to \mathrm{Vect}_{\mathbb{C}} \tag{1.3}$$

from the bordism category to the category of complex vector spaces and linear maps. A *reflection structure* (§4.3) is equivariance data for F with respect to the generalized orientation-reversal involution on $\mathrm{Bord}_{\langle n-1,n\rangle}(H_n)$ and the involution of complex conjugation on $\mathrm{Vect}_{\mathbb{C}}$. (We briefly review involutions on categories and equivariant functors in Appendix B.) A reflection structure induces a hermitian metric on the vector space of states attached to an $(n-1)$-manifold, and *positivity* is the condition that these hermitian structures be positive definite. Analogous to reflection

positivity in Euclidean space (§3.2) we see that the partition function of the *double* of a manifold with boundary must be positive in order that a reflection structure be positive. Our treatment of this material using general symmetry groups means it applies to all theories, including those with time-reversal symmetry and fermions which, after Wick rotation, involve nonorientable manifolds with pin structure.

To proceed to *extended* field theories we specialize in §5 to the invertible case. (Invertible field theories were singled out in [FM2] in an application to string theory.) In §5.2 we review how invertibility catalyzes a transition to stable homotopy theory: the analog of (1.3) for an invertible topological field theory is a map of spectra. The domain is the invertible quotient of a higher bordism category, a Madsen-Tillmann spectrum. There is freedom to choose the codomain spectrum, and in §5.3 we introduce two universal choices. The first is the *Pontrjagin dual* $I\mathbb{C}^\times$ to the sphere spectrum, which is used to track topological theories on the nose: theories with unequal partition functions are distinct. The second universal target spectrum is the *Anderson dual* $I\mathbb{Z}$ to the sphere spectrum. It tracks deformation classes of invertible theories rather than individual theories. Significantly, in the spirit of "derived geometry", that classification includes invertible theories which are not topological; the topological theories have finite order. For the application to topological phases one should include the nontopological theories, as they incorporate nonzero thermal Hall response. An example is Kitaev's E_8 phase [K5]. See §5.4 for a general discussion.

The main arguments about *extended positivity* occur in §7 and §8. Madsen-Tillmann spectra filter Thom spectra, which leads to a notion of a *stable* invertible topological field theory: a map out of a Thom spectrum. There is a basic link between nonextended positivity and stability, which we establish in Theorem 7.17 and Theorem 7.26 using obstruction theory arguments. This results in an intermediate classification (Corollary 7.28) of invertible topological theories with reflection structure satisfying nonextended positivity. To address extended positivity we begin in §8 by reformulating the positivity of hermitian metrics in categorical terms as a splitting of the inclusion of fixed points under the "bar star" involution. Bar star has an analog on the spectra in question, and in §8.2 we define the analogous splitting as a *positivity structure* on an extended invertible theory with a reflection structure. Manipulations in equivariant stable homotopy theory then lead quickly to a proof of Theorem 1.1. Interestingly, a positivity structure is a trivialization of a "real" field theory of dimension $n-1$, as we explain in Remark 8.21, and this could provide a starting point for investigating the noninvertible case, which we hope to pursue in the future.

The third main subject of this paper is what might be called the homotopy theory of relativistic free fermions. There are two distinct scenarios in which free fermions give rise to a deformation class of n-dimensional reflection positive invertible theories. First scenario: an $(n-1)$-dimensional free fermion theory has an associated n-dimensional invertible anomaly theory, which is not necessarily topological; our concern here is its deformation class.[3] Second scenario: an n-dimensional *massive* free fermion theory has a long-range effective invertible topological field theory approximation, according to the general principle (ii) invoked above, but now applied to a field theory rather than a lattice system. We sketch the first scenario in some detail in §9.2, culminating in a formula (Conjecture 9.62) for the deformation class of the anomaly theory. Since massive free fermions have trivial anomaly, the starting point is the group of free fermionic data under direct sum modulo

[3]The anomaly theory lies in *differential* KO-theory, whereas its deformation class lies in topological KO-theory.

massive free fermionic data. The existence of a mass term has a meaning in terms of Clifford modules (Lemma 9.50), and this produces an identification of the quotient by massive theories in terms of the homotopy groups of the KO-theory spectrum (Theorem 9.53). The formula for the associated anomaly theory is a composition of the Atiyah-Bott-Shapiro map [ABS] from Thom bordism to KO-theory and the Anderson self-duality isomorphism of KO-theory [An]. In this paper we provide a detailed sketch of these ideas; we hope to give a thorough mathematical treatment in the future. By contrast, we only comment briefly (§9.2.6) on the second scenario, beginning from a massive n-dimensional free fermion theory, enough to show that the starting and ending data match those in the first scenario. There is a huge literature on relativistic free fermion field theories and associated anomalies; the recent paper [W1], which describes several particular cases in detail, provided motivation and guidance for the general story here.

To enable detailed comparisons with the physics literature we carry out the discussion of relativistic free fermions for 10 cases simultaneously. To enumerate them we resume group theoretical arguments in §9.1 to classify relativistic symmetry groups whose internal subgroup is the unit reals $\{\pm 1\}$, unit complexes $\mathbb{T}$, or unit quaternions SU_2. Restricting to fermionic theories in which $(-1)^F$ embeds in this internal subgroup—which implements the "spin/charge relation" [SeWi]—we obtain the 10 groups in question. They include Spin, $\mathrm{Pin}^{\pm}$, and semidirect products with the various unit scalars. This "relativistic 10-fold way" is a variation on the nonrelativistic case, which is described in many works: a sample includes [D, AZ, HHZ, K6, SRFL, FM1, KZ, WS]. Our uniform treatment is based on Lemma 9.25, which embeds each symmetry group in a Clifford algebra. Usual constructions with Clifford modules—the Atiyah-Bott-Shapiro-Thom class, Dirac operators and their indices—then generalize easily. There is a purely geometric application: index theory on pin and pin^c manifolds is straightforward using this embedding.

The results of the homotopy theory computations are reported in §9.3. We provide a table for each of the 10 fermionic symmetry groups. In each spacetime dimension $n \leqslant 4$ we compute the group of free fermion theories (Theorem 9.53), the group of deformation classes of interacting theories (Theorem 1.1), and the map between them (Conjecture 9.62). We make comparisons with the condensed matter literature where available and find almost total agreement; in the few cases with a discrepancy we motivate a reexamination of the physics assertions. In §10 we outline how the calculations are done and supply Ext charts which encode the E_2-term of the relevant Adams spectral sequences. One of the main tasks there is to rewrite the "twisted" Atiyah-Bott-Shapiro maps in a more accessible form. A joint paper in progress with Jonathan Campbell fleshes out the details and carries out computations in other cases of interest.

The sections of the paper not yet mentioned contain small complements or background material. For example, §6 is an expository account of some *equivariant* stable homotopy theory used in §7 and §8. An analog of the spin-statistics theorem in relativistic quantum field theory holds for reflection positive invertible topological theories, as we explain in §11. Appendix A contains a review of pin groups followed by an account of modifications to Wick rotation which occur in theories with time-reversal symmetry, this in the context of axiomatic quantum field theory.

Beyond the immediate relevance to the study of topological phases, the successful application of bordism computations to quantum systems is evidence that the sparse Axiom System initiated by Segal and Atiyah captures essential features of quantum field theory.

We warmly thank the rest of the Aspen7 gang—Mike Freedman, Matt Hastings, Anton Kapustin, Alexei Kitaev, Greg Moore—as well as David Ben-Zvi, Jacques Distler, Davide Gaiotto, Zheng-Cheng Gu, Max Metlitski, Andy Neitzke, Graeme Segal, Nathan Seiberg, Constantin Teleman, Senthil Todadri, Kevin Walker, Xiao-Gang Wen, and Edward Witten for illuminating conversations, correspondence, and comments on the first draft.

2. Symmetry groups in relativistic quantum field theory

The analytic extension of correlation functions, a consequence of positivity of energy, provides a powerful constraint on symmetry groups. We explore the general structure in §2.1 from the Wick-rotated point of view. One important result is Theorem 2.12, which constructs a stable group H from an n-dimensional symmetry group H_n, assuming the spacetime dimension satisfies $n \geqslant 3$. In the expository §2.2 we recall the axiomatization of a field theory as a categorified bordism invariant. We accommodate general symmetry groups on curved manifolds using reductions of frame bundles, following Cartan.

2.1. Stabilization of Wick-rotated symmetry groups

The Poincaré group is the connected double cover of the identity component of the isometry group $\mathcal{I}_n$ of n-dimensional Minkowski spacetime M^n. Many treatments of quantum field theory, for example those based on S-matrix theory, begin with the assumption that the Poincaré group is a *subgroup* of the (unbroken) global symmetry group $\mathcal{G}_n$ of the theory. Then the Coleman-Mandula theorem [CM] asserts that on the level of Lie algebras there is a splitting as a direct sum of the Lie algebra of Poincaré with the Lie algebra of a *compact* Lie group K. We find it more natural to posit from the beginning a homomorphism[4] $\rho_n \colon \mathcal{G}_n \to \mathcal{I}_n$ to the isometry group $\mathcal{I}_n$ of M^n. After all, $g \in \mathcal{G}_n$ acts on the operators in the theory, and so on the supports of those operators. For a single point operator, or local operator, that action is $\rho_n(g)$. The relativistic invariance of the theory is the hypothesis that the image of ρ_n contains the entire identity component of $\mathcal{I}_n$. The kernel of ρ_n is the group K of *internal* symmetries—symmetries which fix the points of spacetime. Note that K contains the central element of the Lorentz group $\mathrm{Spin}_{1,n-1}$ if that element acts effectively, which by the spin-statistics theorem happens if and only if the theory contains fermionic states. (That element is often denoted '$(-1)^F$'. Below we deduce in general a central element $k_0 \in K$ with $(k_0)^2 = 1$, and it is identified with either the central element of Spin or the identity element.) The internal symmetry group K is compact (since if not, then the relativistic theory has infinite multiplets of particles transforming in unitary representations of K).

Assume the translation subgroup $\mathbb{R}^n \subset \mathcal{I}_n$ lifts to a normal subgroup of $\mathcal{G}_n$; see [FM1, Remark 2.13] for a justification of this hypothesis. Let G_n denote the quotient of $\mathcal{G}_n$ by this normal

[4]This excludes conformal field theories, whose symmetry groups map to conformal symmetries of the conformal compactification of M^n.

subgroup of translations. There is a short exact sequence[5]

$$1 \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow G_n \xrightarrow{\rho_n} O_{1,n-1} \tag{2.1}$$

where the image of ρ_n contains the identity component of $O_{1,n-1}$, by the relativistic invariance of the theory. The CPT theorem, reviewed in §A.2, implies that the image actually contains $SO_{1,n-1}$. A fundamental consequence of the positivity of energy in quantum field theory, also reviewed in §A.2, is a holomorphic extension[6] of correlation functions on which the complexification $G_n(\mathbb{C})$ of G_n acts as symmetries. There is an exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow K(\mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow G_n(\mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\rho_n} O(\mathbb{C}) \tag{2.2}$$

of complex Lie groups. The *Wick-rotated theory* has a compact real form H_n of $G_n(\mathbb{C})$ as symmetry group, and H_n fits into the exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow H_n \xrightarrow{\rho_n} O_n \tag{2.3}$$

of compact Lie groups with the same compact kernel K as in (2.1). The image of this ρ_n is either O_n or SO_n, depending on whether the relativistic theory has time-reversal symmetry. Define $SH_n = \rho_n^{-1}(SO_n)$ and let $\widetilde{SH}_n$ be the double cover of SH_n constructed from the spin double cover of SO_n. These compact Lie groups are usefully encoded in the pullback diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
1 & \longrightarrow & K & \longrightarrow & \widetilde{SH}_n & \xrightarrow{\rho_n} & \mathrm{Spin}_n & \longrightarrow & 1 \\
 & & \| & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle 2:1} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle 2:1} & & \\
1 & \longrightarrow & K & \longrightarrow & SH_n & \xrightarrow{\rho_n} & SO_n & \longrightarrow & 1 \\
 & & \| & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle 1:2} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle 1:2} & & \\
1 & \longrightarrow & K & \longrightarrow & H_n & \xrightarrow{\rho_n} & O_n & &
\end{array} \tag{2.4}$$

Let $\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{h}_n, \mathfrak{o}_n$ denote the Lie algebras of K, H_n, O_n.

Proposition 2.5.

(1) *There is a splitting* $\mathfrak{h}_n \cong \mathfrak{o}'_n \oplus \mathfrak{k}$, *and* ρ_n *induces an isomorphism of Lie algebras* $\mathfrak{o}'_n \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathfrak{o}_n$.

(2) *If* $n \geqslant 3$ *there is an isomorphism* $\widetilde{SH}_n \cong \mathrm{Spin}_n \times K$. *Hence there exists a central element* $k_0 \in K$ *with* $(k_0)^2 = 1$ *and an isomorphism*

$$SH_n \cong \mathrm{Spin}_n \times K \,/\, \langle (-1, k_0) \rangle, \tag{2.6}$$

where $\langle (-1, k_0) \rangle$ *is the cyclic group generated by* $(-1, k_0)$.

[5] We overload the symbol 'ρ_n'. Here it denotes the homomorphism induced from the previous ρ_n after modding out translations. Below we use it for the complexification, restriction to the Euclidean real form, and various lifts.

[6] See [KS] for a geometric version on curved manifolds.

Corollary 2.7. *There is a canonical homomorphism* $\mathrm{Spin}_n \to H_n$ *under which the image of the central element* $-1 \in \mathrm{Spin}_n$ *is* $k_0 \in K$.

This homomorphism anti-Wick rotates back to a homomorphism of the Poincaré group into the total symmetry group $\mathcal{G}_n$ of the relativistic theory, the traditional starting point for discussions of symmetry in quantum field theory.

Remark 2.8. For $n = 2$ we can only conclude that $\widetilde{SH}_2$ is isomorphic to a semidirect product of Spin_2 and K. An example is $SH_2 = SO_2 \ltimes O_2$, where a rotation $R \in SO_2$ acts on O_2 by the automorphism which is the identity on $SO_2 \subset O_2$ and composes a reflection with R. Alternatively, $SH_2 \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \ltimes (\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T})$ where the involution on $\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T}$ is $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \mapsto (\lambda_1, \lambda_1^{-1}\lambda_2^{-1})$.

Proof of Proposition 2.5. Split the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}_n = [\mathfrak{h}_n, \mathfrak{h}_n] \oplus \mathfrak{z}$, where $\mathfrak{z} \subset \mathfrak{h}_n$ is the center, and let $\mathfrak{o}_n'$ be the orthogonal complement of the ideal $\mathfrak{k} \cap [\mathfrak{h}_n, \mathfrak{h}_n] \subset [\mathfrak{h}_n, \mathfrak{h}_n]$ with respect to the nondegenerate Killing form on the semisimple Lie algebra $[\mathfrak{h}_n, \mathfrak{h}_n]$. Then ρ_n induces an isomorphism $\mathfrak{o}_n' \to \mathfrak{o}_n$, which proves (1). The exponential of $\mathfrak{o}_n'$ is a closed Lie subgroup $S \subset \widetilde{SH}_n$ which locally projects diffeomorphically onto Spin_n under ρ_n, so is isomorphic to Spin_n. It follows that $\widetilde{SH}_n \cong S \ltimes K$.

We claim this semidirect product is a direct product if $n \geqslant 3$. To see this observe that conjugation by $s \in S$ induces an automorphism $\alpha(s)$ of K which is the identity on the identity component $K^0 \subset K$, since the Lie algebra of S commutes with the Lie algebra of K. Since S is connected, the induced automorphism of $\pi_0 K$ is also trivial. Hence on each component of K the automorphism $\alpha(s)$ is left multiplication by an element $z(s) \in Z^0$ in the center of K^0. (Proof: Write $\alpha = \alpha(s)$ and suppose $\alpha(k) = zk$ for some k in that component and $z \in K^0$. Any other element of that component has the form kk_0 for $k_0 \in K^0$, and $\alpha(kk_0) = z(kk_0)$. But we can also write any element in the component as $k_0'k$ for some $k_0' \in K^0$, and $\alpha(k_0'k) = k_0'zk = (k_0'zk_0'^{-1})(k_0'k)$ from which $k_0'zk_0'^{-1} = z$. This holds for every $k_0' \in K^0$, from which we deduce $z \in Z^0$.) Next, Spin_n acts trivially on Z^0; this follows since the outer automorphism group of a compact Lie group is discrete, every inner automorphism of the abelian group Z^0 is trivial, and Spin_n is connected. Hence the map $s \mapsto z(s)$ is a homomorphism $S \to Z^0$. But if $n \geqslant 3$ the Lie group $S \cong \mathrm{Spin}_n$ has no nontrivial homomorphisms to an abelian Lie group. □

If we restrict the internal symmetry group to only include the image of the central element $-1 \in \mathrm{Spin}_n$ under $\mathrm{Spin}_n \to H_n$, then there are five possibilities. In these cases K is trivial or $K \cong \{\pm 1\}$. Let $\mu_4 = \{\pm 1, \pm\sqrt{-1}\}$ be the multiplicative group of fourth roots of unity, and define $E_n \subset O_n \times \mu_4$ as the subgroup of (A, λ) such that $\det A = \lambda^2$.

Proposition 2.9. *Assume* $n \geqslant 3$. *If the internal symmetry group* K *is trivial, then* $H_n \cong SO_n$ *or* $H_n \cong O_n$. *If* $K \cong \{\pm 1\}$ *is cyclic of order two, then there are six possibilities for* H_n *up to isomorphism:* $SO_n \times \{\pm 1\}$, Spin_n, $O_n \times \{\pm 1\}$, E_n, Pin_n^+, *and* Pin_n^-.

Proof. The first statement is clear from the fact that the image of ρ_n in (2.3) is either SO_n or O_n. The group extensions by $\{\pm 1\}$ are central and are classified up to isomorphism by the cohomology group $H^2(BSO_n; \{\pm 1\}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ or $H^2(BO_n; \{\pm 1\}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, depending on the image of ρ_n, and it is not difficult to work out what the groups H_n are. □

The nonidentity element of K in $SO_n \times \{\pm 1\}$, $O_n \times \{\pm 1\}$, and E_n is not the image of the central element $-1 \in \mathrm{Spin}_n$. This leaves the five basic symmetry groups listed in the following table:

(2.10)

states/symmetry	H_n	K	k_0
bosons only	SO_n	$\{1\}$	1
fermions allowed	Spin_n	$\{\pm 1\}$	-1
bosons, time-reversal (T)	O_n	$\{1\}$	1
fermions, $T^2 = (-1)^F$	Pin_n^+	$\{\pm 1\}$	-1
fermions, $T^2 = \mathrm{id}$	Pin_n^-	$\{\pm 1\}$	-1

Appendix A reviews the pin groups and justifies the Wick rotation of time-reversal which leads to the last three lines in the first column of the table.

The main result in this section is a stabilization of H_n for increasing dimensions, as needed in Theorem 1.1. Throughout this paper for $k < \ell$ we use the embedding

$$\begin{aligned} O_k &\longrightarrow O_\ell \\ A &\longmapsto \begin{pmatrix} I_{\ell-k} & \\ & A \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

of orthogonal groups, where I denotes the identity matrix.

Theorem 2.12. *Assume $n \geqslant 3$. There exist compact Lie groups H_m, $m > n$, and homomorphisms i_n, ρ_n which fit into the commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} H_n & \xrightarrow{i_n} & H_{n+1} & \xrightarrow{i_{n+1}} & H_{n+2} & \longrightarrow & \dots \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \rho_n} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \rho_{n+1}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \rho_{n+2}} & & \\ O_n & \longrightarrow & O_{n+1} & \longrightarrow & O_{n+2} & \longrightarrow & \dots \end{array} \tag{2.13}$$

in which squares are pullbacks. Furthermore, any two choices of stabilization (2.13) are isomorphic.

The stabilization is usually apparent, even when $n = 2$ and Theorem 2.12 does not apply. For example, if $H_n = \mathrm{Pin}_n^+ \ltimes \mathbb{T} \,/\, \langle (-1,-1) \rangle$, where Pin_n^+ acts on $\mathbb{T} = U_1$ through its components by conjugation, then $H_m = \mathrm{Pin}_m^+ \ltimes \mathbb{T} \,/\, \langle (-1,-1) \rangle$. (We encounter this and related groups in §9.)

Remark 2.14. For $m < n$, define H_m and the homomorphism $\rho_m \colon H_m \to O_m$ by a pullback square:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_m & \dashrightarrow & H_n \\ {\scriptstyle \rho_m}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \rho_n} \\ O_m & \longrightarrow & O_n \end{array} \tag{2.15}$$

Remark 2.16. The pullback diagram (2.13) and the fact that $\rho_{m+1}(H_{m+1})$ acts transitively on the m-sphere imply diffeomorphisms

$$H_{m+1}/H_m \cong O_{m+1}/O_m \cong S^m \tag{2.17}$$

Proof of Theorem 2.12. In view of (2.6), for $m > n$ define $SH_m := \mathrm{Spin}_m \times K \,/\, \langle (-1, k_0) \rangle$, and so obtain a stabilization over SO_m. If $\rho_n(H_n) = SO_n$ this completes the proof. If not, we proceed by induction, so define H_{n+1} from H_n. As a manifold set

$$\begin{aligned} H_{n+1} &:= SH_{n+1} \times_{SH_n} H_n \\ &\Big\downarrow \rho_{n+1} \\ O_{n+1} &\cong SO_{n+1} \times_{SO_n} O_n \end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

where the mixing construction is the quotient of the Cartesian product by the equivalence relation $[ga, h] = [g, ah]$ for all $g \in SH_{n+1}$, $h \in H_n$, and $a \in SH_n$. There is an obvious inclusion $H_n \hookrightarrow H_{n+1}$, and the resulting first square in (2.13) is a pullback of manifolds. We construct a group law on H_{n+1}.

Define $\widetilde{H}_n$ as the pullback[7]

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & K & \longrightarrow & \widetilde{H}_n & \xrightarrow{\rho_n} & \mathrm{Pin}_n^+ & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ & & \| & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & K & \longrightarrow & H_n & \xrightarrow{\rho_n} & O_n & \longrightarrow & 1 \end{array} \tag{2.19}$$

and set

$$\widetilde{H}_{n+1} := \widetilde{SH}_{n+1} \times_{\widetilde{SH}_n} \widetilde{H}_n \cong (\mathrm{Spin}_{n+1} \times K) \times_{(\mathrm{Spin}_n \times K)} \widetilde{H}_n; \tag{2.20}$$

it is a double cover of the manifold H_{n+1}. Fix $\tilde{h} \in \widetilde{H}_n$ such that $\rho_n(\tilde{h}) = e_1 \in \mathrm{Pin}_n^+$. Conjugation by e_1 induces an involution $\alpha : \mathrm{Spin}_m \to \mathrm{Spin}_m$ for each $m \geqslant 1$, and as m varies the involutions are compatible under inclusion. For $m = n$, the involution α lifts to an automorphism of $\widetilde{SH}_n \cong \mathrm{Spin}_n \times K$ defined as conjugation by $\tilde{h}$, so there is an induced automorphism $\beta\colon K \to K$ and a homomorphism $\gamma\colon \mathrm{Spin}_n \to K$. Note that $\tilde{h}^2 \in K$ satisfies $\tilde{h}^2 k \tilde{h}^{-2} = \beta^2(k)$ for all $k \in K$.

Lemma 2.21. *If $n \geqslant 3$, then the homomorphism γ is trivial.*

Proof. Define $\widetilde{H}_n(\mathbb{C})$ by pulling back as in (2.19) using the complexified groups (2.2), and so extend γ to a homomorphism $\mathrm{Spin}_n(\mathbb{C}) \to K(\mathbb{C})$. Restriction to the Lorentzian real forms (see (2.1)) gives a homomorphism $\mathrm{Spin}_{1,n-1} \to K$. Now if γ is nontrivial, then so is the induced map on Lie algebras, and it follows that the Lie algebra map $\mathfrak{o}_{1,n-1} \to \mathfrak{k}$ is nonzero. It must therefore be injective, since $\mathfrak{o}_{1,n-1}$ is simple. Hence $\mathfrak{k}$ contains a subalgebra isomorphic to $\mathfrak{o}_{1,2} \cong \mathfrak{sl}_2\mathbb{R}$. The Killing form on $\mathfrak{k}$ induces a nonzero semidefinite invariant symmetric bilinear form on the simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_2\mathbb{R}$, which is impossible since every invariant symmetric form on $\mathfrak{sl}_2\mathbb{R}$ is a multiple of the Killing form, which is indefinite and nondegenerate. □

[7] See §A.1 for a review of pin groups.

Any element of $\widetilde{H}_{n+1}$ in (2.20) has the form

$$[s,k;1] \qquad \text{or} \qquad [s,k;\tilde{h}], \tag{2.22}$$

where $s \in \mathrm{Spin}_{n+1}$ and $k \in K$ are uniquely determined. Define multiplication on $\widetilde{H}_{n+1}$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} [s_1,k_1;1][s_2,k_2;1] &= [s_1s_2, k_1k_2; 1] \\ [s_1,k_1;\tilde{h}][s_2,k_2;1] &= [s_1\alpha(s_2), k_1\beta(k_2); \tilde{h}] \\ [s_1,k_1;1][s_2,k_2;\tilde{h}] &= [s_1s_2, k_1k_2; \tilde{h}] \\ [s_1,k_1;\tilde{h}][s_2,k_2;\tilde{h}] &= [s_1\alpha(s_2), k_1\beta(k_2)\tilde{h}^2; 1] \end{aligned} \tag{2.23}$$

A straightforward verification proves that this composition law is associative, has unit $[1,1;1]$, and inverse map

$$[s,k;1]^{-1} = [s^{-1},k^{-1};1], \qquad [s,k;\tilde{h}]^{-1} = [\alpha^{-1}(s^{-1}), \beta^{-1}(k^{-1}\tilde{h}^{-2}); \tilde{h}]. \tag{2.24}$$

Thus $\widetilde{H}_{n+1}$ is a compact Lie group. Set $H_{n+1} := \widetilde{H}_{n+1} \,/\, \langle(-1,k_0)\rangle$.

For the uniqueness suppose

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_n & \hookrightarrow & H'_{n+1} \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \rho_n} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \rho'_{n+1}} \\ O_n & \hookrightarrow & O_{n+1} \end{array} \tag{2.25}$$

is a pullback square. The proof of Proposition 2.5 implies the existence of an isomorphism $\widetilde{SH}'_{n+1} \cong \mathrm{Spin}_{n+1} \times K$ under which the homomorphism $\widetilde{SH}_n \hookrightarrow \widetilde{SH}'_{n+1}$ becomes the inclusion $\mathrm{Spin}_n \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Spin}_{n+1}$, since the inclusion of orthogonal algebras in $\mathfrak{h}_n \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{h}'_{n+1}$ lifts that in the base of (2.25). Extend the induced isomorphism $SH_{n+1} \to SH'_{n+1}$ to a diffeomorphism $\phi\colon H_{n+1} \to H'_{n+1}$ such that the images of $\tilde{h} \in H_n$ align. (Use the form (2.22) for elements in $\widetilde{H}_{n+1}$.) The image of $\tilde{h}$ in H'_{n+1} determines automorphisms α' of Spin_{n+1} and β' of K, but $\alpha' = \alpha$ since both are conjugation by e_1 and $\beta' = \beta$ since both are determined by conjugation in H_n. It follows that ϕ is a homomorphism, hence an isomorphism, and the diagram mapping the first square in (2.13) to (2.25) commutes.

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.12. □

Theorem 2.12 allows us to speak about symmetry groups in quantum field theory independent of dimension. Set

$$H = \operatorname*{colim}_{n\to\infty} H_n. \tag{2.26}$$

For $H_n = SO_n$ we obtain $H = SO_\infty = SO$. Thus we can speak of 'oriented theories'='SO theories', 'Spin theories', 'Pin$^+$ theories', etc. The colimit of (2.13) is a homomorphism $\rho\colon H \to O$.

Remark 2.27. Lemma 2.21 is not true without using the anti-Wick rotation back to Lorentzian signature. Namely, let $n = 3$ and $H_3 = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \ltimes (SO_3 \times SO_3)$, where the nontrivial element of $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ acts by shearing $(g_1, g_2) \mapsto (g_1, g_1 g_2)$; the homomorphism ρ_3 which kills the second factor $K = SO_3$ maps $H_3 \to O_3$ and sends the generator of $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ to the central element $-1 \in O_3$. The reader can check that $\gamma\colon \mathrm{Spin}_3 \to SO_3$ is surjective. But H_3 is not a possible symmetry group because of the anti-Wick rotation, as in the proof of Lemma 2.21.

2.2. Curved manifolds and bordism categories with H_n-structure

Fix an n-dimensional relativistic quantum field theory with Wick-rotated vector symmetry group H_n. A "coupling to background gravity" means that we define the theory on each n-dimensional smooth Riemannian manifold X. The H_n-symmetry is no longer global; it is tangential and encoded in a reduction of the orthonormal frame bundle to H_n. Let $\mathcal{B}_O(X) \to X$ denote the principal O_n-bundle of frames: a point of $\mathcal{B}_O(X)$ is an orthonormal basis of the tangent space at a point of X. If $P \to X$ is a principal H_n-bundle, define the principal O_n-bundle $\rho_n(P) = P \times_{H_n} O_n \to X$ via mixing: $[ph, g] = [p, \rho_n(h)g]$ for all $p \in P$, $g \in O_n$, and $h \in H_n$.

Definition 2.28. An *H_n-structure* is a pair (P, θ) consisting of a principal H_n-bundle $P \to X$ equipped with an isomorphism of principal O_n-bundles $\mathcal{B}_O(X) \xrightarrow{\theta} \rho_n(P)$. An *$H_n$-manifold* is a Riemannian n-manifold endowed with an H_n-structure. A *differential H_n-structure* is a connection Θ on $P \to X$ with the property that θ maps the Levi-Civita connection to $\rho_n(\Theta)$.

It also makes sense to have an H_n-structure on a Riemannian manifold of dimension $\ell > n$, via the composition $H_n \xrightarrow{\rho_n} O_n \hookrightarrow O_\ell$, and using the Stability Theorem 2.12 an H_n-manifold has an induced H_m-structure for all $m \geqslant n$. The same applies to the differential refinements.

Example 2.29. In bosonic theories of electromagnetism, $K = \mathbb{T}$ is the group U_1 of unit norm complex numbers, at least in the absence of further global symmetries. If there is no time-reversal symmetry, then $H_n = SO_n \times \mathbb{T}$. Thus $P \to X$ is the fiber product of the frame bundle with a principal $\mathbb{T}$-bundle, which is usually equipped with a connection, or gauge field. In theories of electromagnetism with fermions we still have $K = \mathbb{T}$, but now the center $-1 \in \mathrm{Spin}_n$ of the spin group is identified[8] with $-1 \in \mathbb{T}$ and so

$$H_n = \mathrm{Spin}^c_n = \mathrm{Spin}_n \times \mathbb{T} \,/\, \{\pm 1\} \tag{2.30}$$

is the group introduced in [ABS]. In other words, the Riemannian manifold X has a Spin^c-structure. If, in addition, there is time-reversal symmetry, then there are several different extensions, including the Atiyah-Bott-Singer group Pin^c_n; see Proposition 9.3 for the complete classification.

Example 2.31. For $H_n = O_n \times K$ an H_n-structure on a Riemannian manifold is an auxiliary principal K-bundle, and a *differential* H_n-structure is a connection on that bundle. For $H_n = \mathrm{Spin}^c_n$ the differential structure is usually called a spin^c connection.

[8] This assumes the spin/charge relation that particles of even electromagnetic charge are bosons while those of odd electromagnetic charge are fermions; see [SeWi] for more discussion.

The basic properties of correlation functions on all *compact* manifolds simultaneously are encoded in the powerful framework of *bordism categories*, following the fundamental work of Segal [Se1] and Atiyah [A]. Topological field theories do not depend on the metric, nor do they require differential structures, and for the most part we focus on topological theories and so on topological bordism categories. The geometric case is used as motivation; we make some comments in Remark 2.38.



FIGURE 1. Objects and morphisms in a bordism category

Define a *topological bordism category* $\mathrm{Bord}_{\langle n-1,n\rangle}(H_n)$ as follows. An object is a compact $(n-1)$-manifold Y without boundary, equipped with an H_n-structure $Q \to Y$ and an "arrow of time". To make sense of an H_n-structure on an $(n-1)$-manifold we stabilize the tangent bundle of Y to a rank n bundle $\underline{\mathbb{R}} \oplus TY \to Y$ by summing with a trivial line bundle, thought of as a normal direction into n dimensions. In this topological setting the Riemannian metric is not present; in the geometric setting of Remark 2.38, an object in a geometric bordism category is an $(n-1)$-manifold with a germ of an embedding in an n-manifold. The arrow of time is a normal orientation. In the topological setting only the tangential information is relevant—we can drop the germ—and the arrow of time is an orientation of the trivial subbundle $\underline{\mathbb{R}} \to Y$ of $\underline{\mathbb{R}} \oplus TY \to Y$. Nonetheless, even in this topological case it is illuminating to use the product germ $(-\epsilon, \epsilon) \times Y$ for some $\epsilon > 0$ and replace $\underline{\mathbb{R}} \oplus TY \to Y$ by the tangent bundle to the germ. A morphism $X\colon Y_0 \to Y_1$ is a compact n-manifold X with H_n-structure $P \to X$ and an isomorphism of the boundary $\partial X \xrightarrow{\cong} Y_0 \amalg Y_1$ with the disjoint union of the incoming Y_0 and the outgoing Y_1. The isomorphisms include the H_n-structures and under those isomorphisms the orientation of the trivial bundle $\underline{\mathbb{R}} \to Y_i$ must line up with the incoming normal to the boundary for $i = 0$ and with the outgoing normal to the boundary for $i = 1$. In other words, the arrow of time is used to distinguish incoming and outgoing boundary components of morphisms. Composition of morphisms is gluing of bordisms. There is a additional commutative composition law on the category—disjoint union—and with this structure $\mathrm{Bord}_{\langle n-1,n\rangle}(H_n)$ is a *symmetric monoidal category*. We depict an object and a morphism in Figure 1.

A Wick-rotated field theory is a linear representation of a bordism category.

Definition 2.32. A *topological field theory with Wick-rotated vector symmetry group* H_n is a symmetric monoidal functor

$$F\colon \mathrm{Bord}_{\langle n-1,n\rangle}(H_n) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Vect}_{\mathbb{C}} \tag{2.33}$$

to the symmetric monoidal category of complex vector spaces under tensor product.

Much has been written about this definition, and we defer to previous accounts—such as the original [A] and the recent survey [F2, §§2–4] and the references therein—for more exposition. Here we simply make the connection to point operators[9] and their correlation functions.

Remark 2.34 (Vector spaces of point operators). The sphere S^{n-1} is the link of a point in n dimensions, i.e., it is the boundary of a small ball about the point. Therefore, the vector space $V := F(S^{n-1})$ is the space of point operators in a topological field theory; in a geometric theory we take a limit as the radius of the sphere shrinks to zero. If the theory has total symmetry group H_n, then the sphere has an H_n-structure and the vector space of point operators depends on it. If $H_n = SO_n \times K$ or $H_n = O_n \times K$, the extra data is a principal K-bundle $Q \to S^{n-1}$ (with connection). So there is a vector space V_Q of point operators for each Q. The group $\operatorname{Aut} Q$ of global gauge transformations acts on V_Q. For the trivial K-bundle this is the familiar representation of the global symmetry group K on local operators. If K is finite, then the "twist operators" are familiar in $n = 2$. They are also familiar when $H_2 = \mathrm{Spin}_2$, in which case the operators associated to the nonbounding spin circle create a defect at the excised point which changes the spin structure on the punctured surface. In 3 dimensions, if H_3 is a direct product and $K = \mathbb{T}$, then the twist operators in some sense create a magnetically charged instanton for the global symmetry group K; the $\mathbb{Z}$-grading from the action of K on the point operators measures the electric charge.

Remark 2.35 (Correlation functions of point operators). Let M be a closed n-manifold. Fix points $x_1, \dots, x_k$ of M at which we place local operators. Let X be the compact manifold with boundary obtained from M by removing small open balls about each x_i; regard X as a bordism

$$X\colon \bigsqcup_i S^{n-1}(x_i) \longrightarrow \varnothing^{n-1} \tag{2.36}$$

from the disjoint union of the k boundary spheres to the empty manifold. Equip the manifold X with an H_n-structure P, and let Q_i denote its restriction to the i^{th} sphere. Applying the theory (2.33) we obtain a homomorphism

$$F(X;P)\colon \underbrace{V_{Q_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes V_{Q_k}}_{k \text{ times}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \tag{2.37}$$

which, evaluated on operators $\mathcal{O}_1, \dots, \mathcal{O}_k$, is usually written $\langle \mathcal{O}_1(x_1) \cdots \mathcal{O}_k(x_k) \rangle_M$.

Remark 2.38 (Remark about non-topological theories). Wick-rotated field theories which are not topological can also be formulated as functors on bordism categories, but now the objects and morphisms have a geometric structure. The references [Se2, KS, ST] develop this idea in various directions. We confine ourselves here to a few heuristic formal remarks. Analogous to the topological bordism category $\mathrm{Bord}_{\langle n-1,n\rangle}(H_n)$ we envision a *geometric bordism category* $\mathrm{Bord}^{\nabla}_{\langle n-1,n\rangle}(H_n)$ whose objects and morphisms are smooth manifolds with *differential* H_n-structures (Definition 2.28).

[9] These are usually called 'local operators', but we use 'point' rather than 'local' to distinguish point operators from line operators and higher dimensional analogs, since those too are local.



FIGURE 2. Correlation functions

An object is a closed $(n-1)$-manifold equipped with an infinite jet of an embedding into an n-dimensional manifold with differential H_n-structure and an arrow of time. A morphism is a compact n-manifold with differential H_n-structure together with a partition of the boundary and boundary isomorphisms as in the topological case. As in the topological case (2.33), a field theory is a functor with domain $\mathrm{Bord}^{\nabla}_{\langle n-1,n\rangle}(H_n)$ and codomain a suitable symmetric monoidal category of topological vector spaces. We want the correlation functions and vector spaces to vary smoothly in smooth families, so the whole structure must be "sheafified" over the category of smooth manifolds and smooth maps [ST, §2].

3. Unitarity and Wick rotation

We recall in §3.1 how positivity of energy leads to Wick rotation in quantum mechanics, and describe reflection positivity in that context. The usual context for reflection positivity is recollected in §3.2, with attention paid to nontrivial internal symmetry groups. In §3.3 we encode the reflection structure in a novel way via a coextension of the vector symmetry group to a $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$-graded group, constructed from a hyperplane reflection. The off-component acts antilinearly on the Hilbert space of states.

3.1. Wick rotation in quantum mechanics

A quantum mechanical system, according to basic axioms, consists of a complex separable Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ equipped with a self-adjoint operator H, the Hamiltonian. The group $\mathbb{R}$ of time translations is represented unitarily on $\mathcal{H}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{R} &\longrightarrow U(\mathcal{H}) \\ t &\longmapsto e^{-itH/\hbar} \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

If we assume positivity of energy—that H is a nonnegative self-adjoint operator—then real time evolution (3.1) is the boundary value of a holomorphic semigroup of bounded operators defined on the lower half plane $\mathcal{T} = \mathbb{R} - \sqrt{-1}\,\mathbb{R}^{>0} \subset \mathbb{C}$. The semigroup of *imaginary time evolution* is the restriction to $-\sqrt{-1}\,\mathbb{R}^{>0}$, which is the semigroup

$$\tau \longmapsto e^{-\tau H/\hbar}, \qquad \tau > 0. \tag{3.2}$$

The transition from (3.1) to (3.2) is called *Wick rotation*.

The unitarity of time evolution manifests in the reality of the semigroup (3.2).

Example 3.3 (Particle on the circle)**.** Let $\mathbb{A}^1$ denote the affine[10] time line. The trajectory of a particle on the circle is a function $\lambda(s) = e^{ix(s)}$, $s \in \mathbb{A}^1$; the lagrangian density is $L = \frac{1}{2}\dot{x}^2\,|ds|$. The ensuing quantum mechanical system has Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} = L^2(S^1;\mathbb{C})$, Hamiltonian the Laplace operator $H = \Delta$, and imaginary time evolution the heat operator $\tau \mapsto e^{-\tau\Delta}$.

It is illuminating to add a "θ-angle" to this system. Orient S^1 and fix $\omega \in \Omega^1(S^1)$ with $\int_{S^1}\omega = 1$. Then for a fixed constant $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ define the lagrangian

$$L = \frac{1}{2}\dot{x}^2\,|ds| - \theta\lambda^*(\omega). \tag{3.4}$$

In this classical theory we must orient time in order to integrate L; time-reversal exchanges the theories labeled by θ and $-\theta$. Upon quantization we obtain the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} = L^2(S^1;\mathcal{L}_{e^{i\theta}})$ of sections of the complex line bundle $\mathcal{L}_{e^{i\theta}}$ with holonomy $e^{i\theta}$. The Hamiltonian is the Laplace operator on this space, and imaginary time evolution is by the associated heat operator. Now time-reversal ($\theta \mapsto -\theta$) acts as complex conjugation:

$$\mathcal{H} \longmapsto \overline{\mathcal{H}} \tag{3.5}$$

$$e^{-\tau\Delta} \longmapsto \overline{e^{-\tau\Delta}} \tag{3.6}$$

We encode the formal structure in terms of oriented compact Riemannian 1-manifolds, as described in §2.2, though we emphasize that this is not a topological theory. The interval of length $\tau > 0$ maps to the imaginary time evolution $e^{-\tau H/\hbar}\colon \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$. The semigroup law is manifest by gluing intervals. The circle of length τ maps to $\mathrm{Trace}(e^{-\tau H/\hbar}) \in \mathbb{C}$. We interpret these oriented Riemannian 1-manifolds as morphisms in a geometric bordism category whose objects are, roughly, compact oriented 0-manifolds. More precisely, they are 0-manifolds embedded in the germ of an oriented Riemannian 1-manifold, and there is an arrow of time, or orientation of the normal bundle. The simplest object is a single point, which we can view as $0 \in \mathbb{R}$ embedded in a small interval $(-\epsilon, \epsilon)$ with its standard orientation; in the quantum mechanics it maps to the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. According to (3.5) we have

$$\text{orientation-reversal} \longmapsto \text{complex conjugation} \tag{3.7}$$

More precisely, the orientation-reversal on objects in the geometric bordism category reverses the orientation and reverses the arrow of time. This is the 'reflection' part of 'reflection positivity'; the positivity is the positive definiteness of the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$.

[10] We (pedantically) distinguish the affine time line $\mathbb{A}^1$ from the group $\mathbb{R}$ of translations of time, which appears in (3.1): after all, a 1-hour seminar and a seminar ending at 1:00 can be quite different.

3.2. Reflection positivity in Euclidean quantum field theory

Positivity of energy in a relativistic quantum field theory also results in an analytic continuation and restriction to Euclidean space, as we review in §A.2. Here we focus on the Wick rotation of correlation functions and the Wick rotation of unitarity as manifested in reflection positivity. (See [GJ, §6], [Kaz, §2.2] for an account.) Let n be the spacetime dimension and $\mathbb{E}^n$ Euclidean n-space. Fix an affine hyperplane $\Pi \subset \mathbb{E}^n$ and let σ denote (affine) reflection about Π. Let $\mathcal{O}$ denote an operator, or product of operators, in the quantum theory which is supported in the open half-space $\mathbb{E}^n_+$ on one side of Π; the reflected operator $\sigma(\mathcal{O})$ has support in the complementary half-space $\mathbb{E}^n_-$. Let $\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle_{\mathbb{E}^n_+} \in \mathcal{H}$ denote the half-space correlation function, which is a vector in the Hilbert space of the theory. In a lagrangian field theory it is the functional integral over the half-space $\mathbb{E}^n_+$. Then the *reflection* part of 'reflection positivity' is

$$\langle \sigma(\mathcal{O}) \rangle_{\mathbb{E}^n_-} = \overline{\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle_{\mathbb{E}^n_+}} \tag{3.8}$$



FIGURE 3. Reflection positivity in Euclidean space

in accordance with (3.7); see (3.6) for the analog in quantum mechanics. The Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ is associated to $(\Pi, \mathfrak{o})$, where $\mathfrak{o}$ is an orientation of the normal line to Π, the arrow of time in §2.2. The reflection σ reverses $\mathfrak{o}$, and the Hilbert space associated to $(\Pi, -\mathfrak{o})$ is the complex conjugate

$$\mathcal{H}_{(\Pi,-\mathfrak{o})} \xrightarrow{\cong} \overline{\mathcal{H}_{(\Pi,\mathfrak{o})}}, \tag{3.9}$$

according to the dictum (3.7); cf. (3.5). Therefore, $\langle \sigma(\mathcal{O}) \rangle_{\mathbb{E}^n_-} \in \overline{\mathcal{H}}$ and (3.8) is an equation in the complex conjugate Hilbert space $\overline{\mathcal{H}}$. The *positivity* part of 'reflection positivity' is the positive definiteness of $\mathcal{H}$, which implies that the norm square of the vector $\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle_{\mathbb{E}^n_+}$ is nonnegative:

$$\langle \sigma(\mathcal{O})\, \mathcal{O} \rangle_{\mathbb{E}^n} \geqslant 0 \tag{3.10}$$

A theorem of Osterwalder-Schrader [OS] reconstructs the relativistic theory in Minkowski spacetime from the Euclidean theory; reflection positivity is an important ingredient.

Remark 3.11 (Internal symmetry and reflection positivity). Suppose the full Wick-rotated vector symmetry group H_n has a nontrivial internal symmetry group K, and for simplicity take $H_n = SO_n \times K$. Let X be Euclidean space with an open neighborhood of the support of the operators $\mathcal{O}$, $\sigma(\mathcal{O})$ removed. Let $Y = \partial X \cap \mathbb{H}_+$ and assume $\sigma(Y) = \partial X \cap \mathbb{H}_-$. In general there are twist operators which are defined by a principal K-bundle $P \to X$, as in Remark 2.34. The reflection σ must account for the K-bundle, and it might seem at first that σ should "reverse" it by an involution on K. But that does not happen; rather σ lifts to $P \to X$. We give three arguments.

(1) If $\mathcal{O}$ is a point operator, then Y is a sphere. Identifying $\sigma(Y)$ with Y via a translation, σ acts on Y as reflection in the equatorial plane parallel to Π. If we one-point compactify X to S^n minus the two balls and assume P extends over the compactification, then the restrictions of P to Y and $\sigma(Y)$ are isomorphic, since the compactification is diffeomorphic to $[0,1] \times S^{n-1}$.

(2) Continuing, suppose $P \to X$ is the trivial bundle and V is the vector space of local operators attached to Y. (In a geometric theory we take a limit as the radius of the removed ball shrinks to zero.) The automorphism group K of the trivial bundle over Y acts on V, producing K-multiplets of point operators. The hyperplane reflection σ induces an isomorphism $V \to \overline{V}$ which commutes with the K-action, since geometrically the lift of reflection to the trivial bundle commutes with the global gauge transformations. So a K-multiplet in V is mapped to a K-multiplet in $\overline{V}$ which transforms in the complex conjugate representation.

(3) Let $n = 1$ and $H_1 = SO_1 \times \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$. Let $\alpha\colon \mathrm{Bord}_{\langle 0,1\rangle}(H_1) \to \mathrm{Vect}_{\mathbb{C}}$ be the invertible theory which attaches a nontrivial character $\chi\colon \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{T}$ to the positively oriented point with its trivial $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ bundle. (That object Y of the bordism category has automorphism group $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$, which then acts on the vector space $\alpha(Y)$.) This theory is unitary. Now $\alpha(P \to S^1)$ is χ applied to the holonomy of the principal $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$-bundle $P \to S^1$. Reflection reverses the orientation of S^1, and if the bundle stays the same under reflection, then the holonomy complex conjugates, which is precisely what it should do in a reflection positive theory.

3.3. The extended symmetry group $\widehat{H}_n$

We use reflection symmetry (3.8) to construct a larger symmetry group $\widehat{H}_n$ from H_n by adjoining an involution. In the special case $H_n = \mathrm{Spin}_n$, we define $\widehat{H}_n = \mathrm{Pin}_n^+$; the general case is a bootstrap from this, following the proof of Theorem 2.12. The arguments in Remark 3.11 motivate the triviality of the hyperplane reflection automorphism of K in our construction. We view $\widehat{H}_n$ as a symmetry group of the Euclidean quantum field theory; the action of an element in $\widehat{H}_n \backslash H_n$ on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ is by an anti-unitary transformation.

Proposition 3.12. *There exists a canonical group extension*

$$1 \longrightarrow H_n \xrightarrow{j_n} \widehat{H}_n \longrightarrow \{\pm 1\} \longrightarrow 1, \tag{3.13}$$

split by a choice of hyperplane reflection $\sigma \in O_n$, such that the splitting induces an automorphism of $\widetilde{SH}_n \cong \mathrm{Spin}_n \times K$ which is conjugation by σ on Spin_n and is the identity automorphism of K.

There is a homomorphism $\hat\rho_n$ which fits into the pullback diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_n & \xrightarrow{j_n} & \widehat{H}_n \\ {\scriptstyle \rho_n}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \hat\rho_n} \\ O_n & \longrightarrow & \{\pm 1\} \times O_n \end{array} \tag{3.14}$$

Finally, there are inclusions $\hat\imath_n\colon \widehat{H}_n \to \widehat{H}_{n+1}$ which, together with the inclusions $i_n\colon H_n \to H_{n+1}$, induce a commutative diagram linking (3.14) for varying n.

A hyperplane reflection $\sigma \in O_n$ induces an automorphism of SO_n by conjugation in O_n, and it lifts uniquely to an automorphism of Spin_n, which is realized as conjugation by $\tilde\sigma \in \mathrm{Pin}_n^+$, where $\tilde\sigma$ is a lift of σ. However, it is the *twisted* conjugation by $\tilde\sigma$ in Pin_n^+ which lifts conjugation by σ in O_n, where the twist is multiplication by the nontrivial character

$$\mathrm{Pin}_n^+ \longrightarrow \pi_0 \mathrm{Pin}_n^+ \xrightarrow{\cong} \{\pm 1\}; \tag{3.15}$$

see [ABS, §3].

Proof. Define

$$\widehat{SH}_n = \mathrm{Pin}_n^+ \times K \,/\, \langle (-1, k_0) \rangle \tag{3.16}$$

and project onto $\pi_0 \mathrm{Pin}_n^+$ to define the quotient map in the extension

$$1 \longrightarrow SH_n \longrightarrow \widehat{SH}_n \longrightarrow \{\pm 1\} \longrightarrow 1 \tag{3.17}$$

If $\rho_n(H_n) = SO_n$, then set $\widehat{H}_n = \widehat{SH}_n$. If ρ_n is surjective, then mimic (2.20) to define the double cover of $\widehat{H}_n$ as the mixing construction

$$(\mathrm{Pin}_n^+ \times K) \times_{(\mathrm{Spin}_n \times K)} H_n \tag{3.18}$$

Any element is uniquely expressed in the form (2.22), where now $s \in \mathrm{Pin}_n^+$ and $k \in K$. Extend the involution α of Spin_n to the involution of Pin_n^+ which is *twisted* conjugation by $e_1 \in \mathrm{Pin}_n^+$. Then formulas (2.23), (2.24) define the group structure on (3.18). Let $\widehat{H}_n$ be the quotient by the cyclic subgroup $\langle [-1, k_0; 1] \rangle$ of order two.

Reflection through the hyperplane perpendicular to $\xi \in S^{n-1} \subset \mathrm{Pin}_n^+$ lifts to $[\xi, 1; 1]$ in the group (3.18), so passes to an element of order two in $\widehat{H}_n$. The induced automorphism of $\widetilde{SH}_n$ is easily computed from (2.23).

For any $s \in \mathrm{Pin}_n^+$, $k \in K$, $h \in H$ set

$$\hat\rho_n[s, k; h] = \big(\det(\bar s)\,,\, \bar s \rho_n(h)\big) \;\in\; \{\pm 1\} \times O_n, \tag{3.19}$$

where $\bar s \in O_n$ is the image of $s \in \mathrm{Pin}_n^+$. This passes to a homomorphism with domain the mixing construction (3.18), and then to its quotient $\widehat{H}_n$. □

Proposition 3.20. *For each $n \geqslant 1$ there is an inclusion of group extensions*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & H_n & \longrightarrow & \{\pm 1\} \times H_n & \longrightarrow & \{\pm 1\} & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle i_n} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle s_{n+1} * j_{n+1} i_n} & & \| & & \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & H_{n+1} & \xrightarrow{j_{n+1}} & \widehat{H}_{n+1} & \longrightarrow & \{\pm 1\} & \longrightarrow & 1 \end{array} \tag{3.21}$$

in which i_n is the inclusion in (2.13) and j_n the inclusion in (3.13). Furthermore, the inclusions i_n and $\hat{\imath}_n$ induce a commutative diagram linking (3.21) for varying n.

Proof. Define $s_n \colon \{\pm 1\} \to \widehat{H}_n$ as the splitting of (3.13) induced by the hyperplane reflection which reverses the first coordinate of $\mathbb{R}^n$ and fixes the others. Then the s_n fit (3.13) into a commutative diagram of split short exact sequences as n varies, using the inclusions $i_n, \hat{\imath}_n$. With all maps defined the rest is a systematic verification. □

As in (2.26) set

$$\widehat{H} = \operatorname*{colim}_{n \to \infty} \widehat{H}_n \tag{3.22}$$

and $\hat{\rho} \colon \widehat{H} \to \{\pm 1\} \times O$ the colimit of $\hat{\rho}_n$ in (3.14).

Corollary 3.23. *The colimit of (3.21) induces an isomorphism $\theta \colon \{\pm 1\} \times H \to \widehat{H}$ which fits into the commutative triangle*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \{\pm 1\} \times H & \xrightarrow{\theta} & \widehat{H} \\ {\scriptstyle \mathrm{id} \times \rho}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \hat{\rho}} \\ O_1 \times O & \xrightarrow[\oplus]{} & O \end{array} \tag{3.24}$$

Proof. The colimit of the inclusions i_n is an isomorphism, and the colimit of (3.21) implies that the colimit of $s_n \times j_n i_n$ is also an isomorphism. The commutativity of the triangle (3.24) follows from the colimit of (3.14) and the fact that the colimit of s_n is a section of $\widehat{H} \to \{\pm 1\}$. □

For the basic symmetry groups in (2.10) the extended symmetry groups are listed here:

states/symmetry	H_n	$\widehat{H}_n$
bosons only	SO_n	O_n
fermions allowed	Spin_n	Pin_n^+
bosons, time-reversal (T)	O_n	$\{\pm 1\} \times O_n$
fermions, $T^2 = (-1)^F$	Pin_n^+	$\widehat{\mathrm{Pin}}_n^+$
fermions, $T^2 = \mathrm{id}$	Pin_n^-	$\widehat{\mathrm{Pin}}_n^-$

(3.25)

The splitting of $\widehat{O}_n$ is a consequence of the fact that hyperplane reflections are inner in $\widehat{O}_n$. A similar argument proves that the 4-component group $\widehat{\mathrm{Pin}}_n^{\pm}$ can be constructed from $\mathrm{Pin}_n^{\pm}$ by adjoining the automorphism which is the identity on $\mathrm{Spin}_n \subset \mathrm{Pin}_n^{\pm}$ and multiplication by the central element $-1 \in \mathrm{Spin}_n$ on the off-component of $\mathrm{Pin}_n^{\pm}$. (This argument is echoed in Remark A.12.)

4. Reflection symmetry on manifolds

The enhanced symmetry group $\widehat{H}_n$ produces an involution (§4.1) on H_n-manifolds which generalizes orientation-reversal for $H = SO$. In the field theory context it induces an involution on bordism categories which we call 'bar'. (See Appendix B for a general discussion of involutions on categories and other relevant background.) In §4.2 we prove that the dual of an object in a bordism category is isomorphic to its bar. The definitions of *reflection structure* and *positive reflection structure* for nonextended field theories are in §4.3. In a reflection positive theory the partition function of any double is nonnegative, as we prove in §4.4. We work as always with arbitrary symmetry groups.[11]

4.1. An involution on H_n-manifolds

Recall from §2.2 that an H_n-manifold is a Riemannian n-manifold equipped with a reduction (P, θ) of its orthonormal frame bundle $\mathcal{B}_O(X) \to X$ to H_n. Extend the principal H_n-bundle $P \to X$ to a principal $\widehat{H}_n$-bundle $i(P) \to X$, where i is the inclusion of groups in (3.13). Using (3.14) extend the isomorphism $\theta\colon \mathcal{B}_O(X) \to \rho_n(P)$ to an isomorphism $\hat{\theta}\colon \{\pm 1\} \times \mathcal{B}_O(X) \to \hat{\rho}_n\big(i(P)\big)$.

Definition 4.1. The *opposite H_n-structure* (P', θ') is the principal H_n-bundle $P' := i(P)\backslash P \to X$ and the restriction θ' of $\hat{\theta}$ to $\{-1\} \times \mathcal{B}_O(X)$.

Taking opposites is involutive: there is a canonical isomorphism $(P, \theta) \xrightarrow{\cong} (P'', \theta'')$.

Remark 4.2. Let $\sigma \in O_n$ be a hyperplane reflection and ϕ_σ the automorphism of H_n resulting from the splitting of (3.13). Then we can identify the principal H_n-bundle $P' \to X$ as the projection $P \to X$ of manifolds with the original H_n-action on P precomposed with the automorphism ϕ_σ. For if $\tilde{\sigma} \in \widehat{H}_n$ is the splitting element, then we map $P \to i(P)\backslash P$ by $p \mapsto p \cdot \tilde{\sigma}$.

Example 4.3. An SO_n-structure is an orientation, and the opposite SO_n-structure is the reverse orientation. In this case $P \to X$ is the bundle of oriented orthonormal frames, $i(P) \to X$ the bundle $\mathcal{B}_O(X) \to X$ of all orthonormal frames, and $i(P)\backslash P \to X$ the bundle of oppositely oriented orthonormal frames.

Example 4.4. For simplicity, we sometimes abbreviate '$\mathrm{Pin}^{\pm}_n$-structure' to 'pin structure', just as 'Spin_n-structure' is abbreviated to 'spin structure'. The opposite of a pin structure is obtained by tensoring with the orientation double cover; see Definition A.11, Remark A.12, and the text following (3.25). One motivation for our general study of symmetry groups (§2.1) and involutions (§3.2) is to explain the appearance of this opposite pin structure in the formulation of reflection positivity for Wick-rotated quantum field theories with fermions and time-reversal symmetry.

We use the involution in Definition 4.1 to construct an involution of categories

$$\beta_{\mathcal{B}} = \beta\colon \mathrm{Bord}_{\langle n-1,n\rangle}(H_n) \to \mathrm{Bord}_{\langle n-1,n\rangle}(H_n). \tag{4.5}$$

In Appendix B we explain that an involution on a category $\mathcal{B}$ is a functor $\beta\colon \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{B}$ and a natural transformation of functors $\eta\colon \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{B}} \to \beta^2$. The objects and morphism in $\mathrm{Bord}_{\langle n-1,n\rangle}(H_n)$ are

[11] The definition of the double of a (s)pin manifold is somewhat tricky, for example; the general setting is clarifying.

Riemannian manifolds with H_n-structure: the functor β fixes the underlying Riemannian manifold and flips the H_n-structure to its opposite. The equivalence η implements the canonical isomorphism indicated after Definition 4.1. We emphasize that the "bar involution" β is covariant: a morphism $X\colon Y_0 \to Y_1$ maps to a morphism $\beta X\colon \beta Y_0 \to \beta Y_1$. Put differently, the arrows of time on objects are unchanged under β.

Remark 4.6. One can envisage other involutions on the bordism category, and so other notions of reflection structure (Definition 4.14 below), especially for mathematical applications. The heuristics in Remark 3.11 are meant to illustrate why we feel the involution defined here correctly models Wick-rotated unitarity in relativistic field theories.

4.2. Duals and opposites

An object Y in a symmetric monoidal category, such as $\mathrm{Bord}_{\langle n-1,n\rangle}(H_n)$, may have a dual $Y^\vee$, which is equipped with duality data; see Definition B.8 for a quick review. In a topological bordism category every object has a dual. The underlying smooth manifold of the dual $Y^\vee$ equals that of Y, but the arrow of time is reversed. This reversal is evident in the coevaluation and evaluation duality data. For example, evaluation is the bordism

$$e_Y = [0,1]\times Y\colon\ Y^\vee \amalg Y \longrightarrow \varnothing^{n-1} \tag{4.7}$$

with the entire boundary incoming. The H_n-structure is the same at the two ends, but the arrows of time are opposite. If the boundary at $0 \in [0,1]$ is the object Y, with its arrow of time, then the boundary at $1 \in [0,1]$ is the object $Y^\vee$. See Figure 4, where the coevaluation c_Y and the "S-diagram" (B.9) are also depicted.



FIGURE 4. Evaluation, coevaluation, and the gluing to the identity

An object Y in a topological bordism category has a canonical product germ (see §2.2), namely the germ of $\{0\}\times Y$ in $X = (-\epsilon,\epsilon)\times Y$, where we fix $\epsilon > 0$. Let σ be the diffeomorphism of X which reflects $t \mapsto -t$ and fixes Y. The splitting in Proposition 3.12 leads to an alternative construction of the opposite H_n-structure and the following important identification.

Proposition 4.8. *For any object Y in $\mathrm{Bord}_{\langle n-1,n\rangle}(H_n)$ there is a canonical isomorphism*

$$\beta Y \xrightarrow{\ \cong\ } Y^\vee \tag{4.9}$$

Reversing the H_n-structure (βY) is equivalent to reversing the arrow of time ($Y^\vee$). Or, in the language of Definition B.14, every object in $\mathrm{Bord}_{\langle n-1,n\rangle}(H_n)$ has a canonical *hermitian structure*.

Proof. The reflection

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma\colon (-\epsilon,\epsilon)\times Y &\longrightarrow (-\epsilon,\epsilon)\times Y \\ (t,y) &\longmapsto (-t,y) \end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

lifts to the frame bundle $\mathcal{B}_O(X)$. We now construct a diagram of principal K-bundles:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} Q' & \hookrightarrow & P' & \hookrightarrow & i(P) & \hookleftarrow & P & \hookleftarrow & Q^\vee \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi'} & & \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi} & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{B}_Y & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{B}_O(X) & \xhookrightarrow{-1\times\mathrm{id}} & \{\pm 1\}\times\mathcal{B}_O(X) & \xhookleftarrow{1\times\mathrm{id}} & \mathcal{B}_O(X) & \hookleftarrow & \mathcal{B}_Y^\vee \end{array} \tag{4.11}$$

Let $\mathcal{B}_Y \subset \mathcal{B}_O(X)$ be the O_{n-1}-subbundle of frames with first vector $\pm\partial/\partial t$, the sign chosen to align with the arrow of time of the object Y. Let $\mathcal{B}_Y^\vee$ be the compatible frames with the opposite arrow of time. Then σ induces an isomorphism $\mathcal{B}_Y \to \mathcal{B}_Y^\vee$ which is realized inside $\mathcal{B}_O(X)$ as multiplication by the hyperplane reflection $\sigma_1 \in O_n$ which fixes the vector $e_1 \in \mathbb{R}^n$. (Observe that σ_1 centralizes $O_{n-1} \subset O_n$.) Let $P \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathcal{B}_O(X) \to X$ be the H_n-structure: the composition is a principal H_n-bundle and the first map is a principal K-bundle. Set $Q^\vee = \pi^{-1}(\mathcal{B}_Y^\vee)$; then $Q^\vee \to X$ is a principal H_{n-1}-bundle. Let $i(P), P'$ be as in Definition 4.1, so that $P' \xrightarrow{\pi'} \mathcal{B}_O(X) \to X$ is the opposite H_n-structure. Set $Q' = \pi'^{-1}(\mathcal{B}_Y)$, so that $Q' \to X$ is an H_{n-1}-bundle which encodes the opposite H_n-structure. Let $\hat\sigma_1 = [e_1, 1; 1] \in \widehat{H}_n$ be the lift of $\sigma_1 \in O_n$, as defined in (3.18) and the text which follows; then $\hat\sigma_1$ centralizes H_{n-1} and has order two. The action of multiplication by $\hat\sigma_1$ on $i(P)$ restricts to an isomorphism of H_{n-1}-bundles $Q' \to Q^\vee$. (It covers multiplication by $(-1,\sigma_1) \in \{\pm 1\}\times O_n$ on $\{\pm 1\}\times\mathcal{B}_O(X)$, which restricts to an isomorphism $\mathcal{B}_Y \to \mathcal{B}_Y^\vee$.) □

Remark 4.12. In a geometric bordism category not every germ admits a reflection which is an isometry. It is only for germs which do admit such a reflection that we expect the associated topological vector space of a field theory to have a Hilbert space structure; see [KS]. This is the case for the (noncompact) affine hyperplane in Figure 3, consistent with (3.9).

4.3. Reflection structures and positivity

Let

$$\beta_{\mathcal{C}} = \beta\colon \mathrm{Vect}_{\mathbb{C}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Vect}_{\mathbb{C}} \tag{4.13}$$

be the involution of complex conjugation (Example B.2). Recall (2.33) that a topological field theory is a symmetric monoidal functor $F\colon \mathrm{Bord}_{\langle n-1,n\rangle}(H_n) \to \mathrm{Vect}_{\mathbb{C}}$.

Definition 4.14. A *reflection structure* on F is equivariance data for the involutions $\beta_{\mathcal{B}}, \beta_{\mathcal{C}}$.

Equivariance data is spelled out in Definition B.6. For every closed $(n-1)$-manifold Y with H_n-structure we have an isomorphism of vector spaces

$$F(\beta Y) \xrightarrow{\cong} \overline{F(Y)}, \tag{4.15}$$

the curved space analog of (3.9). Combining with the isomorphism (4.9), we see that $F(e_Y)$ is a hermitian form

$$h_Y \colon F(Y^\vee) \otimes F(Y) \cong F(\beta Y) \otimes F(Y) \cong \overline{F(Y)} \otimes F(Y) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}, \tag{4.16}$$

which by the usual "S-diagram" argument (Figure 4) is nondegenerate.

Definition 4.17. A reflection structure is *positive* if the induced hermitian form h_Y is positive definite for all $Y \in \mathrm{Bord}_{\langle n-1,n\rangle}(H_n)$.

Remark 4.18. In a non-extended field theory reflection is *data* and positivity is a *condition*. In the extended case considered later, both reflection and positivity are data.

Example 4.19. To avoid trivialities, suppose the spacetime dimension n is even. Fix a nonzero complex number $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. There is a simple invertible field theory of unoriented manifolds ($H_n = O_n$) whose partition function on a closed n-manifold X is $\lambda^{\mathrm{Euler}(X)}$, where $\mathrm{Euler}(X)$ is the Euler number of X. The vector space $F_\lambda(Y)$ attached to any closed $(n-1)$-manifold Y is the trivial line $\mathbb{C}$: the Euler characteristic of a compact manifold with boundary is a well-defined number. In the bordism category we can write the closed manifold S^n as the composition $\varnothing^{n-1} \xrightarrow{D^n} S^{n-1} \xrightarrow{D^n} \varnothing^{n-1}$ of two closed balls. Denote the first arrow as X and apply the theory F_λ:

$$\lambda^2 = F_\lambda(S^n) = h_{S^{n-1}}(F_\lambda(X), F_\lambda(X)). \tag{4.20}$$

Therefore, a necessary condition for positivity is λ be real.

A reflection structure imposes a curved space analog of (3.8), which for a closed H_n-manifold X asserts that

$$F(\beta X) = \overline{F(X)}. \tag{4.21}$$

If $H = SO_n$ the partition function complex conjugates when the orientation of spacetime is reversed. For a theory of unoriented manifolds ($H_n = O_n$), condition (4.21) implies that every partition function is real. For theories of pin manifolds ($H_n = \mathrm{Pin}^\pm_n$) the partition function of the w_1-twisted pin structure (Definition A.11) is the complex conjugate of the original partition function.

4.4. Doubles

The reflection-conjugation equation (4.21) also applies to manifolds with boundary. We use it to derive a necessary condition for reflection positivity.

Definition 4.22. Let X be a compact H_n-manifold with boundary, viewed as a bordism $\varnothing^{n-1} \to \partial X$. The *double* of X is the closed H_n-manifold

$$\Delta X = e_{\partial X}(\beta X, X). \tag{4.23}$$



FIGURE 5. The double of X

The double is illustrated in Figure 5. In that picture $Y = \partial X$.

Proposition 4.24. *If a theory* $F\colon \mathrm{Bord}_{\langle n-1,n\rangle}(H_n) \to \mathrm{Vect}_{\mathbb{C}}$ *admits a positive reflection structure, then* $F(\Delta X) \geqslant 0$ *for all compact* H_n*-manifolds* X *with boundary.*

Note that the value of a theory on a closed n-manifold does not depend on the reflection structure. The necessary condition for positivity in Proposition 4.24 is the compact manifold analog of the usual reflection positivity statement (3.10) in Euclidean space.

Proof. From (4.23) and (4.21) we deduce

$$F(\Delta X) = F(e_{\partial X})\big(F(\beta X), F(X)\big) = h_{\partial X}\big(\overline{F(X)}, F(X)\big) = \|F(X)\|^2_{F(\partial X)} \geqslant 0. \tag{4.25}$$

□

The double construction is standard for unoriented and oriented manifolds. It is a bit trickier for spin and pin manifolds, so we give a recognition principle and illustrate with some examples. Observe that the double has an obvious (anti-)involution $\Delta X \xrightarrow{\sigma} \beta\Delta X$ with fixed point set $Y = \{1/2\}\times\partial X$, and σ induces multiplication by -1 on the normal bundle. Set $X' = X \cup_{\partial X} [0,1/2]\times\partial X$ and cut along $Y = \partial X'$ to write

$$\Delta X = \beta X' \cup_{\partial X'} X', \tag{4.26}$$

which is the typical description of a double. But we must account for the H_n-structure as well.

Proposition 4.27. *Let* X *be a closed* H_n*-manifold,* $\sigma\colon X \to \beta X$ *an anti-involution with fixed point set* Y *such that*

(*i*) *There exists a submanifold* $N \subset X$ *with boundary* Y *such that* X *is the union of* N *and* σN *along* Y *and* σ *induces a diffeomorphism* $\beta N \cong \sigma N$ *of* H_n*-manifolds; and*

(*ii*) $\sigma\big|_Y$ *induces the hyperplane reflection isomorphism of the* H_n*-structure on* Y *to its opposite.*

Then $X \cong \Delta N$ *as* H_n*-manifolds*

The isomorphism in (ii) is left multiplication by $[e_1, 1; 1] \in \widehat{H}_n$; see (3.18).

Proof. Use the tubular neighborhood theorem to replace Y with $[0,1] \times Y$ and so construct the desired H_n-isomorphism. □

Corollary 4.28. *The sphere* S^n *with* H_n*-structure* $H_{n+1} \to H_{n+1}/H_n$ *is a double*

We note from Remark 2.16 that the homogeneous space H_{n+1}/H_n is diffeomorphic to S^n.

Proof. Reflection σ in the hyperplane perpendicular to e_1 is an involution of S^n with fixed point set the equatorial S^{n-1} perpendicular to e_1. The reflection lifts to an isomorphism of the principal H_n-bundle $H_{n+1} \to H_{n+1}/H_n$ with the pullback of its opposite. (The isomorphism is globally left multiplication by $[e_1, 1; 1] \subset \widehat{H}_{n+1}$.) □

Example 4.29. For $H_m = \mathrm{Spin}_m$ the circle $\mathrm{Spin}_2 / \mathrm{Spin}_1$ has the *bounding* spin structure: the Spin_1-bundle $\mathrm{Spin}_2 \to \mathrm{Spin}_2 / \mathrm{Spin}_1$ is the nontrivial double cover of the circle. The nonbounding spin circle is *not* a double. Indeed, there is a reflection positive invertible 1-dimensional spin topological field theory α into super vector spaces which attaches the odd line to a positively oriented spin point; it follows that $\alpha(S^1_{\mathrm{nonbounding}}) = -1$. This does not violate Proposition 4.24 since $S^1_{\mathrm{nonbounding}}$ is not a double. Turning this argument around, since the oriented circle *is* a double, the 1-dimensional *oriented* topological field theory into super vector spaces which attaches the odd line to a positively oriented point does *not* admit a positive reflection structure.

Remark 4.30. The group H_{n+1} acts as symmetries of the H_n-sphere in Corollary 4.28. Topologically, then, there is a universal family of H_n-spheres parametrized by the classifying space BH_{n+1}. Field theories may be evaluated on families of manifolds and bordisms; this family of spheres enters our analysis in §7.2.

5. Invertible topological field theories and stable homotopy theory

We first recall that to fully implement locality in field theory we need to use a bordism multicategory which encodes gluing laws in arbitrary codimension. Next we recount how invertible topological field theories lie in the framework of homotopy theory: invertibility moves the discussion from abstract multicategories to topological spaces. Finally, we specify the universal target which tracks deformation classes of invertible topological theories. The main result is Theorem 5.20, which is our point of departure for implementing reflection positivity in invertible topological theories. We conclude in §5.4 with a discussion of invertible non-topological theories and their role in low energy approximations of gapped quantum systems.

The material in this section is covered in much more expository detail in many references—the treatment in [F3], especially §§2, 4, and 5, is most directly relevant—so we only recount essentials.

5.1. Extended field theories

There are several physics motivations for extending an n-dimensional Wick-rotated field theory to lower dimensional manifolds, and these are hardly restricted to the topological case of interest here. First, the vector space of physical states attached to an $(n-1)$-manifold Y depends locally on Y. This is familiar in $n = 2$ dimensions, where a theory not only has a vector space attached to a circle, but also to an interval with boundary conditions; the gluing laws for intervals lie in codimension two, since intervals are glued along 0-manifolds in this 2-dimensional theory. The

result is sometimes called an *open-closed theory* [MS].[12] The labels on the boundary are objects in a category, so it is natural to associate that category to the 0-manifold consisting of a single point. As we are doing quantum mechanics, the category is linear and indeed the vector space associated to the interval with boundary labels β_0, β_1 is $\mathrm{Hom}(\beta_0, \beta_1)$ in the category. The objects are boundary conditions, or *D-branes*. Another common example is 3-dimensional Chern-Simons theory, in which a unitary modular tensor category is associated to the 1-manifold S^1, which is a manifold of codimension two in this theory.

Let X^n be a Riemannian n-manifold on which a theory F is defined, and fix $x \in X$. We explained in Remark 2.34 that the vector space $F(S^{n-1}_x)$ attached to a small sphere around x, in the limit of small radius, is the space of point operators at x. A field theory also has *extended operators*, whose support may be a submanifold $W \subset X$ of dimension $k > 0$. An extended operator with $k = 1$ is called a *line operator*, with $k = 2$ a *surface operator*, etc. The link of W at any $x \in W$ is a sphere S^{n-k-1}_x. In an extended field theory F there is an invariant $F(S^{n-k-1}_x)$ which is a k-category whose objects are the operators on W. Thus the line operators in a theory form a 1-category, the surface operators a 2-category, etc.; see [Ka2] for a thorough account.

We believe that every field theory of physical relevance should be fully extended. The mathematical implementation is most developed in the topological case: a sampling of references is [F1, La, BD, L, F2]. Invariants of manifolds of increasing codimension are encoded in a higher categorical structure of increasing complexity. The modern framework also includes invariants for families of manifolds; see [ST] for a nontopological version. The domain of an n-dimensional topological field theory with symmetry group H_n is the bordism multicategory $\mathrm{Bord}_n(H_n)$ whose objects are 0-manifolds; 1-morphisms are bordisms of 0-manifolds, which are 1-manifolds with boundary; 2-morphisms are bordisms of bordisms, which are 2-manifolds with corners; and so on until we reach n-manifolds with arbitrary corners. At that point we continue to $(n + \ell)$-morphisms which are roughly ℓ-dimensional families of n-manifolds, where ℓ is an arbitrary positive integer. The entire structure is an (∞, n)-*category*.

Definition 5.1. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a symmetric monoidal (∞, n)-category. A *fully extended n-dimensional topological field theory with Wick-rotated vector symmetry group H_n and target $\mathcal{C}$* is a symmetric monoidal functor

$$F\colon \mathrm{Bord}_n(H_n) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}. \tag{5.2}$$

We typically shorten this to 'field theory'. In general there is no preferred choice of target $\mathcal{C}$, and it is an open issue to construct suitable general targets. In the very special invertible case we study here there are two preferred targets; see §5.3.

5.2. Invertible topological field theories

There is a natural superposition of quantum systems which does not introduce interactions between them. In the framework of Wick-rotated field theories on compact manifolds this is implemented by tensoring theories together, and that tensor product makes sense for fully extended

[12] There is a difference between an open-closed theory and a fully extended 2-dimensional theory [L, §4.2].

theories too. There is a unit for the tensor product: the trivial theory **1** in which the vector space attached to any $(n-1)$-manifold is $\mathbb{C}$, all correlation functions equal 1, and a similar triviality in higher codimension. A theory F is said to be *invertible* if there exists F' such that $F \otimes F' \cong \mathbf{1}$.

Example 5.3. An $n = 1$ theory F with $H_1 = SO_1$ is determined by the vector space $F(\mathrm{pt}_+)$ attached to a point with positive orientation; it is invertible if and only if this vector space is one-dimensional. (A one-dimensional vector space is called a *line*. A vector space V is invertible if and only if there exists V' such that $V \otimes V' \cong \mathbb{C}$, and this happens if and only if V is a line.) In an n-dimensional invertible field theory, the vector space attached to any $(n-1)$-dimensional manifold is a line and all correlation functions between nonzero operators are nonzero.

We first explain the transition to stable homotopy theory in the nonextended case, as in Example 5.3. The codomain, or target, of a nonextended topological field theory (Definition 2.32) is the ordinary category $\mathrm{Vect}_{\mathbb{C}}$ whose objects are complex vector spaces and whose morphisms are linear maps. To make it more interesting we allow fermionic states, and so map to the category of super vector spaces. An invertible theory F factors through the subcategory $s\mathrm{Line}_{\mathbb{C}}$ whose objects are complex super lines[13] and whose morphisms are invertible linear maps:

(5.4)
$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Bord}_{\langle n-1,n\rangle}(H_n) & \xrightarrow{\quad F \quad} & s\mathrm{Vect}_{\mathbb{C}} \\ & \searrow \quad \nearrow & \\ & s\mathrm{Line}_{\mathbb{C}} & \end{array}$$

The category $s\mathrm{Line}_{\mathbb{C}}$ is a *groupoid*: every morphism is invertible. Even more, it is a *Picard groupoid*: every object is invertible under tensor product. The main point is that groupoids and Picard groupoids come from topology, as we quickly review.

One of the first constructions in algebraic topology goes in the opposite direction:

(5.5)
$$\mathrm{Spaces} \xrightarrow{\pi_{\leqslant 1}} \mathrm{Groupoids}$$

To any topological space S is attached a groupoid $\pi_{\leqslant 1}S$ whose objects are the points of S; the set $(\pi_{\leqslant 1}S)(s_0, s_1)$ of morphisms from $s_0 \in S$ to $s_1 \in S$ is the set of homotopy classes of paths from s_0 to s_1. If the space has no higher homotopy information—S is a *homotopy 1-type*—then $\pi_{\leqslant 1}S$ captures the homotopy type of S completely. There is an inverse construction which takes a groupoid $\mathcal{G}$ (or a category) and attaches a homotopy 1-type $\|\mathcal{G}\|$, the *classifying space* [Se3].

Example 5.6. Let $S = \|s\mathrm{Line}_{\mathbb{C}}\|$. Then $\pi_0 S \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, since there are two isomorphism classes of super line; and $\pi_1 S \cong \mathbb{C}^\times$, since the automorphism group of any super line is the group $\mathbb{C}^\times$ of nonzero complex numbers under multiplication.

Remark 5.7. In Example 5.6 the groupoid $s\mathrm{Line}_{\mathbb{C}}$ is discrete: there is no topology on objects or morphisms. If we use the standard topology on the morphism spaces of linear maps, then the geometric realization $\|s\mathrm{Line}_{\mathbb{C}}\|$ is a homotopy 2-type with $\pi_0 \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, $\pi_1 = 0$, and $\pi_2 \cong \mathbb{Z}$. In other

[13] A $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$-graded line is either even or odd, which means the single quantum state is either bosonic or fermionic.

words, whereas in Example 5.6 the discrete group $\mathbb{C}^\times$ of morphisms gives rise to $\pi_1 \cong \mathbb{C}^\times$, with the usual topology the group $\mathbb{C}^\times$ deformation retracts to the circle ($\pi_0 = 0$, $\pi_1 \cong \mathbb{Z}$), and so its homotopy groups show up one degree higher in the geometric realization.

A symmetric monoidal structure on a groupoid goes over to an *infinite loop structure* on the classifying space S. That is, there exists a sequence $\mathcal{X} = \{S_0, S_1, S_2, \dots\}$ of pointed spaces and homotopy equivalences $S_q \simeq \Omega S_{q+1}$, where $S_0 = S$ and ΩS_{q+1} is the based loop space. We call $\mathcal{X}$ a *spectrum*, which in this case is *connective*, and we call S its *0-space*. (A general spectrum may have nontrivial S_q for $q < 0$.) See §6 for a review of spectra.

Example 5.8. The classifying space $\|\operatorname{Line}_{\mathbb{C}}\|$ has only one nontrivial homotopy group $\pi_1 \cong \mathbb{C}^\times$, so it is an Eilenberg-MacLane space $K(\mathbb{C}^\times, 1)$. The corresponding Eilenberg-MacLane spectrum is denoted $\Sigma H\mathbb{C}^\times$: the 0-space of the spectrum $H\mathbb{C}^\times$ is a $K(\mathbb{C}^\times, 0)$, for which a simple model is the discrete group $\mathbb{C}^\times$, and the 'Σ' indicates a shift.

The functor (5.5) is the first in a sequence of functors $\{\pi_0, \pi_{\leqslant 1}, \pi_{\leqslant 2}, \dots\}$ in which the zeroth maps a space to its set of path components and the higher ones map to higher groupoids. The classifying space construction also works in this context, and it produces a space with potentially nonzero homotopy groups in any degree.

A symmetric monoidal (∞, n)-category $\mathcal{B}$ has a higher Picard groupoid quotient $\overline{\mathcal{B}}$, obtained by formally adjoining inverses for every object and morphism. Also, a symmetric monoidal (∞, n)-category $\mathcal{C}$ has a maximal Picard subgroupoid $\mathcal{C}^\times \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}$ constructed by removing the noninvertible objects and morphisms from $\mathcal{C}$.

Definition 5.9. A fully extended field theory $F\colon \operatorname{Bord}_n(H_n) \to \mathcal{C}$ is invertible if it admits a factorization

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \operatorname{Bord}_n(H_n) & \xrightarrow{F} & \mathcal{C} \\ \downarrow & & \uparrow \\ \overline{\operatorname{Bord}_n(H_n)} & \xrightarrow{\widetilde{F}} & \mathcal{C}^\times \end{array} \tag{5.10}$$

Passing to classifying spaces $\widetilde{F}$ is equivalent to an infinite loop map

$$\|F\|\colon \|\operatorname{Bord}_n(H_n)\| \longrightarrow \|\mathcal{C}^\times\|, \tag{5.11}$$

or equivalently a map of spectra. The domain is determined by the following theorem.

Theorem 5.12 (Galatius-Madsen-Tillmann-Weiss [GMTW])**.** *$\|\operatorname{Bord}_n(H_n)\|$ is the 0-space of the Madsen-Tillmann spectrum $\Sigma^n MTH_n$.*

The theorem proved in [GMTW] is for topological categories; here we need a variation for (∞, n)-categories. It is stated in [L, §2.5] as a corollary of the cobordism hypothesis.[14] See §7.1 for a review of Madsen-Tillmann spectra.

[14] A forthcoming paper by Schommer-Pries contains a complete proof independent of the cobordism hypothesis.

5.3. Universal targets

There are two universal targets for invertible topological field theories, corresponding to the discrete and continuous topologies on $\mathbb{C}^\times$. These targets are spectra; we have no need to define an (∞,n)-category $\mathcal{C}$ with noninvertible morphisms and objects as we only consider invertible theories. A more thorough discussion may be found in [HS, Appendix B] and [F3, §5.1].

The first target is the *Pontrjagin dual* $I\mathbb{C}^\times$ to the sphere spectrum, also called the Brown-Comenetz dual [BC], shifted so that $\mathbb{C}^\times$ is in degree n. Its characterizing property is that for any spectrum $\mathcal{B}$, the space of homotopy classes of spectrum maps into the Pontrjagin dual is the Pontrjagin dual to $\pi_n\mathcal{B}$:

$$[\mathcal{B}, \Sigma^n I\mathbb{C}^\times] \cong \operatorname{Hom}(\pi_n\mathcal{B}, \mathbb{C}^\times). \tag{5.13}$$

The map from left to right is evaluation on π_n. For $\mathcal{B}$ the classifying spectrum of a bordism multicategory, the universal property implies that an invertible topological field theory is determined up to isomorphism by its partition functions.

The spectrum $\Sigma^n I\mathbb{C}^\times$ is appropriate for classifying *isomorphism classes* of topological theories, but we are interested instead in *deformation classes*: we want to identify two theories if there is a continuous path of theories connecting them. For example, as maps into $\Sigma^n I\mathbb{C}^\times$ the Euler theories $F_{\lambda_0}, F_{\lambda_1}$ in Example 4.19 are nonisomorphic if $\lambda_0 \neq \lambda_1$, whereas they are always deformation equivalent. The *Anderson dual* $\Sigma^{n+1} I\mathbb{Z}$ is the appropriate codomain to compute deformation classes. Roughly speaking, it results from the Pontrjagin dual by taking the continuous topology on $\mathbb{C}^\times$. Its universal property is expressed in the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^1(\pi_n\mathcal{B}, \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow [\mathcal{B}, \Sigma^{n+1} I\mathbb{Z}] \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(\pi_{n+1}\mathcal{B}, \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow 0 \tag{5.14}$$

which is non-canonically split. The kernel is the torsion subgroup:

$$[\mathcal{B}, \Sigma^{n+1} I\mathbb{Z}]_{\mathrm{tor}} \cong \operatorname{Ext}^1(\pi_n\mathcal{B}, \mathbb{Z}). \tag{5.15}$$

There is a map

$$\phi\colon [\mathcal{B}, \Sigma^n I\mathbb{C}^\times] \cong \operatorname{Hom}(\pi_n\mathcal{B}, \mathbb{C}^\times) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^1(\pi_n\mathcal{B}, \mathbb{Z}) \tag{5.16}$$

into the kernel of (5.14). It sends a homomorphism $\pi_n\mathcal{B} \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ to the pullback of the exponential group extension (exponentiation is $z \mapsto \exp(2\pi i z)$)

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times \longrightarrow 1. \tag{5.17}$$

If we give $\mathbb{C}^\times$ its usual topology, then ϕ may be regarded as mapping the topological space $\operatorname{Hom}(\pi_n\mathcal{B}, \mathbb{C}^\times)$ to its group of path components.

Summary: the group of isomorphism classes of topological theories is $[\mathcal{B}, \Sigma^n I\mathbb{C}^\times]$ whereas the group of deformation classes of *topological* theories is the torsion group $[\mathcal{B}, \Sigma^{n+1} I\mathbb{Z}]_{\mathrm{tor}}$. As we discuss in the next section the entire group $[\mathcal{B}, \Sigma^{n+1} I\mathbb{Z}]$ classifies deformation classes of more general invertible theories, at least conjecturally.

Combining with the discussion in §5.2 we arrive at the following.

Ansatz 5.18. An invertible n-dimensional extended topological field theory with symmetry group H_n is a spectrum map

$$F\colon \Sigma^n MTH_n \longrightarrow \Sigma^n I\mathbb{C}^\times. \tag{5.19}$$

The space of theories of this type is $\mathrm{Map}_0(\Sigma^n MTH_n, \Sigma^n I\mathbb{C}^\times)$.

The notation 'Map_0' indicates the 0-space of the mapping spectrum; the latter is described in §7. The restriction to the mapping *space*, as opposed to mapping spectrum, is to avoid negative homotopy groups which in any case are not encountered in parameter *spaces* of theories.

Theorem 5.20. *There is a 1:1 correspondence*

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\textit{deformation classes of invertible}\\ \textit{n-dimensional extended topological field}\\ \textit{theories with symmetry group } H_n\end{array}\right\} \cong [\Sigma^n MTH_n, \Sigma^{n+1} I\mathbb{Z}]_{\mathrm{tor}}. \tag{5.21}$$

This appears, at least implicitly, in a joint paper [FHT1] of the authors and Constantin Teleman; Theorem 5.20 has been the basis of many investigations since.

5.4. Remarks on non-topological invertible theories and low energy approximations

The main immediate application of Theorem 1.1 in this paper is to low-energy approximations of gapped unitary quantum systems in case that approximation is invertible. For the heuristic discussion in this section we momentarily drop the invertibility hypothesis.

A typical example of the phenomenon we wish to highlight is 3-dimensional Yang-Mills theory with a Chern-Simons term. The coupling constant of the Chern-Simons term obeys an integrality constraint. Then the low energy effective theory is quantum "topological" Chern-Simons theory [W3]. In fact, this low energy theory is *not* topological; there is a mild metric dependence [W2]. One precise expression of the mildness is that the energy-momentum tensor[15] is a multiple of the identity operator, which is the only point operator in the theory anyhow. (See also the discussion in [GK, §1.1].) Witten observes that if one is willing to introduce some sort of framing, then the long distance topological Chern-Simons theory is the tensor product of a purely topological theory and an invertible theory. The invertible theory is analogous to a gravitational Chern-Simons theory, but more precisely its partition function is the exponential of the Atiyah-Patodi-Singer η-invariant. The coupling constant does not obey the usual integrality constraint, which is why the framing is required for this global decomposition. The full quantum Yang-Mills theory with Chern-Simons term is a theory of oriented Riemannian manifolds (the Wick rotated symmetry group is $H_3 = SO_3$), and so one expects the same for the low-energy approximation. That indeed holds; it is only to make a global decomposition into topological $\times$ invertible that a framing is introduced. Perhaps one should view such a decomposition as existing locally without a framing.

This example violates the physical principle (ii) stated towards the beginning of §1. A more precise expectation is that the low energy physics of a gapped system is well-approximated by a theory

[15]The energy-momentum tensor is a multiple of the Cotton tensor of the Riemannian 3-manifold.

whose energy-momentum tensor may depend on the the background fields, but as an operator it is a multiple of the identity at each point. Or, at least locally we suppose it is topological $\times$ invertible. If the low energy theory happens to be invertible, then we conclude that any non-topological invertible theory can occur and that there is no shift of symmetry group, e.g., no extra tangential structure is required.

This line of thinking leads us to expect that in the invertible case the low energy theory

$$F\colon \operatorname{Bord}_n^{\nabla}(H_n) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C} \tag{5.22}$$

is defined on a geometric bordism category (see Remark 2.38 for the nonextended version), so factors through the classifying space $\|\operatorname{Bord}_n^{\nabla}(H_n)\|$. At this point one needs a differential version of Theorem 5.12 to classify such theories. The forgetful map $\|\operatorname{Bord}_n^{\nabla}(H_n)\| \to \|\operatorname{Bord}_n(H_n)\|$ is surely a homotopy equivalence, since the fibers of $\operatorname{Bord}_n^{\nabla}(H_n) \to \operatorname{Bord}_n(H_n)$ are spaces of connections, which are contractible. This suggests that deformation classes of invertible theories (5.22) are classified by the full abelian group on the right hand side of (5.21), not just by its torsion subgroup of deformation classes of topological theories, i.e., theories (5.22) which factor through $\operatorname{Bord}_n(H_n)$. More precisely, we conjecture that each deformation class has a theory constructed using the differential extension of $I\mathbb{Z}$, as in [HS]; see Remark 8.31. We hope to develop these incipient ideas elsewhere.

The following remark illustrates how topological information is encoded in a non-topological invertible field theory.

Remark 5.23. Suppose $F\colon \mathcal{B} \to \Sigma^{n+1} I\mathbb{Z}$ with $\mathcal{B}$ a bordism spectrum. Let $\pi\colon X \to S$ be a family of closed n-manifolds parametrized by a space S. The fiber bundle π induces a map $\Sigma^n S \to \mathcal{B}$ and, composing with F, a map of S into the 0-space of the spectrum $\Sigma I\mathbb{Z}$. Since S is a space—no negative homotopy groups—we can replace $\Sigma I\mathbb{Z}$ by its connective cover $\Sigma H\mathbb{Z}$, whose 0-space has the homotopy type of $\mathbb{C}^\times$. Indeed, if F represents the deformation class of an invertible field theory Z, then the partition function of the family π of n-manifolds is a map $Z(\pi)\colon S \to \mathbb{C}^\times$. The pullback of the universal $\mathbb{Z}$-torsor (5.17) gives a principal $\mathbb{Z}$-bundle over S, which retains all of the homotopical information in $Z(\pi)$, namely the homotopy class $[Z(\pi)] \in H^1(S;\mathbb{Z})$.

6. Equivariant stable homotopy theory

Reflection symmetry in invertible topological theories is expressed by a $\mathbb{Z}/2$-action on the constituent spectra. To do so systematically requires working in $\mathbb{Z}/2$-equivariant stable homotopy theory. One passes from ordinary homotopy theory to ordinary stable homotopy theory by inverting the suspension operation, or equivalently, by inverting the operations given by smashing with the sphere S^n. By analogy, for a compact Lie group G one passes from G-equivariant homotopy theory to G-equivariant stable homotopy theory by inverting the operation of smashing with the one point compactifications S^V of real representations V of G.

A *spectrum* X is a sequence $\{X_0, X_1, \dots\}$ of pointed spaces, equipped with maps $t_n : S^1 \wedge X_n \to X_{n+1}$. A map $X \to Y$ of spectra is a sequence of maps $X_n \to Y_n$ making the diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S^1 \wedge X_n & \xrightarrow{t_n^X} & X_{n+1} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ S^1 \wedge Y_n & \xrightarrow[t_n^Y]{} & Y_{n+1} \end{array}$$

commute. The *homotopy groups* $\pi_n X$ of a spectrum X are defined for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ by

$$\pi_n(X) = \varinjlim_k \pi_{n+k} X_{n+k}$$

in which the bonding maps are given by the suspension mapping

$$\pi_{n+k} X_{n+k} \xrightarrow{\Sigma} \pi_{n+k+1} \Sigma X_{n+k} \xrightarrow{t_{n+k+1}} \pi_{n+k+1} X_{n+k+1}.$$

The group $\pi_{n+k} X_{n+k}$ is defined for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ as soon as $k \geqslant -n$. A map $X \to Y$ is a *weak equivalence* if it induces an isomorphism of homotopy groups. Equipped with the weak equivalences, the category $\mathcal{S}$ of spectra becomes a bona fide place for doing homotopy theory, and both the homotopy category $\mathrm{ho}\,\mathcal{S}$ and the derived mapping spectra $\underline{\mathcal{S}}(X, Y)$ are defined. We will use the abbreviations

$$\begin{aligned} [X, Y] &= \mathrm{ho}\,\mathcal{S}(X, Y) \\ \mathrm{Map}(X, Y) &= \underline{\mathcal{S}}(X, Y) \end{aligned}$$

when referring to these objects.

One sets up equivariant stable homotopy theory in a similar way. For a finite group G write $\rho = \rho_G$ for the real regular representation of G, and S^ρ for its one point compactification. A *G-spectrum* X consists of a sequence of pointed G-spaces $X_0, X_1, \cdots$, together with equivariant maps

$$t_n : S^{n\rho} \wedge X_n \to X_{n+1}.$$

Equivariant maps of G-spectra are defined in the evident way. For a G-space Z and a representation V of G write $\pi_V^G = [S^V, X]$ for the set of G-equivariant maps from the one point compactification S^V of V to X. This set is a group if V contains a trivial representation, and an abelian group if V contains two trivial representations. For $H \subset G$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ we define the homotopy group $\pi_k^H X$ by

$$\pi_k^H X = \varinjlim \pi_{k+n\rho}^H X_n.$$

Since ρ contains a trivial representation, this makes sense as soon as $n \geqslant k$. In fact, since ρ contains every irreducible representation, a similar formula defines $\pi_V^H X$ for every virtual real representation V of H of finite virtual dimension.

To do homotopy theory with equivariant spectra we must specify the weak equivalences. There are several choices available. An equivariant map $X \to Y$ is a *weak equivalence* if for all $H \subset G$ and all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ the map

$$\pi_k^H X \to \pi_k^H Y$$

is an isomorphism. A map is a *Borel weak equivalence* if for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ the $\pi_k^{\{e\}} X \to \pi_k^{\{e\}} Y$ is an isomorphism, or in other words, if the map of underlying non-equivariant spectra is a weak equivalence. Write $EG \to BG$ for the universal principal G-bundle. One can easily check that a map $X \to Y$ of G-spectra is a Borel weak equivalence if and only if the map $EG_+ \wedge X \to EG_+ \wedge Y$ is an weak equivalence, in which

$$(EG_+ \wedge X)_n = EG_+ \wedge X_n.$$

Write $\mathcal{S}^G$ for the category of G-equivariant spectra and equivariant maps. Equipped with the weak equivalences, both the homotopy category $\mathrm{ho}\,\mathcal{S}^G$ and the derived mapping spectra $\underline{\mathbb{S}}^G(X,Y)$ are defined. Equipped with the *Borel weak equivalences* the homotopy category $\mathrm{ho}\,\mathcal{S}^G_{\mathrm{Borel}}$ is defined, as are the derived mapping spectra $\underline{\mathbb{S}}^G_{\mathrm{Borel}}(X,Y)$. By the above, there is an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{ho}\,\mathcal{S}^G(EG_+ \wedge X, Y) \approx \mathrm{ho}\,\mathcal{S}^G_{\mathrm{Borel}}(X,Y)$$

and a Borel weak equivalence

$$\underline{\mathbb{S}}^G(EG_+ \wedge X, Y) \sim \underline{\mathbb{S}}^G_{\mathrm{Borel}}(X,Y).$$

We will work entirely with Borel weak equivalences in this paper and will employ the abbreviations

$$[X,Y]^G = \mathrm{ho}\,\mathcal{S}^G_{\mathrm{Borel}}(X,Y)$$
$$\mathrm{Map}^G(X,Y) = \underline{\mathbb{S}}^G_{\mathrm{Borel}}(X,Y).$$

However, with an eye on a more useful overview we will continue in this section to describe equivariant stable homotopy theory from the more general point of view.

Example 6.1. The *suspension spectrum* of a pointed G-space X is the spectrum $\Sigma^\infty X$ with

$$\big(\Sigma^\infty X\big)_n = S^{n\rho} \wedge X.$$

When the context is clear we will drop the Σ^∞ and not distinguish in notation between a G-space and its suspension spectrum.

Example 6.2. Suppose that $X = \{X_n\}$ is a G-spectrum and Z is a G-space. We define $X \wedge Z$ to be the spectrum with

$$\big(X \wedge X\big)_n = X_n \wedge Z$$

and the evident structure maps.

Example 6.3. Let $k \geqslant 0$ be a positive integer. We define a spectrum $S^{-k\rho}$ by

$$\left(S^{-k\rho}\right)_n = \begin{cases} * & k < n \\ S^{(n-k)\rho} & k \geqslant n. \end{cases}$$

The spectra $S^{-k\rho}$ play an important role in the foundations. In fact every spectrum $X = \{X_n\}$ is weakly equivalent to the homotopy colimit

$$X = \varinjlim S^{-n\rho} \wedge X_n. \tag{6.4}$$

(This system isn't quite as simple as it looks. The bonding maps are a zig-zag involving the inverse of a weak equivalence).

Example 6.5. Suppose that X is a compact G CW complex and V is a virtual equivariant vector bundle on X. Choose a presentation $V = W - k\rho$ where W is an actual vector bundle. We define the *Thom spectrum* to be the spectrum

$$S^{-k\rho} \wedge X^W.$$

One extends this notion to infinite G CW complexes by passing to the colimit along the finite skeleta.

The operation $X \wedge Z$ can be extended to a symmetric monoidal smash product on spectra. It has the property that it commutes with colimits in both variables, and for G-spaces Z_1 and Z_2 and integers $k, \ell \geqslant 0$ one has

$$\left(S^{-k\rho} \wedge Z_1\right) \wedge \left(S^{-\ell\rho} \wedge Z_2\right) \simeq S^{-(k+\ell)\rho} \wedge Z_1 \wedge Z_2.$$

This basically determines the smash product in view of (6.4).

Equipped with the smash product the categories $\operatorname{ho} \mathcal{S}^G_{\text{Borel}}$ and $\operatorname{ho} \mathcal{S}^G$ becomes symmetric monoidal categories. The suspension spectra of finite pointed G CW complexes are dualizable, and the suspension spectra of finite pointed G-sets are self-dual. For a finite dimensional representation V of G, the dual of S^V is denoted S^{-V}. It can be constructed as a spectrum by choosing an equivariant isomorphism $V \oplus W \approx k\rho$ for some $k > 0$. There is then a weak equivalence of S^{-V} with the spectrum whose n^{th} G-space is

$$\begin{cases} * & n \leqslant k \\ S^W \wedge S^{(n-k)\rho} & n > k \ . \end{cases}$$

The duality pairing is given termwise by the map

$$S^V \wedge S^W \wedge S^{(n-k)\rho} \to S^{k\rho} \wedge S^{(n-k)\rho} \to S^{n\rho}$$

and using the fact that the weak equivalence class of a spectrum X only depends on the spaces X_n for $n \gg 0$. If V and W are two representations of V we define

$$S^{V-W} = S^V \wedge S^{-W}.$$

Up to weak equivalence this spectrum depends only on the class of $[V]-[W]$ in the representation ring of G. However attempting to directly define S^V for a virtual representation V of G embroils one in a tangle of non-canonical isomorphisms. We therefore emphasize that the expression S^{V-W} is just an abbreviation for $S^V \wedge S^{-W}$, and the representations V and W have been specified. One does have a canonical weak equivalence

$$S^{V-W} \wedge S^{W-V} \simeq S^0$$

given by the duality maps

$$S^V \wedge S^{-W} \wedge S^W \wedge S^{-V} \to S^V \wedge S^{-V} \to S^0.$$

The category of equivariant spectra is *additive* in the sense that the map

$$\bigvee_\alpha X_\alpha \to \prod_\alpha X_\alpha$$

from a finite sum to a finite product is a weak equivalence. It is also *equivariantly additive* in the sense that the same is true when the group G is allowed to act on the indexing set. This notion is related to the fact that suspension spectra of finite G-sets are self-dual (the suspension spectrum of a G-set is a sum and its dual is the product).

The *Borel construction* $EG_+ \underset{G}{\wedge} (-)$ extends to a construction on G-spectra called the *homotopy orbit spectrum*. The homotopy orbit spectrum of a suspension spectrum is the suspension spectrum of the homotopy orbit space. If Z is a G-space and V is a virtual equivariant vector bundle on Z then the homotopy orbit of the Thom spectrum Z^V is the Thom spectrum of the associated vector bundle on $EG \underset{G}{\times} Z$:

$$EG_+ \underset{G}{\wedge} Z^V = \mathrm{Thom}(EG \underset{G}{\times} Z; EG \underset{G}{\times} V). \tag{6.6}$$

The spectrum $S^{-V} \wedge Z$ with Z a pointed G-space is the reduced Thom spectrum

$$\mathrm{Thom}(Z; -V)/\mathrm{Thom}(*; -V)$$

in which V is the equivariant vector bundle $Z \times V \to Z$. The Borel construction

$$EG_+ \underset{G}{\wedge} \left(S^{-V} \wedge Z\right)$$

is thus the reduced Thom spectrum

$$\big(EG_+ \underset{G}{\times} Z\big)^{-V}/\big(EG_+ \underset{G}{\times} *\big)^{-V}$$

In view of (6.4) this last formula more or less determines the homotopy orbit spectrum functor in general.

An ordinary spectrum Y may be regarded as a G-spectrum with the trivial G-action, which we will denote ι^*Y. For such spectra it works out that there is a functorial weak equivalence

$$\mathrm{Map}^G(X, \iota^*Y) \simeq \mathrm{Map}(EG_+ \underset{G}{\wedge} X, Y), \tag{6.7}$$

so that $\mathrm{Map}^G(X, \iota^*Y)$ can be computed in terms of maps of ordinary spectra.

Related to the homotopy orbit spectrum is the *homotopy fixed point spectrum* $\mathrm{Map}^G(S^0, X)$, also denoted X^{hG}. With this notation there are functorial weak equivalences

$$\mathrm{Map}(X, Y^{hG}) \simeq \mathrm{Map}(X, \mathrm{Map}^G(S^0, Y)) \simeq \mathrm{Map}^G(\iota^*X, Y). \tag{6.8}$$

We now specialize to the case $G = \mathbb{Z}/2$. Write σ for the sign representation, so that $\rho = \sigma + 1$. We set

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma &= 1 - \sigma \\ \delta &= \sigma - 1. \end{aligned}$$

For a $\mathbb{Z}/2$-spectrum X we define

$$\begin{aligned} X^\delta &= S^\delta \wedge X \\ X^\gamma &= S^\gamma \wedge X. \end{aligned} \tag{6.9}$$

For a non-equivariant spectrum $X \in \mathcal{S}$ we define

$$\begin{aligned} X^\delta &= S^\delta \wedge \iota^*X \\ X^\gamma &= S^\gamma \wedge \iota^*X. \end{aligned}$$

where, as mentioned above, $\iota^* : \mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{S}^{\mathbb{Z}/2}$ is the functor which regards an ordinary spectrum X as a $\mathbb{Z}/2$-spectrum with trivial $\mathbb{Z}/2$-action.

There is a cofibration sequence

$$\mathbb{Z}/2_+ \to S^0 \to S^\sigma \tag{6.10}$$

of pointed $Z/2$-spaces, and so for any X, a fibration sequence

$$X^\delta \to \mathbb{Z}/2_+ \wedge X \to X. \tag{6.11}$$

Passing to the dual of (6.10) and smashing with X gives a cofibration sequence

$$X \to \mathbb{Z}/2_+ \wedge X \to X^\gamma$$

For the purposes of this paper we are interested in modeling the involution sending an object to its dual and an object to its "conjugate." A symmetric monoidal category $\mathcal{C}$ with duals has a duality involution $\mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{op}}$ which is twisted; see Definition B.11. If $\mathcal{C}$ is a groupoid, then we can compose with the twisted involution which inverts morphisms to obtain an untwisted involution $\delta\colon \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}$. When every object of $\mathcal{C}$ is invertible then $\mathcal{C}$ is modeled by a spectrum. To model the involution, we consider the category of pairs (x, y) equipped with an isomorphism $x \otimes y \to 1$. The functor $(x, y) \mapsto x$ is an equivalence of categories, so we can model $\mathcal{C}$ with the involution δ by the involution $(x, y) \mapsto (y, x)$ on the above category of pairs. If $\mathcal{C}$ corresponds to a spectrum X then the category of pairs corresponds to $X \vee X \approx X \times X$, and the category of pairs (x, y) equipped with an isomorphism $x \otimes y \to 1$ is the homotopy fiber of the map

$$X \vee X \to X.$$

Writing this in terms of equivariant spectra we are looking at the homotopy fiber of

$$\mathbb{Z}/2_+ \wedge X \to X,$$

which by (6.11) is X^δ.

The other involution we need corresponds to complex conjugation. This is most naturally modeled by $X^\gamma = S^{1-\sigma} \wedge X$. One way to arrive at this is by looking at the combined $x \mapsto \bar{x}^*$; see B.14. A fixed point for this action is an object equipped with some kind of generalized "Hermitian" form. One is supposed to be able pick out the objects equipped with positive definite Hermitian forms. Since the unitary group U_n and $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ are homotopy equivalent, this choice should essentially trivialize the action. If χ is chosen so that $S^\chi \wedge X$ models the action on X of complex conjugation, then $S^\chi \wedge S^{\sigma-1} \wedge X$ should correspond to X with the trivial $\mathbb{Z}/2$-action, thus $S^\chi = S^{1-\sigma}$.

Remark 6.12. This choice is also borne out by computation. The zero space of $\Sigma I\mathbb{Z}$ is modeled by the unit complex numbers with the *continuous* topology, that of $\Sigma^2 I\mathbb{Z}$ corresponds to the symmetric monoidal groupoid of $\mathbb{Z}/2$-graded complex lines, and $\Sigma^3 I\mathbb{Z}$ the Brauer-Wall symmetric monoidal 2-groupoid of $\mathbb{Z}/2$-graded simple algebras over $\mathbb{C}$, $\mathbb{Z}/2$-graded bimodules and intertwiners. By direct computation one can show that the (Borel) fixed points of $\Sigma^i I\mathbb{Z}^\gamma$ is modeled by the corresponding real versions of the three categories described above.

Remark 6.13. If we take $\mathbb{C}^\times$ with the *discrete* topology, then complex conjugation is modeled by a modification of the γ-involution. The exponential sequence (5.17), in which exponentiation is $z \mapsto \exp(2\pi i z)$, induces a cofibration sequence of spectra

$$I\mathbb{C} \longrightarrow I\mathbb{C}^\times \longrightarrow \Sigma I\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \Sigma I\mathbb{C}$$

which, in view of the equivalence $H\mathbb{C} \to I\mathbb{C}$, may be rewritten as

$$H\mathbb{C} \longrightarrow I\mathbb{C}^\times \longrightarrow \Sigma I\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \Sigma H\mathbb{C}. \tag{6.14}$$

We lift this to a sequence of $\mathbb{Z}/2$-equivariant spectra by letting $\mathbb{Z}/2$ act on $\mathbb{C}$ by the involution $z \mapsto \bar{z}$, on $\mathbb{C}^\times$ by $\lambda \mapsto (\bar{\lambda})^{-1}$ and trivially on $\mathbb{Z}$. Then smashing with S^γ we obtain the complex conjugation involution on $I\mathbb{C}^\times$. The resulting sequence is useful for analyzing the map from isomorphism classes of invertible topological theories to deformation classes of invertible theories: the kernel and cokernel are vector spaces with a discrete topology.

With these conventions the combined *conjugate dual* involution is modeled on a non-equivariant spectrum X by

$$\left(X^\delta\right)^\gamma = \iota^* X. \tag{6.15}$$

This is the starting point for the discussion in §8.2.

7. Reflection structures and stability

The main result in this section is Corollary 7.28, which computes deformation classes of invertible topological theories with reflection structure. We begin here by reviewing Madsen-Tillmann spectra; see [GMTW, §3]. They give a filtration (7.6) of Thom spectra, which leads to an analysis of the obstructions to extending deformation classes of invertible theories (Theorem 5.20) to stable theories. The only obstruction is the sign of the partition function of the n-sphere in n spacetime dimensions. But this does not guarantee positive definite metrics on the state spaces attached to arbitrary $(n-1)$-manifolds (Proposition 7.32), consideration of which is deferred until §8. We conclude in §7.3 by analyzing the obstruction to extending "H-type" theories to "L-type" theories.

7.1. Madsen-Tillmann and Thom spectra

The homomorphism $\rho_n \colon H_n \to O_n$ in (2.3) produces a rank n vector bundle $V_n \to BH_n$ over the classifying space.

Definition 7.1. The *Madsen-Tillmann spectrum* MTH_n is the Thom spectrum of $-V_n \to BH_n$.

More natural for us is a suspension, the connective spectrum

$$\Sigma^n MTH_n = \mathrm{Thom}(BH_n; \underline{\mathbb{R}^n} - V_n). \tag{7.2}$$

Here is a geometric description. Let $Gr_n(\mathbb{R}^{n+q})$ denote the Grassmannian of n-dimensional subspaces of $\mathbb{R}^{n+q}$. It approximates BO_n, and the pullback

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_{n,n+q} & \dashrightarrow & BH_n \\ \vdots & & \downarrow \\ Gr_n(\mathbb{R}^{n+q}) & \longrightarrow & BO_n \end{array} \tag{7.3}$$

is a finite dimensional approximation to BH_n. The q^{th} space of the spectrum (7.2) is the Thom *space* $\mathrm{Thom}(X_{n,n+q};\, Q_q)$ of the vector bundle $Q_q \to X_{n,n+q}$, which is the pullback of the rank q "quotient bundle" over the Grassmannian: the fiber at a subspace $W \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+q}$ is $W^\perp$. The Thom space is obtained from the ball bundle by crushing the boundary sphere bundle to a point.

Remark 7.4. The Pontrjagin-Thom construction provides the basic relationship to H_n-manifolds. If a map $S^{k+q} \to \mathrm{Thom}(X_{n,n+q};\, Q_q)$ is transverse to the 0-section of $Q_q \to X_{n,n+q}$, then the inverse image of the 0-section is a k-manifold $M \subset S^{k+q}$ whose stable tangent bundle is equipped with an isomorphism to the pullback of the "tautological bundle"[16] $V_n \to X_{n,n+q}$, which is equipped with an H_n-structure. Theorem 5.12 implies that the abelian group $\pi_k \Sigma^n MTH_n$ is generated by closed k-dimensional H_n-manifolds under disjoint union. The class of a closed manifold M^k is zero if and only if $M = \partial W$ where W is a compact $(k+1)$-manifold whose stable tangent bundle is isomorphic to a rank n bundle with an H_n-structure extending that of M.

Remark 7.5. Not every element of the homotopy group is represented by a manifold; group completion of the semigroup of manifold classes is needed to obtain the homotopy group. For example, $\pi_0 MTSO_0 \cong \mathbb{Z}$ but 0-dimensional oriented manifolds only realize the submonoid of nonnegative elements. We also remark that the sphere S^{2m} represents a nonzero element in $\pi_{2m}\Sigma^{2m} MTSO_{2m}$, but is zero in the next group $\pi_{2m+1}\Sigma^{2m+1} MTSO_{2m+1}$: the closed ball D^{2m+1} has nonzero Euler characteristic so no SO_{2m}-structure. As another illustration, the 2-sphere and the genus 2 surface represent opposite elements of $\pi_2 \Sigma^2 MTSO_2$: a genus 2 handlebody with a 3-ball excised admits an SO_2-structure.

The Stabilization Theorem 2.12 provides a sequence of spectra[17]

$$\Sigma^n MTH_n \longrightarrow \Sigma^{n+1} MTH_{n+1} \longrightarrow \Sigma^{n+2} MTH_{n+2} \longrightarrow \cdots \tag{7.6}$$

whose colimit is denoted MTH. From the geometric description in Remark 7.4 the homotopy groups $\pi_k \Sigma^n MTH_n$ stabilize once $n > k$; then $\pi_k MTH$ is the bordism group of k-dimensional manifolds with a *stable tangential* H-structure. We identify MTH with the Thom spectrum $MH^\perp$ of the perpendicular stable normal structure. In many cases $H^\perp = H$; however, for example, $(\mathrm{Pin}^\pm)^\perp = \mathrm{Pin}^\mp$.

Following Ansatz 5.18 an invertible topological field theory is a map with domain $\Sigma^n MTH_n$. To investigate extensions along the sequence (7.6) we will use the following in §7.2.

Proposition 7.7. *The fiber of the map $\Sigma^n MTH_n \longrightarrow \Sigma^{n+1} MTH_{n+1}$ is $\Sigma^n (BH_{n+1})_+$. The map $\Sigma^n (BH_{n+1})_+ \to \Sigma^n MTH_n$ is represented by the universal family $BH_n \to BH_{n+1}$ of H_n-spheres.*

See [GMTW, §3.1], [FHT1, Lemma 3.1] for a proof. The universal family of spheres was mentioned in Remark 4.30. We remind that spectra are built out of *based* spaces; for a based space X the spectrum $\Sigma^n X_+$ is the one-point union of S^n and the suspension spectrum $\Sigma^n X$, and the latter is n-connected if X is connected.

Our final task in this section is to refine Ansatz 5.18, which formulates invertible field theories as maps of spectra, to include reflection structures. Recall from §4 that the reflection structure on

[16] The fiber of the tautological bundle at a point $W \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+q}$ in $Gr_n(\mathbb{R}^{n+q})$ is W.

[17] That theorem supplies a *stable tangential structure* BH from which BH_n is constructed by pullback; recall (2.26).

the bordism category maps a manifold with H_n-structure to the same manifold with the opposite H_n-structure, which is defined using the group extension (3.13). Turning to bordism spectra we observe that this group extension gives BH_n a $\mathbb{Z}/2$-action and makes the vector bundle $V_n \to BH_n$ into an equivariant vector bundle V_n^β. The Thom spectrum (7.2) then refines to a $\mathbb{Z}/2$-equivariant spectrum we denote $\Sigma^n MTH_n^\beta$.

Ansatz 7.8. An invertible n-dimensional extended topological field theory with symmetry group H_n and reflection structure is an equivariant map

$$F\colon \Sigma^n MTH_n^\beta \longrightarrow \Sigma^n (I\mathbb{C}^\times)^\gamma, \tag{7.9}$$

The space of theories of this type is $\mathrm{Map}_0^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\Sigma^n MTH_n^\beta, \Sigma^n (I\mathbb{C}^\times)^\gamma)$.

We remind the reader that $I\mathbb{C}^\times$ comes equipped with the $\mathbb{Z}/2$-action specified in Remark 6.13. As in Ansatz 5.18 '$\mathrm{Map}_0^{\mathbb{Z}/2}$' denotes the 0-space of the mapping spectrum.

Remark 7.10. One justification for Ansatz 7.8 would be a refinement of the GMTW Theorem 5.12 to include the β-involution. Absent that, we indicate how given an equivariant map (7.9) we can extract the data of a field theory. First, to compute the partition function $F(X) \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ of a closed n-manifold X we only need the map of nonequivariant spectra underlying F, or more precisely the induced map on the nonequivariant homotopy group $\pi_n^{\{e\}}$. Next, a closed $(n-1)$-manifold Y in the bordism category has a once-stabilized tangent bundle $\underline{\mathbb{R}} \oplus TY$ (see §2.2), and the reflection involution is the sign representation on the trivial summand $\underline{\mathbb{R}}$ (Proposition 4.8). Pontrjagin-Thom represents Y with its equivariant rank n tangent bundle as the transverse pullback of the zero section of an equivariant map of a sphere into the total space of a bundle over BH_n, which works out to be an element of the equivariant homotopy group $\pi_{n-1+\gamma}^{\mathbb{Z}/2} \Sigma^n MTH_n^\beta \cong \pi_{n-1+\gamma}^{\mathbb{Z}/2} \Sigma^n MTH_n^\gamma$, where we remind that $\gamma = 1 - \sigma$ is the reduced negative sign representation.

There is also an equivariant refinement of the stable spectrum MTH, which we now identify in terms of the equivariant spectra introduced in (6.9); the result is a stable extension of the last sentence in Remark 7.10. Corollary 3.23 shows that the $\mathbb{Z}/2$-action on $BH = \varinjlim BH_n$ is trivial and that, as an equivariant vector bundle, $V^\beta = \varinjlim V_n^\beta$ is the sum $(\sigma - 1) + V$ in which V has the trivial action. As a $\mathbb{Z}/2$-spectrum the equivariant Thom spectrum MTH^β is then

$$\begin{aligned} MTH^\beta = \mathrm{Thom}(BH; -(V^\beta)) &\simeq \mathrm{Thom}(BH; -(\sigma - 1) - V) \\ &\simeq S^{1-\sigma} \wedge \mathrm{Thom}(BH; -V) \\ &\simeq S^{1-\sigma} \wedge \iota^* MTH \simeq MTH^\gamma. \end{aligned}$$

We have therefore proved the following important identification.

Proposition 7.11. *The spectra MTH^β and MTH^γ are equivariantly weakly equivalent.* □

7.2. Positivity and stability

We first prove that the double of an H_n-manifold is null bordant through an H_{n+1}-manifold. Recall the evaluation bordism (4.7), the identification of duals and bars in Proposition 4.8, and Definition 4.22 of a double.

Proposition 7.12. *Let Y_0, Y_1 be closed $(n-1)$-dimensional H_n-manifolds and $X\colon Y_0 \to Y_1$ an H_n-bordism. Then*

$$\beta X \amalg e_{Y_1} \amalg X\colon \beta Y_0 \amalg Y_0 \longrightarrow \varnothing^{n-1} \tag{7.13}$$

is H_{n+1}-bordant to e_{Y_0}.

Proof. The bordism[18] is $[0,1] \times X$. □

Corollary 7.14. *The double ΔX of a compact H_n-manifold with boundary is null bordant through an H_{n+1}-manifold.*

By Corollary 4.28 this applies to S^n with its canonical H_n-structure, and so every double is H_{n+1}-bordant to S^n.

Proof. Apply Proposition 7.12 to $X\colon \varnothing^{n-1} \to \partial X$ (and smooth the corners of $[0,1] \times X$). □

Remark 7.15. If X is the 2-dimensional disk, viewed as a bordism from the empty 1-manifold to the circle, then ΔX is the 2-dimensional sphere S^2 and the null bordism $[0,1] \times X$ is the 3-dimensional ball D^3. The Euler characteristic obstructs the existence of an H_2-structure on D^3 which restricts to the given H_2-structure on S^2 (for any stable tangential structure H).

The sequence of bordism spectra (7.6) results in a special type of invertible field theory.

Definition 7.16. An n-dimensional invertible topological field theory with domain $\Sigma^n MTH_n$ is *stable* if it is the restriction of a theory defined on MTH.

Stability can be investigated one step at a time in the sequence (7.6) using obstruction theory. We first carry this out for invertible *topological* field theories. No reflection structure is needed. Recall that the sphere has a canonical H_n-structure given by the principal bundle $H_{n+1} \to H_{n+1}/H_n$.

Theorem 7.17. *An invertible theory $F\colon \Sigma^n MTH_n \to \Sigma^n I\mathbb{C}^\times$ is stable if and only if $F(S^n) = 1$. The subspace of $\mathrm{Map}_0(\Sigma^n MTH_n, \Sigma^n I\mathbb{C}^\times)$ consisting of theories F with $F(S^n) = 1$ is homotopy equivalent to the mapping space $\mathrm{Map}_0(MTH, \Sigma^n I\mathbb{C}^\times)$.*

By Corollary 7.14 the condition is equivalent to $F(\Delta X) = 1$ for all compact X^n with boundary.

Proof. If F is the restriction of $\widetilde{F}\colon MTH \to \Sigma^n I\mathbb{C}^\times$, then $F(S^n) = \widetilde{F}(S^n) = 1$ since S^n is null bordant as an H_{n+1}-manifold. Conversely, by Proposition 7.7 the map F extends over $\Sigma^{n+1} MTH_{n+1}$ if and only if it evaluates trivially on the universal family of H_n-spheres. But that evaluation is the constant function $BH_{n+1} \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ with value $F(S^n)$. There is no further obstruction in the sequence (7.6), because the subsequent fibers have vanishing homotopy groups in degrees $\leqslant n$ and $\pi_q \Sigma^n I\mathbb{C}^\times = 0$ for $q > n$.

The cofibration sequence

$$\Sigma^n MTH_n \longrightarrow \Sigma^{n+1} MTH_{n+1} \longrightarrow \Sigma^{n+1}(BH_{n+1})_+ \tag{7.18}$$

[18] It is a bordism of manifolds with boundary, or better a higher morphism in a multibordism category. We only use $Y_0 = \varnothing^{n-1}$, as in Corollary 7.14, in which case $[0,1] \times X$ is a null bordism of a closed manifold.

of spectra induces a fibration sequence

$$\begin{aligned}(7.19)\quad \mathrm{Map}_0\big(\Sigma^{n+1}(BH_{n+1})_+, \Sigma^n I\mathbb{C}^\times\big) &\longrightarrow \mathrm{Map}_0(\Sigma^{n+1}MTH_{n+1}, \Sigma^n I\mathbb{C}^\times)\\ &\longrightarrow \mathrm{Map}_0(\Sigma^n MTH_n, \Sigma^n I\mathbb{C}^\times) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Map}_0\big(\Sigma^n(BH_{n+1})_+, \Sigma^n I\mathbb{C}^\times\big)\end{aligned}$$

of mapping spaces. The first space is contractible, since $\Sigma^{n+1}(BH_{n+1})_+$ is n-connected. The fiber of the last map is the subspace indicated in the theorem, by the obstruction argument in the previous paragraph. To pass to stable maps make a similar argument with the cofibration sequence

$$(7.20)\qquad \Sigma^{n+1}MTH_{n+1} \longrightarrow MTH \longrightarrow C$$

and the induced fibration on mapping spaces. □

Remark 7.21. If X^n is a closed H_n-manifold, then $[0,1]\times X$ is a null bordism of $\beta X \amalg X$. Thus if F is stable and has a reflection structure, then $\|F(X)\|^2 = 1$.

We can immediately classify invertible topological theories with reflection structure and unit partition function on the n-sphere, up to *isomorphism*.

Corollary 7.22. *There is a 1:1 correspondence*

$$(7.23)\qquad \left\{\begin{array}{l}\textit{isomorphism classes of invertible}\\ \textit{n-dimensional extended topological field}\\ \textit{theories F with (i) symmetry group H_n,}\\ \textit{(ii) reflection structure, and (iii) $F(S^n)=1$}\end{array}\right\} \cong [(MTH)^\beta, (\Sigma^n I\mathbb{C}^\times)^\gamma]^{\mathbb{Z}/2}.$$

Using the equivalence

$$MTH^\beta \simeq MTH^\gamma \simeq S^\gamma \wedge MTH$$

one can rewrite the group on the right

$$\begin{aligned}(7.24)\qquad [(MTH)^\beta, (\Sigma^n I\mathbb{C}^\times)^\gamma]^{\mathbb{Z}/2} &\cong [(MTH)^\gamma, (\Sigma^n I\mathbb{C}^\times)^\gamma]^{\mathbb{Z}/2}\\ &\cong [S^\gamma \wedge MTH, S^\gamma \wedge \Sigma^n I\mathbb{C}^\times]^{\mathbb{Z}/2}\\ &\cong [MTH, \Sigma^n I\mathbb{C}^\times]^{\mathbb{Z}/2}\\ &\cong [\mathbb{RP}^\infty_+ \wedge MTH, \Sigma^n I\mathbb{C}^\times]\\ &\cong [MTH, \Sigma^n I\mathbb{C}^\times] \times [MTH, \mathrm{Map}(\mathbb{RP}^\infty, \Sigma^n I\mathbb{C}^\times)].\end{aligned}$$

Next, we turn to *deformation* classes of theories. According to §5.3 they are homotopy classes of maps into the Anderson dual to the sphere spectrum. The deformation class of an invertible theory with reflection structure is represented by an equivariant map into $\Sigma^{n+1}I\mathbb{Z}$. We call such a map a *deformation representative*.

Definition 7.25. An equivariant deformation representative $F\colon \Sigma^n MTH^\beta_n \to \Sigma^{n+1}I\mathbb{Z}^\gamma$ is *stable* if it is the restriction of an equivariant map $\widetilde{F}\colon MTH^\beta \to \Sigma^{n+1}I\mathbb{Z}^\gamma$.

Theorem 7.26. *An equivariant deformation representative $F\colon \Sigma^n MTH^\beta_n \to \Sigma^{n+1} I\mathbb{Z}^\gamma$ of invertible theories with reflection structure is stable if and only if $Z(S^n) > 0$ for any theory Z in the deformation class. The subspace of $\mathrm{Map}_0^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\Sigma^n MTH^\beta_n, \Sigma^{n+1} I\mathbb{Z}^\gamma)$ consisting of equivariant deformation representatives of theories with positive partition function on S^n is homotopy equivalent to the mapping space $\mathrm{Map}_0^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(MTH^\gamma, \Sigma^{n+1} I\mathbb{Z}^\gamma)$.*

The condition on sphere partition functions is equivalent to positivity of partition functions of doubles. Recall from Remark 5.23 that F only tracks the homotopy class of the partition function.

Proof. Since S^n is diffeomorphic to βS^n, the partition function $Z(S^n)$ is real, and it is nonzero since Z is invertible. The partition function of the universal family of n-spheres is then a map $BH_{n+1} \to \mathbb{R}^\times$, and its homotopy class in $H^0(BH_{n+1}; \{\pm 1\}) \cong \{\pm 1\}$ tracks the sign of the partition function. The deformation class F, evaluated on the universal family, gives a principal $\mathbb{Z}$-bundle over BH_{n+1}, as in Remark 5.23, but we must include the involution. That involution acts on the sequence (5.17) as $\lambda \mapsto \bar\lambda$ on $\mathbb{C}^\times$; $z \mapsto -\bar z$ on $\mathbb{C}$; and $n \mapsto -n$ on $\mathbb{Z}$. Restricted over $\mathbb{R}^\times \subset \mathbb{C}^\times$ we obtain objects in the fixed point category of $\mathbb{Z}$-torsors. Over positive real numbers the involution on the $\mathbb{Z}$-torsor has a fixed point, whereas over negative real numbers there is no fixed point, as is easily verified explicitly.[19] This is precisely the homotopic information tracked by F.

Now we use the stabilization sequence (7.6) as before. If F is stable, then it is trivial on the fiber $\Sigma^n(BH_{n+1})_+$ of the first map, which is represented by the universal family of n-spheres. The argument in the preceding paragraph shows that $Z(S^n) > 0$ for all theories Z in the deformation class. To prove the converse, the positivity of the sphere partition function implies that the first obstruction vanishes, so F is the restriction of a map $\Sigma^{n+1} MTH^\beta_{n+1} \to \Sigma^{n+1} I\mathbb{Z}^\gamma$. The obstruction at the next stage is a map $\Sigma^{n+1}(BH^\beta_{n+2})_+ \to \Sigma^{n+1} I\mathbb{Z}^\gamma$. But $\Sigma^{n+1}(BH^\beta_{n+2})_+ \simeq S^{n+1} \vee \Sigma^{n+1} BH^\beta_{n+2}$ with $\mathbb{Z}/2$ acting trivially on the suspension S^{n+1} of the basepoint. Since $\Sigma^{n+1} BH^\beta_{n+2}$ is $(n+1)$-connected, the obstruction lies in

$$\begin{aligned}[S^{n+1}, \Sigma^{n+1} I\mathbb{Z}^\gamma]^{\mathbb{Z}/2} &\cong [S^{\sigma-1}, IZ]^{\mathbb{Z}/2} \\ &\cong [E\mathbb{Z}/2_+ \underset{\mathbb{Z}/2}{\wedge} S^{\sigma-1}, I\mathbb{Z}] \\ &\cong \mathrm{Hom}(\pi_0\, E\mathbb{Z}/2_+ \underset{\mathbb{Z}/2}{\wedge} S^{\sigma-1}, \mathbb{Z}) = 0,\end{aligned}$$

since

$$\pi_0\, E\mathbb{Z}/2_+ \underset{\mathbb{Z}/2}{\wedge} S^{\sigma-1} = \pi_1 \mathbb{RP}^\infty = \mathbb{Z}/2.$$

There are no further obstructions to extending to MTH, because the fibers have nonvanishing homotopy groups only in degrees greater than $n+1$ and $\pi_q \Sigma^{n+1} I\mathbb{Z} = 0$ for $q > n+1$.

The equivariant version of (7.18) with the β-involution leads to the fibration sequence

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Map}_0^{\mathbb{Z}/2}\big(\Sigma^{n+1}(BH^\beta_{n+1})_+, \Sigma^{n+1} I\mathbb{Z}^\gamma\big) &\longrightarrow \mathrm{Map}_0^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\Sigma^{n+1} MTH^\beta_{n+1}, \Sigma^{n+1} I\mathbb{Z}^\gamma) \\ &\longrightarrow \mathrm{Map}_0^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\Sigma^n MTH^\beta_n, \Sigma^{n+1} I\mathbb{Z}^\gamma) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Map}_0^{\mathbb{Z}/2}\big(\Sigma^n (BH^\beta_{n+1})_+, \Sigma^{n+1} I\mathbb{Z}^\gamma\big)\end{aligned} \tag{7.27}$$

[19] In the notation in Example B.5 we obtain θ_0 over $\mathbb{R}^{>0}$ and θ_1 over $\mathbb{R}^{<0}$.

As in (7.19) the first space is contractible. The obstruction argument above identifies the fiber of the last map as deformation classes of theories with positive sphere partition function. To pass to stable maps use an equivariant version of (7.20), and finally apply the equivalence $MTH^\beta \simeq MTH^\gamma$ to complete the proof. □

Corollary 7.28. *There is a 1:1 correspondence*

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l} \textit{deformation classes of invertible} \\ \textit{n-dimensional extended topological field} \\ \textit{theories with (i) symmetry group } H_n, \\ \textit{(ii) reflection structure, and} \\ \textit{(iii) positive partition function on } S^n \end{array}\right\} \cong [MTH^\gamma, \Sigma^{n+1} I\mathbb{Z}^\gamma]^{\mathbb{Z}/2}_{\text{tor}}. \tag{7.29}$$

Following the discussion in §5.4 we conjecture that the full group on the right hand side of (7.29) classifies deformation classes of invertible theories which are not necessarily topological.

Example 7.30. The restriction map[20]

$$[MTSO^\beta, \Sigma^4 I\mathbb{Z}^\gamma]^{\mathbb{Z}/2} \longrightarrow [\Sigma^3 MTSO^\beta_3, \Sigma^4 I\mathbb{Z}^\gamma]^{\mathbb{Z}/2} \tag{7.31}$$

is an index two inclusion of infinite cyclic groups; see [F3, Appendix A]. It follows that there exist deformation classes of 3-dimensional invertible oriented theories Z with reflection structure such that $Z(S^3) < 0$. Here is an explicit example. The domain is the geometric bordism category of oriented *Riemannian* manifolds. The partition function is $Z(X^3) = \exp(2\pi i \xi_X)$, where ξ_X is the Atiyah-Patodi-Singer invariant [APS].[21] To apply the arguments in Theorem 7.26 we need to use a Riemannian sphere which is a double—the round sphere does nicely—in which case the spectrum of the APS operator is symmetric about zero and so the η-invariant vanishes. The dimension of the kernel is one, $\xi_X = 1/2$, and so $Z(S^3) = -1$. We remark that the corresponding integer invariant of a closed oriented 4-manifold W is $(\text{Sign}(W) \pm \text{Euler}(W))/2$; either sign works. Also, the square of this theory, whose deformation class generates $[MTSO^\beta, \Sigma^4 I\mathbb{Z}^\gamma]^{\mathbb{Z}/2}$, represents "Kitaev's E_8-phase" [K5].

The positivity of the partition function $Z(S^n)$ implies that the hermitian form on $Z(S^{n-1})$ is positive definite; see (4.25). The positivity holds for any null bordant $(n-1)$-manifold, but on other manifolds there is no guarantee of positivity (Definition 4.17), even for stable theories.

Proposition 7.32. *Let Z be a stable invertible n-dimensional topological field theory of H_n-manifolds, and suppose Z has a reflection structure. Then the sign of the hermitian form (4.16) on a closed $(n-1)$-manifold is a bordism invariant and determines a homomorphism*

$$\pi_{n-1} MTH \longrightarrow \{\pm 1\}. \tag{7.33}$$

[20] The involution on $\pi_4 MTSO$ and $\pi_4 \Sigma^3 MTSO_3$ acts as -1: both groups are detected by the signature, which negates under orientation-reversal.

[21] of the operator called 'B^{ev}' in their paper.

Proof. If $X\colon Y_0 \to Y_1$ is an H_n-bordism, then by reversing the arrow of time on the incoming boundary we obtain $X'\colon \varnothing^{n-1} \to \beta Y_0 \amalg Y_1$, and by Corollary 7.14 we deduce that the hermitian line $\overline{Z(Y_0)} \otimes Z(Y_1)$ is positive definite. Therefore, $Z(Y_0)$ and $Z(Y_1)$ are simultaneously positive or simultaneously negative. Finally, $\pi_{n-1}\Sigma^n MTH_n \cong \pi_{n-1} MTH$, as observed after (7.6). □

We conclude this section with a lemma we will use in §8.2.

Lemma 7.34. *The map $\Sigma^n MTH_n \to MTH$ induces a surjection on $H_{n+1}(-;\mathbb{R})$.*

We remark that $\pi_{n+1}(\mathcal{B}) \otimes \mathbb{R} \to H_{n+1}(\mathcal{B};\mathbb{R})$ is an isomorphism for any spectrum $\mathcal{B}$.

Proof. Arrange the stabilization (7.6) and cofibration sequences (7.18) as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \Sigma^n(BH_{n+1})_+ & & \Sigma^{n+1}(BH_{n+2})_+ & & \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle s} & & \\ \Sigma^n MTH_n & \xrightarrow{\ i\ } & \Sigma^{n+1} MTH_{n+1} & \xrightarrow{\ j\ } & \Sigma^{n+2} MTH_{n+2} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \chi} & & \downarrow \\ \Sigma^n(BH_n)_+ & & \Sigma^{n+1}(BH_{n+1})_+ & & \Sigma^{n+2}(BH_{n+2})_+ \end{array} \tag{7.35}$$

The two compositions with shape $\begin{smallmatrix} \cdot \\ \downarrow \\ \cdot \end{smallmatrix} \!\!\rightarrow\!\! \begin{smallmatrix} \cdot \\ \downarrow \\ \cdot \end{smallmatrix}$ are cofibration sequences. The map s_* on π_{n+1} sends the generator of the infinite cyclic group $\pi_{n+1}\Sigma^{n+1}(BH_{n+1})_+$ to the class of S^{n+1}, and the map χ_* on π_{n+1} sends the class of a closed $(n+1)$-manifold to its Euler number. Also, $\pi_{n+1}\Sigma^{n+2}(BH_{n+2})_+ = 0$. It follows that j_* on π_{n+1} is surjective. If n is even, then $\chi_* = 0$ on π_{n+1} and by exactness i_* is surjective. If n is odd, then $\chi_* \circ s_*$ is multiplication by 2. Working now on $\pi_{n+1} \otimes \mathbb{R}$ we can lift any class in $\pi_{n+1}\Sigma^{n+2} MTH_{n+2} \otimes \mathbb{R}$ through j_* to have zero image under χ_*, hence by exactness to be in the image of i_*. In other words, $j_* \circ i_*$ is surjective. Finally, the stabilization map $\Sigma^{n+2} MTH_{n+2} \to MTH$ induces an isomorphism on π_{n+1}. □

7.3. H-type theories

Wen [Wen] and Morrison-Walker [MW] introduced the notion of n-dimensional topological field theories defined only on n-manifolds with an infinitesimal time direction. These are of Hamiltonian type, or H-type, and are the minimal expectation for the low energy effective theory describing a Hamiltonian system. In this paper (as opposed to [F3]) we assume emergent relativistic invariance, so do not engage with H-type theories in a serious way. Nonetheless, in this subsection we indicate briefly how to analyze invertible theories of H-type.

The first issue is definitional: Do the n-manifolds in the bordism category have (i) an *oriented* time direction or merely (ii) a time direction? In unoriented theories this means a reduction of O_n to either (i) O_{n-1} or (ii) $O_1 \times O_{n-1}$. As (i) is easier to analyze, for our present illustrative purposes we adopt it. Then a more general symmetry group H_n is reduced to H_{n-1}, and an invertible theory of H-type is a map out of the spectrum $\Sigma^{n-1} MTH_{n-1}$.

Now the extension question: Does an equivariant map $\Sigma^{n-1}MTH^{\beta}_{n-1} \to \Sigma^{n+1}I\mathbb{Z}^{\gamma}$ extend to an equivariant map $\Sigma^{n}MTH^{\beta}_{n} \to \Sigma^{n+1}I\mathbb{Z}^{\gamma}$? (In Wen's language this is an extension from H-type to L-type.) The obstruction is the value of a representative theory Z on the universal family of H_{n-1}-spheres S^{n-1} parametrized by BH_n. Without the equivariance the value is a $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$-graded *complex* line bundle over BH_n; the equivariance implies the value is a $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$-graded *real* line bundle. (See Remark 6.12 for the connective cover of $\Sigma^2 I\mathbb{Z}$ and its bar involution γ.) The first obstruction is the grading: the single quantum state on S^{n-1} should be bosonic. If so, the remaining obstruction is a class in $H^1(BH_n;\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathrm{Hom}(H_n,\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathrm{Hom}(\pi_0 H_n,\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$. For example, if $H_n = O_n$ or $H_n = \mathrm{Pin}^{\pm}_n$, then a hyperplane reflection should act trivially on the line $Z(S^{n-1})$.

Example 7.36. Continuing Example 7.30, the restriction map

$$[\Sigma^3 MTSO^{\beta}_3, \Sigma^4 I\mathbb{Z}^{\gamma}]^{\mathbb{Z}/2} \longrightarrow [\Sigma^2 MTSO^{\beta}_2, \Sigma^4 I\mathbb{Z}^{\gamma}]^{\mathbb{Z}/2} \tag{7.37}$$

is an index two inclusion of infinite cyclic groups; see [F3, Appendix A]. So there exists a theory Z of H-type which does not extend to all oriented 3-manifolds. There is a representative theory Z defined on the category of oriented Riemannian 2-manifolds: for Y a closed 2-manifold, $Z(Y)$ is the $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$-graded determinant line of the $\bar\partial$-operator. Since $\mathrm{index}\,\bar\partial_{S^2} = 1$, the line $Z(Y)$ is odd.

8. Positivity in extended invertible topological theories

In §8.1 we investigate positivity of hermitian objects,[22] first in the concrete case of finite dimensional vector spaces. We make some preliminary remarks about higher categorical analogs, which are necessary to formulate positivity in extended field theory. In §8.2 we restrict to higher symmetric monoidal categories in which every morphism is invertible, or equivalently to spectra. Taking §8.1 as inspiration we give a definition of positivity in this context. This quickly leads to our main result, Theorem 1.1. Positivity is data, not a condition: a trivialization of an associated field theory (Remark 8.21).

8.1. Categorical reformulation of positive definite hermitian forms

Let $f\mathrm{Vect}_{\mathbb{C}}$ denote the category of finite dimensional vector spaces and linear maps. The bar involution

$$\beta\colon f\mathrm{Vect}_{\mathbb{C}} \longrightarrow f\mathrm{Vect}_{\mathbb{C}} \tag{8.1}$$

maps complex vector spaces and linear maps to their complex conjugates. Duality is a twisted involution (Definition B.11(1))

$$\delta\colon f\mathrm{Vect}_{\mathbb{C}} \longrightarrow f\mathrm{Vect}^{\mathrm{op}}_{\mathbb{C}} \tag{8.2}$$

[22] In Appendix B we describe involutions on categories and the notion of a hermitian object.

which takes a vector space to its dual and reverses the direction of arrows. The composite $\beta\delta$ is a twisted involution which maps a complex vector space V to $\overline{V}^*$. A fixed point in the sense of Definition B.11(2) is a vector space with a hermitian form.

To reformulate positivity of hermitian forms we (i) restrict to the subgroupoid $f\mathrm{Vect}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\times}$ of finite dimensional vector spaces and *invertible* linear maps, and (ii) consider all fixed points at once, i.e., the fixed point category $(f\mathrm{Vect}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\times})^{\beta\delta}$, which consists of finite dimensional hermitian vector spaces and isometries between them. Note $f\mathrm{Vect}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\times}$ and $(f\mathrm{Vect}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\times})^{\beta\delta}$ are *topological* categories in a natural way: invertible maps and isometries carry their usual topology. Consider the forgetful functor

$$\phi\colon (f\mathrm{Vect}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\times})^{\beta\delta} \longrightarrow f\mathrm{Vect}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\times} \tag{8.3}$$

which maps a hermitian vector space to its underlying vector space.

Lemma 8.4. *Suppose $\rho\colon f\mathrm{Vect}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\times} \to (f\mathrm{Vect}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\times})^{\beta\delta}$ is a homotopy section of ϕ which induces a homotopy equivalence on morphism spaces. Then for each finite dimensional complex vector space V, the hermitian inner product space $\rho(V)$ is definite.*

We say ρ is a homotopy section if $\phi \circ \rho$ is homotopic to the identity functor.

Proof. For any $N \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geqslant 0}$, the group $GL_N\mathbb{C}$ is homotopy equivalent to U_N but is not homotopy equivalent to $U_{p,q}$ if $p, q \neq 0$, $p + q = N$. □

Remark 8.5. The forgetful functor ϕ is symmetric monoidal, and we can ask that the section ρ be as well: the hermitian structure on a tensor product $V_1 \otimes V_2$ is isomorphic to the tensor product of the hermitian structures on V_1 and V_2. This forces the hermitian line $\rho(L)$ to be *positive* definite for every 1-dimensional vector space L. This is the positivity we need in this paper as we only work with invertible field theories. Strangely, it seems that for every prime number p we can independently choose a sign for the definite hermitian inner product on $\rho(V)$, $\dim V = p$; the sign in composite dimensions is determined by the tensor structure.

8.2. Positivity on spectra and reflection positive invertible field theories

Inspired by §8.1 we define positivity in the context of equivariant spectra. Positivity refers to a section of the forgetful map from the fixed points of the combination of two involutions back to the original category. These involutions are δ, corresponding to duality and γ corresponding to complex conjugation. In the context of spectra we have argued in §6 that these correspond to the $\mathbb{Z}/2$ actions given in (6.9), so that the combined involution $\gamma\delta$ is trivial: see (6.15). The fixed point spectrum $\mathcal{I}^{h\mathbb{Z}/2}$ of the trivial action on a spectrum $\mathcal{I}$ then works out to be

$$\mathrm{Map}^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(S^0, \mathcal{I}) \;\simeq\; \mathrm{Map}(\mathbb{RP}^{\infty}_{+}, \mathcal{I}) \xleftarrow{\simeq} \mathcal{I} \vee \mathrm{Map}(\mathbb{RP}^{\infty}, \mathcal{I}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathcal{I} \times \mathrm{Map}(\mathbb{RP}^{\infty}, \mathcal{I}), \tag{8.6}$$

in which the left pointing map involves a choice of a basepoint $x \in \mathbb{RP}^{\infty}$ and is the sum of the map

$$\mathbb{RP}^{\infty}_{+} \to S^0$$

sending $\mathbb{RP}^\infty$ to the non basepoint and the map

$$\mathbb{RP}^\infty_+ \to \mathbb{RP}^\infty$$

which is the identity map on $\mathbb{RP}^\infty$ and sends the disjoint base point on the left to the new basepoint on the right.

Definition 8.7. The *homotopy positivity section* of a spectrum $\mathcal{I}$ is the map

$$\mathcal{I} \to \mathcal{I} \times * \subset \mathcal{I} \times \operatorname{Map}(\mathbb{RP}^\infty, \mathcal{I}). \tag{8.8}$$

Example 8.9. Consider the case in which $\mathcal{I} = \Sigma^2 H\mathbb{Z}$. The zero space of $\mathcal{I}$ represents the ordinary groupoid of complex lines; morphisms have the continuous topology. One has

$$\pi_0 \operatorname{Map}(\mathbb{RP}^\infty_+, \mathcal{I}) = \pi_0\mathcal{I} \oplus \pi_0 \operatorname{Map}(\mathbb{RP}^\infty, \mathcal{I}) = \{0\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2. \tag{8.10}$$

The zero space of $\operatorname{Map}(\mathbb{RP}^\infty_+, \mathcal{I})$ represents the groupoid of Hermitian lines, the $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ tracks the sign of the Hermitian form, and the homotopy positivity section picks out the positive definite forms.

Our goal is to formulate a positivity structure on an n-dimensional theory as a factorization through the homotopy positivity section of the codomain. As motivation we first consider the positivity of hermitian lines attached to $(n-1)$-manifolds. Thus suppose $\mathcal{B}^\beta$ is a $\mathbb{Z}/2$-equivariant spectrum, $\mathcal{I}$ a nonequivariant spectrum, and $F\colon \mathcal{B}^\beta \to \mathcal{I}^\gamma$ an equivariant map. Here $\mathcal{B}^\beta$ is the equivariant lift of the nonequivariant spectrum $\mathcal{B}$ given by some action β, whereas $\mathcal{I}^\gamma$ is the particular equivariant spectrum $S^\gamma \wedge \mathcal{I}$ as in (6.9). The map F models an invertible topological field theory with reflection structure, as in Ansatz 7.8 (unstable theories) and Definition 7.25 (stable theories). We discussed in Remark 7.10—see also Proposition 7.11—how to represent $(n-1)$-manifolds with reflection structure using the Pontrjagin-Thom construction, and so we restrict to the cases discussed there: $\mathcal{B} = \Sigma^n MTH^\beta_n$ or $\mathcal{B} = MTH^\beta$. Then a family of closed $(n-1)$-manifolds parametrized by a space Z is represented by an equivariant spectrum map

$$S^{n-1+\gamma} \wedge Z_+ \simeq \Sigma^{n-1+\gamma} Z_+ \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}^\beta. \tag{8.11}$$

Note carefully the γ involution on the domain sphere. For when we compose with F to compute the value of the theory, we obtain an equivariant map $\Sigma^{n-1+\gamma} Z_+ \to \mathcal{I}^\gamma$, so can cancel the involutions γ to obtain an equivariant map

$$\Sigma^{n-1} \iota^* Z_+ \to \iota^*\mathcal{I}. \tag{8.12}$$

Applying (6.8) and (8.6) we obtain a nonequivariant map

$$\Sigma^{n-1} Z_+ \longrightarrow \mathcal{I} \times \operatorname{Map}(\mathbb{RP}^\infty, \mathcal{I}). \tag{8.13}$$

The positivity constraint on $(n-1)$-manifolds requires that this map factor through the homotopy positivity section of Definition 8.7, i.e., there must be a null homotopy of the $\mathrm{Map}(\mathbb{RP}^\infty, \mathcal{I})$ component of (8.13). Note that for $\mathcal{I} = \Sigma^{n+1} I\mathbb{Z}$ this is a map $Z_+ \to \Sigma^2 I\mathbb{Z} \times \mathrm{Map}(\mathbb{RP}^\infty, \Sigma^2 I\mathbb{Z})$, which by a small variation of Example 8.9 is a $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$-graded hermitian line bundle over Z, as it should be. The positivity condition forces the metric to be positive definite.

With this as motivation we seek to define all at once—not just for a particular family of $(n-1)$-manifolds—a positivity structure on a theory (7.9) with reflection structure, and we also want a definition which extends to lower dimensional manifolds in the theory. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a nonequivariant spectrum. The desired manipulation is similar to (7.24):

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Map}^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\mathcal{B}^\gamma, \mathcal{I}^\gamma) &\simeq \mathrm{Map}^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(\iota^*\mathcal{B}, \iota^*\mathcal{I}) \\ &\simeq \mathrm{Map}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{I}) \times \mathrm{Map}\big(\mathcal{B}, \mathrm{Map}(\mathbb{RP}^\infty, \mathcal{I})\big). \end{aligned} \tag{8.14}$$

In the first step we cancel the involutions γ, as in (8.12). Notice, then, that to apply this maneuver the bordism spectrum $\mathcal{B}$ must have the particular involution γ. This causes a bit of a detour in our definition of a positivity structure below.

For later interpretation we apply (6.7) and (6.8) to rewrite $\mathrm{Map}(\mathbb{RP}^\infty, \mathcal{I})$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Map}(\mathbb{RP}^\infty, \mathcal{I}) &\simeq \mathrm{Map}(E\mathbb{Z}/2_+ \underset{\mathbb{Z}/2}{\wedge} S^\sigma, \mathcal{I}) \\ &\simeq \mathrm{Map}^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(S^\sigma, \mathcal{I}) \\ &\simeq \mathrm{Map}^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(S^1, \mathcal{I}^\gamma) \\ &\simeq \Sigma^{-1}(\mathcal{I}^\gamma)^{h\mathbb{Z}/2} \end{aligned} \tag{8.15}$$

Now we give the definition of a positivity structure. Suppose $F\colon \Sigma^n MTH^\beta_n \to \Sigma^n (I\mathbb{C}^\times)^\gamma$ is a theory with reflection structure. Assume $F(S^n) > 0$, which by Proposition 4.24 and Corollary 4.28 is a necessary condition for reflection positivity. If it happens that $F(S^n) = 1$, then by Theorem 7.17 the theory is stable, but in general it is not.[23] On the other hand, Theorem 7.26 implies that the deformation class of F, which is represented by the composition

$$\Sigma^n MTH^\beta_n \xrightarrow{F} \Sigma^n (I\mathbb{C}^\times)^\gamma \xrightarrow{(5.16)} \Sigma^{n+1} I\mathbb{Z}^\gamma, \tag{8.16}$$

is stable. Furthermore, there is a unique (up to homotopy) lift

$$F'\colon MTH^\gamma \longrightarrow \Sigma^{n+1} I\mathbb{Z}^\gamma, \tag{8.17}$$

where we use the identification $MTH^\beta \simeq MTH^\gamma$ proved in Proposition 7.11. Now that we have γ in both domain and codomain, we apply (8.14) to rewrite F' as a nonequivariant map

$$MTH \longrightarrow \Sigma^{n+1} I\mathbb{Z} \times \Sigma^n (I\mathbb{Z}^\gamma)^{h\mathbb{Z}/2} \tag{8.18}$$

[23] An alternative approach would deform the theory via multiplication by "Euler theories" to achieve the factorization to a stable theory F' with values in $\Sigma^n (I\mathbb{C}^\times)^\gamma$. Then we could define a positivity structure on F by working with F'. This avoids passing too quickly to deformation classes. In the end it amounts to the same structure, and we choose expositional convenience over a more elaborate explanation.

Define

$$\eta(F)\colon MTH \longrightarrow \Sigma^n (I\mathbb{Z}^\gamma)^{h\mathbb{Z}/2} \tag{8.19}$$

to be the second component of (8.18).

Definition 8.20. A *positivity structure* on $F\colon \Sigma^n MTH^\beta_n \to \Sigma^n (I\mathbb{C}^\times)^\gamma$ is a null homotopy of $\eta(F)$.

The map F is "positiveable" iff it factors through the positivity section, and positivity is a *structure*, not a condition.

Remark 8.21. We can interpret the map $\eta(F)$ as an $(n-1)$-dimensional topological field theory, and so a positivity structure as a trivialization of this theory. Since γ is the complex conjugation involution (Remark 6.12), the homotopy fixed points of $I\mathbb{Z}^\gamma$ may be regarded as the "real points" of $I\mathbb{Z}$. For example, the partition function of $\eta(F)$ is the homomorphism

$$\pi_{n-1} MTH \longrightarrow \{\pm 1\} \tag{8.22}$$

on π_{n-1} induced from (8.19), and it is precisely the homomorphism (7.33) which tracks the sign of the hermitian lines in the theory F. The highest piece of the positivity structure is therefore the standard positivity constraint in Definition 4.17. The theory $\eta(F)$ assigns a $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$-graded real line to a closed $(n-2)$-manifold and more complicated objects in lower dimensions. It will be interesting to elucidate the notion of extended positivity imposed by the positivity structure.

We repeat this argument on mapping spaces to prove Theorem 1.1, which we restate here.

Theorem 8.23. *There is a 1:1 correspondence*

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l} \textit{deformation classes of reflection positive} \\ \textit{invertible } n\textit{-dimensional extended topological} \\ \textit{field theories with symmetry group } H_n \end{array}\right\} \cong [MTH, \Sigma^{n+1} I\mathbb{Z}]_{\mathrm{tor}}. \tag{8.24}$$

Proof. Define the space $\mathcal{M}$ via the homotopy pullback diagram

(8.25)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M} & \xrightarrow{\ \Delta\ } & \begin{array}{l} \mathrm{Map}_0\big(MTH, \Sigma^{n+1} I\mathbb{Z}\big) \\ \qquad \simeq \mathrm{Map}_0\big(MTH, \Sigma^{n+1} I\mathbb{Z}\big) \times \mathrm{Map}_0\big(C(MTH), \Sigma^n (I\mathbb{Z}^\gamma)^{h\mathbb{Z}/2}\big) \\ \Big\downarrow \\ \mathrm{Map}_0^{\mathbb{Z}/2}\big(MTH^\gamma, \Sigma^{n+1} I\mathbb{Z}^\gamma\big) \\ \qquad \simeq \mathrm{Map}_0\big(MTH, \Sigma^{n+1} I\mathbb{Z}\big) \times \mathrm{Map}_0\big(MTH, \Sigma^n (I\mathbb{Z}^\gamma)^{h\mathbb{Z}/2}\big) \\ \Big\downarrow \simeq \end{array} \\ \Big\downarrow & & \Big\downarrow \\ \mathrm{Map}_0^{\mathbb{Z}/2}\big(\Sigma^n MTH^\beta_n, \Sigma^n (I\mathbb{C}^\times)^\gamma\big)_+ & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Map}_0^{\mathbb{Z}/2}\big(\Sigma^n MTH^\beta_n, \Sigma^{n+1} I\mathbb{Z}^\gamma\big)_+ \end{array}$$

The lower left corner is the subspace of theories with reflection structure whose partition function on S^n is positive; it is a union of components in the space of all theories with reflection structure.

The lower horizontal arrow maps a theory to its deformation class. The lower right vertical arrow is the homotopy equivalence in Theorem 7.26. The homotopy equivalence in the middle line follows by combining (8.14) with (8.15). In the top right entry $C(MTH)$ is the cone on MTH, and an extension to the cone is a positivity structure, as defined in Definition 8.20. Therefore, the homotopy pullback $\mathcal{M}$ is the space of theories with a reflection structure and a positivity structure. The image of a theory under Δ is its deformation class, after passing to path components.

To analyze the induced map on π_0 we use the equivariant fibration sequence

$$H\mathbb{C}^\gamma \xrightarrow{e^{2\pi i\, z}} (I\mathbb{C}^\times)^\gamma \longrightarrow \Sigma I\mathbb{Z}^\gamma \longrightarrow \Sigma H\mathbb{C}^\gamma \tag{8.26}$$

derived from (6.14); with the $\mathbb{Z}/2$ action on $H\mathbb{C}$ and $I\mathbb{C}^\times$ specified in Remark 6.13. We also use the nonequivariant version. Form the commutative diagram of abelian groups

(8.27)

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \pi_0\mathcal{M} & \xrightarrow{\quad\Delta_*\quad} & [MTH, \Sigma^{n+1}I\mathbb{Z}] & \xrightarrow{\quad j\quad} & [MTH, \Sigma^{n+1}H\mathbb{C}] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle k} \\ \pi_0 \operatorname{Map}_0^{\mathbb{Z}/2}\big(\Sigma^n MTH_n^\beta, \Sigma^n (I\mathbb{C}^\times)^\gamma\big)_+ & \longrightarrow & \pi_0 \operatorname{Map}_0^{\mathbb{Z}/2}\big(\Sigma^n MTH_n^\beta, \Sigma^{n+1} I\mathbb{Z}^\gamma\big)_+ & \longrightarrow & \pi_0 \operatorname{Map}_0^{\mathbb{Z}/2}\big(\Sigma^n MTH_n^\beta, \Sigma^{n+1} H\mathbb{C}^\gamma\big) \end{array}$$

in which the left square is a pullback and the bottom row is exact. Hence Δ_* followed by $k \circ j$ is exact. The lower right abelian group is the real vector space

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_0 \operatorname{Map}_0^{\mathbb{Z}/2}\big(\Sigma^n MTH_n^\beta, \Sigma^{n+1} H\mathbb{C}^\gamma\big) &\cong \operatorname{Hom}^{\mathbb{Z}/2}\big(H_{n+1}(\Sigma^n MTH_n^\beta; \mathbb{R})\,,\, \mathbb{C}\big) \\ &\cong \operatorname{Hom}\big(H_{n+1}(\Sigma^n MTH_n; \mathbb{R})\,,\, \mathbb{R}\big) \\ &\cong H^{n+1}\big(\Sigma^n MTH_n; \mathbb{R}\big), \end{aligned} \tag{8.28}$$

where $\mathbb{Z}/2$ acts on $\mathbb{C}$ by conjugation and we pass to the last line using the fact that this is the regular representation (over $\mathbb{R}$). Under this isomorphism k is pullback via stabilization $\Sigma^n MTH_n \to MTH$ combined with real projection $\mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{R}$. Lemma 7.34 implies that $k \circ j$ is injective after tensoring with $\mathbb{R}$. It follows that the image of Δ_* is the torsion subgroup $[MTH, \Sigma^{n+1}I\mathbb{Z}]_{\mathrm{tor}}$. □

The considerations in §5.4 lead to the following conjecture, which incorporates non-topological invertible theories.

Conjecture 8.29. *There is a 1:1 correspondence*

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \textit{deformation classes of reflection positive} \\ \textit{invertible } n\textit{-dimensional extended field} \\ \textit{theories with symmetry group } H_n \end{array} \right\} \cong [MTH, \Sigma^{n+1}I\mathbb{Z}]. \tag{8.30}$$

This is the statement we use in our computations in §9.

Remark 8.31. A homotopy class of maps $MTH \to \Sigma^{n+1}I\mathbb{Z}$ should lead to a canonical isomorphism class of theories via the following sketch. By the twisted Thom isomorphism the homotopy

classes are elements of $I\mathbb{Z}^{\tau+n+1}(BH)$, where τ is the canonical "density twisting": the pullback to manifolds with tangential H-structure can be integrated. According to the main theorem in [FH] there is a unique lift to the differential cohomology group $\widehat{I\mathbb{Z}}^{\tau+n+1}(B_\nabla H)$. Choose a "cocycle" representative. Then on any manifold with a differential H-structure we can integrate to construct an invariant, and these invariants fit to an invertible field theory on $\mathrm{Bord}_n^\nabla(H)$.

We remark that since the rational cohomology of BH vanishes in odd degrees, elements of infinite order in (8.30) occur only for n odd.

9. Fermionic theories with scalar internal symmetry group

In this section we apply Theorem 1.1 to some basic symmetry groups, namely those whose subgroup K of internal symmetries is the group O_1, U_1, Sp_1 of unit norm elements in the normed division algebras $\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H}$, respectively. (We use the names $\{\pm 1\}, \mathbb{T}, SU_2$ for these three groups.) The internal symmetry group $K = \mathbb{T}$ is the basic charge symmetry of electromagnetism; in quantum mechanical models the presence of a so-called particle-hole symmetry "breaks"[24] it to either $K = \{\pm 1\}$ or $K = SU_2$. In §9.1 we classify the possible symmetry groups H_n with these internal symmetries, and restricting to fermionic symmetry groups we recover the 10-fold way; see Tables (9.22) and (9.23). (Wang-Senthil [WS] list many of these groups—in a nonrelativistic form (9.32), (9.33)—and the corresponding "Cartan label". Metlitski [M] introduces the group $\mathrm{Pin}^{\tilde{c}+}$, which provided guidance for our treatment here. This twisted form of Pin^c also appears implicitly in [SeWi, §A.4].) Lemma 9.25 relates the relativistic 10-fold way to the 10 real and complex Clifford algebras, thus providing a link to other 10-fold ways. In §9.2 we sketch two ways in which a theory of free fermions in Minkowski spacetime gives rise to a deformation class of reflection positive invertible topological field theories. If one begins with an $(n-1)$-dimensional free fermion theory, then there is an associated n-dimensional invertible anomaly theory; if the original free fermion theory admits a mass term, then the anomaly is trivial. In this paper we do not attempt a complete treatment, so state the main result as a conjecture, Conjecture 9.62. It expresses the deformation class of the anomaly theory as a composition of a twisted Atiyah-Bott-Shapiro map and a map obtained by the Anderson self-duality of K-theory. This K-theory interpretation depends on Lemma 9.50, which expresses the existence of a mass in terms of Clifford algebras. The second scenario is to begin with a massive free fermion theory in n dimensions, as we sketch in §9.2.6. We carry out computations in low dimensions in §9.3. For each of the 10 electron symmetry groups we list the groups of deformation classes of reflection positive invertible topological theories and compute the map from free fermions to it. There is no further physical reasoning; we compute directly from the results in Theorem 1.1 and Conjecture 9.62. The techniques are from stable homotopy theory, and in the next section we give some details to illustrate how the computations are made. As discussed in §1 these classification results apply to invertible topological phases of condensed matter systems, often

[24] We do not have any fundamental understanding of this mechanism, especially the appearance of SU_2, and simply offer it as a storyline in relativistic theory which matches the condensed matter literature.

called SPT phases. The fermionic symmetry groups with $K = \mathbb{T}$ pertain to *topological insulators*; those with $K = \{\pm 1\}$ and $K = SU_2$ pertain to *topological superconductors*.

Most of the interacting groups we compute are torsion so are covered by Theorem 1.1. The computations are made using Conjecture 8.29 to allow for non-topological low energy limits; see §5.4.

In the theoretical discussions we assume $n \geqslant 3$; in the computations we apply the results to all n.

9.1. Symmetry groups of fermionic systems

We already classified symmetry groups H_n with $K = \{\pm 1\}$ in Proposition 2.9. The *fermionic* groups are the ones for which $-1 \in K$ is the distinguished element k_0 of Proposition 2.5 and Corollary 2.7.[25] (The other possibility is $k_0 = 1$, in which case the symmetry group is *bosonic*.) Those fermionic groups are Spin_n, Pin_n^+, and Pin_n^-.

Next, we classify symmetry groups with $K = \mathbb{T}$. These are group extensions

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{T} \longrightarrow SH_n \longrightarrow SO_n \longrightarrow 1 \tag{9.1}$$

if there is no time-reversal symmetry and

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{T} \longrightarrow H_n \longrightarrow O_n \longrightarrow 1 \tag{9.2}$$

if there is time-reversal symmetry. Recall the group E_n introduced before Proposition 2.9.

Proposition 9.3. *Up to isomorphism there are two distinct group extensions* (9.1) *with* $n \geqslant 3$, *and the groups* SH_n *which appear are* $SO_n \times \mathbb{T}$ *and* Spin_n^c. *Up to isomorphism there are six distinct group extensions* (9.2) *with* $n \geqslant 3$, *and the groups* H_n *which appear are mutually nonisomorphic. Three of the groups have identity component* $SO_n \times \mathbb{T}$*:*

$$O_n \times \mathbb{T} \tag{9.4}$$

$$O_n \ltimes \mathbb{T} \tag{9.5}$$

$$E_n \ltimes \mathbb{T} \,/\, \{\pm 1\} \tag{9.6}$$

The identity component of the remaining three groups is Spin_n^c*:*

$$\mathrm{Pin}_n^c \;\; = \mathrm{Pin}_n^+ \times \mathbb{T} \,/\, \{\pm 1\} \tag{9.7}$$

$$\mathrm{Pin}_n^{\tilde{c}+} = \mathrm{Pin}_n^+ \ltimes \mathbb{T} \,/\, \{\pm 1\} \tag{9.8}$$

$$\mathrm{Pin}_n^{\tilde{c}-} = \mathrm{Pin}_n^- \ltimes \mathbb{T} \,/\, \{\pm 1\} \tag{9.9}$$

The group Pin_n^c is also isomorphic to $\mathrm{Pin}_n^- \times \mathbb{T} \,/\, \{\pm 1\}$. It sits in the complex Clifford algebra generated by $\mathbb{R}^n$ with a nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form [ABS]. In $\mathrm{Pin}_n^{\tilde{c}\pm}$ the action of $\mathrm{Pin}_n^{\pm}$ on $\mathbb{T}$ factors through $\pi_0 \mathrm{Pin}_n^{\pm}$ and is via inversion $\lambda \mapsto \lambda^{-1}$. In each case we divide out by the diagonal subgroup $\{\pm 1\}$. The groups with identity component Spin_n^c are fermionic.

[25] This implies the "spin/charge relation" of condensed matter physics, which is emphasized in [SeWi]: bosons have even charge and fermions have odd charge.

Proof. The extension (9.1) is central, so up to isomorphism classified by the cohomology group

$$H^2(BSO_n;\underline{\mathbb{T}}) \cong H^3(BSO_n;\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}. \tag{9.10}$$

The underline indicates the sheaf cohomology of continuous functions into $\mathbb{T}$ with the standard topology. It is well-known that Spin^c_n corresponds to the nonzero element.

The only nontrivial automorphism of $\mathbb{T}$ is inversion, so in the extension (9.2) either O_n acts trivially or it acts through its components with elements of determinant -1 acting by inversion. In each case the group extensions are classified by a cohomology group of the classifying space BO_n:

$$H^2(BO_n;\underline{\mathbb{T}}) \cong H^3(BO_n;\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \tag{9.11}$$

$$H^2(BO_n;\underline{\widetilde{\mathbb{T}}}) \cong H^3(BO_n;\widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \tag{9.12}$$

The tilde indicates coefficients twisted by inversion. The product (9.4) and semi-direct product (9.5) account for (9.11) and the remaining four groups for (9.9), as can be seen from cohomological computations we omit. □

According to the arguments in Appendix A, the anti-Wick rotation of $\mathrm{Pin}^{\tilde{c}+}$ contains a time-reversal symmetry T with $T^2 = (-1)^F$ and the anti-Wick rotation of $\mathrm{Pin}^{\tilde{c}-}$ contains a time-reversal symmetry T with $T^2 = 1$. More precisely, the groups (9.7) and (9.4) are Wick rotations of relativistic symmetry groups which include CT symmetry; the remaining groups are Wick rotations of relativistic symmetry groups which include T symmetry.[26]

Finally, we classify symmetry groups with $K = SU_2$. Now we have possible extensions

$$1 \longrightarrow SU_2 \longrightarrow SH_n \longrightarrow SO_n \longrightarrow 1 \tag{9.13}$$

and

$$1 \longrightarrow SU_2 \longrightarrow H_n \longrightarrow O_n \longrightarrow 1 \tag{9.14}$$

Proposition 9.15. *Up to isomorphism there are two distinct group extensions* (9.13) *with* $n \geqslant 3$*, and the groups* SH_n *which appear are* $SO_n \times SU_2$ *and* $Spin_n \times_{\{\pm 1\}} SU_2$*. Up to isomorphism there are four distinct group extensions* (9.14) *with* $n \geqslant 3$*, and the groups* H_n *which appear are mutually nonisomorphic. Two of the groups have identity component* $SO_n \times SU_2$*:*

$$O_n \times SU_2 \tag{9.16}$$

$$E_n \times_{\{\pm 1\}} SU_2 \tag{9.17}$$

The identity component of the remaining two groups is $G^0 = \mathrm{Spin}_n \times_{\{\pm 1\}} SU_2$*:*

$$G^+_n = \mathrm{Pin}^+_n \times_{\{\pm 1\}} SU_2 \tag{9.18}$$

$$G^-_n = \mathrm{Pin}^-_n \times_{\{\pm 1\}} SU_2 \tag{9.19}$$

[26] This is our interpretation of [W1, §3.7]. There are more general possibilities with larger internal symmetry group K. This occurs in [SeWi, §3], for example, in a theory with both T and CT symmetry.

The symmetry groups with identity component G^0 are fermionic.

Proof. The classification of the identity component SH_n follows from Proposition 2.5(2): there are two central elements $k_0 \in SU_2$ with $k_0^2 = 1$. To classify the two-component group H_n we apply a useful general result [FHT2, Corollary 7.3]. Namely, for any compact Lie group H, let H^0 denote the component of the identity element, $Z^0 \subset H^0$ its center, and $\pi = \pi_0 H$ the abelian group of components. Then there exists a group L which fits into the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & Z^0 & \longrightarrow & L & \longrightarrow & \pi & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \| & & \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & H^0 & \longrightarrow & H & \longrightarrow & \pi & \longrightarrow & 1 \end{array} \tag{9.20}$$

of group extensions. Furthermore, the group L acts on H^0 by conjugation—the action descends to an action of π since Z^0 is central, but it depends on the choice of L—and the group H is reconstructed from H^0 and L as a semidirect product

$$H \cong L \ltimes_{Z^0} H^0 = L \ltimes H^0 \,/\, Z^0. \tag{9.21}$$

By the Stabilization Theorem 2.12 we may assume that n is odd, since for n even H_n is obtained by pullback, so the center of SO_n is trivial and the center of Spin_n is $\{\pm 1\}$. First, assume $H^0 = SH_n = SO_n \times SU_2$, so that $Z^0 = \{\pm 1\}$. There are two possibilities: $L \cong \{\pm 1\}^{\times 2}$ or $L \cong \mu_4$. We can take the image of L in O_n to be the central subgroup $\{\pm 1\}$. The conjugation action on SO_n is trivial, and as all automorphisms of SU_2 are inner we can take the entire action on H^0 to be trivial. Then (9.21) (with a direct product in place of a semidirect product) yields the two groups (9.16) and (9.17). The argument for $H^0 = \mathrm{Spin}_n \times_{\{\pm 1\}} SU_2$ is similar; again $Z^0 \cong \{\pm 1\}$. □

9.2. Free fermions and twisted Dirac operators

9.2.1. *A relativistic 10-fold way.* Proposition 2.9, Proposition 9.3, and Proposition 9.15 combine to yield $3 + 4 + 3 = 10$ fermionic symmetry groups, which we arrange into two tables:

(9.22)

s	H^c	K	Cartan	D
0	Spin^c	$\mathbb{T}$	A	$\mathbb{C}$
1	Pin^c	$\mathbb{T}$	AIII	$\mathrm{Cliff}^{\mathbb{C}}_{-1}$

(9.23)

s	H	K	Cartan	D
0	Spin	$\{\pm 1\}$	D	$\mathbb{R}$
-1	Pin^+	$\{\pm 1\}$	DIII	Cliff_{-1}
-2	$\mathrm{Pin}^+ \ltimes_{\{\pm 1\}} \mathbb{T}$	$\mathbb{T}$	AII	Cliff_{-2}
-3	$\mathrm{Pin}^- \times_{\{\pm 1\}} SU_2$	SU_2	CII	Cliff_{-3}
4	$\mathrm{Spin} \times_{\{\pm 1\}} SU_2$	SU_2	C	$\mathbb{H}$
3	$\mathrm{Pin}^+ \times_{\{\pm 1\}} SU_2$	SU_2	CI	Cliff_{+3}
2	$\mathrm{Pin}^- \ltimes_{\{\pm 1\}} \mathbb{T}$	$\mathbb{T}$	AI	Cliff_{+2}
1	Pin^-	$\{\pm 1\}$	BDI	Cliff_{+1}

In addition to the fermionic symmetry group H or H^c and its internal group K, we list the Cartan label, an integer s called the "type", and a super division algebra D. The type is defined mod 2 in (9.22) and mod 8 in (9.23); we choose a convenient integer representative. We use notations $H(s), H^c(s), K(s), D(s)$ when we make the type explicit. The Cartan label is used in the condensed matter literature, where this 10-fold way has many incarnations: see [D, AZ, HHZ, K6, SRFL, FM1, KZ, WS]. In those references the *particle-hole symmetry* determines the internal symmetry group K: in its absence $K = \mathbb{T}$; if particle-hole symmetry is present and squares to $+1$, then $K = \{\pm 1\}$; and if particle-hole symmetry is present and squares to -1, then $K = SU_2$. The existence (and square) of *time-reversal symmetry* in the references above matches that in our account except for the entry AIII, which is usually listed as not having time-reversal symmetry (but see [WS, §III]). The super division algebra D is the unique one in the Morita class of the Clifford algebra[27] Cliff_s. The groups Spin^c and Pin^c in the first table (9.22) are distinguished as having a central subgroup isomorphic to $\mathbb{T}$, so are called *complex*; the center of the groups in (9.23) is $\{\pm 1\}$, and so they are called *real*.

Remark 9.24. We would have found it more natural from a mathematical point of view in several places to define $H(4) = \mathrm{Spin} \times_{\{\pm 1\}} \mathrm{Spin}_4$ rather than $\mathrm{Spin} \times_{\{\pm 1\}} \mathrm{Spin}_3$, but we lack a physics motivation to do so.

The following embedding allows a uniform treatment of these symmetry groups, and it opens a path to relating this relativistic 10-fold way to other 10-fold ways in the literature. Fix $n \geqslant 0$.

Lemma 9.25. *Fix a type s and let $H_n(s)$ denote the n-dimensional version of the group $H(s)$ of type s in Table (9.23). Write $A(s) = \mathrm{Cliff}_{+n} \otimes D(s)$. Then there is an embedding*

$$\iota \colon H_n(s) \longrightarrow A(s) \tag{9.26}$$

such that the natural map

$$c \colon \mathbb{R}^n \times A(s) \longrightarrow A(s) \tag{9.27}$$

is $H_n(s)$-equivariant and graded commutes with right multiplication by $A(s)$.

[27] The Clifford algebra $\mathrm{Cliff}_{\pm|s|}$ is generated by $e_1, \dots, e_{|s|}$ subject to $e_a e_b + e_b e_a = \pm 2\delta_{ab}$; see [ABS].

Here c is the extension of scalars of Clifford multiplication $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathrm{Cliff}_{+n} \to \mathrm{Cliff}_{+n}$. (Recall that $\mathbb{R}^n \subset \mathrm{Cliff}_{+n}$.) Note that $A(s)$ is Morita equivalent to $\mathrm{Cliff}_{+(n+s)}$; we specify a Morita equivalence in §9.2.2. We regard $H_n(s)$ as an ungraded group, and in fact $\iota(H_n(s))$ is contained in the even part of the superalgebra $A(s)$. In the complex case (9.22) there is an embedding $\iota^{\mathbb{C}}\colon \mathrm{Pin}^c_n \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Cliff}^{\mathbb{C}}_n \otimes \mathrm{Cliff}^{\mathbb{C}}_{-1}$ constructed using the same formulas as the real case $s = 1$. Of course, there is also the usual embedding $\iota^{\mathbb{C}}\colon \mathrm{Spin}^c_n \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Cliff}^{\mathbb{C}}_n$.

Proof. The case $s = 0$ requires no comment. For $s = 4$ we use the fact that $SU_2 \cong Sp_1 \subset \mathbb{H}$. The scalar -1 passes between the factors in the real tensor product $\mathrm{Cliff}_{+n} \otimes \mathbb{H}$, which explains the division by $\{\pm 1\}$ in the group H. In the remaining six cases $D(s)$ is a Clifford algebra on $|s|$ generators, and the group $\mathrm{Spin}_{|s|} \subset \mathrm{Cliff}_s$ is isomorphic to $\{\pm 1\}, \mathbb{T}, SU_2$ for $|s| = 1, 2, 3$, respectively. For $|s| = 1, 2$ fix a unit vector $e \in \mathbb{R}^{|s|} \subset D(s)$; for $|s| = 3$ define the volume form $\omega = e_1e_2e_3$ as the ordered product of the generators of $\mathrm{Cliff}_{|s|}$. Define ι by

$$
\begin{aligned}
&g \longmapsto g \otimes 1, && g \in \mathrm{Spin}_n,\\
&g \longmapsto \begin{cases} g \otimes e, & |s| = 1, 2,\\ g \otimes \omega, & |s| = 3, \end{cases} && g \in \mathrm{Pin}^{\pm}_n \setminus \mathrm{Spin}_n,\\
&\lambda \longmapsto 1 \otimes \lambda, && \lambda \in \mathbb{T} \text{ or } SU_2.
\end{aligned}
\tag{9.28}
$$

A case-by-case check completes the proof. To illustrate, we check the equivariance of c for $g \in \mathrm{Pin}_n \setminus \mathrm{Spin}_n$ and $|s| = 1, 2$; it suffices to take $g = e_i$ for some standard basis element $e_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$. For $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \subset \mathrm{Cliff}_{+n}$, we have $e_i \cdot (\xi \otimes 1) = -e_i\xi e_i^{-1} \otimes 1$. For $\psi \in \mathrm{Cliff}_{+n}$ homogeneous of parity $|\psi|$ and $x \in D(s)$, we have $e_i \cdot (\psi \otimes x) = (-1)^{|\psi|} e_i\psi \otimes ex$, since e_i acts as left multiplication in $A(s)$ by $\iota(e_i)$ and the Koszul sign rule applies in the superalgebra $A(s)$. Their Clifford product is

$$
-(-1)^{|\psi|} e_i\xi\psi \otimes ex = e_i \cdot (\xi\psi \otimes x),
\tag{9.29}
$$

which proves the equivariance. We leave the other checks to the reader. □

Remark 9.30. In the condensed matter literature free fermion systems are often treated nonrelativistically and so are organized by nonrelativistic symmetry groups. More specifically, they are organized by the subgroup I of internal vector symmetries which fix the points of *space*. (The internal symmetry group K in our account, which starts from a relativistic theory, is the subgroup which fixes the points of *spacetime*.) We can easily compute the group I_n in spacetime dimension n for a general group of symmetries, as in §1. Namely, let $\rho_n\colon H_n \to O_n$ be a Wick-rotated symmetry group. Fix a splitting $\mathbb{R}^n = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ of spacetime translations into time translations cross spatial translations. The subgroup $O_1 \times O_{n-1} \subset O_n$ preserves that splitting, and the subgroup $O_1 \times \{\mathrm{id}\} \subset O_1 \times O_{n-1}$ is the stabilizer of the points of space. So for the symmetry group H_n we define the nonrelativistic internal subgroup I_n as the pullback

$$
\begin{array}{ccccc}
I_n & \hookrightarrow & & & H_n \\
\downarrow & & & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \rho_n} \\
O_1 \times \{\mathrm{id}\} & \hookrightarrow & O_1 \times O_{n-1} & \hookrightarrow & O_n
\end{array}
\tag{9.31}
$$

The inclusion $H_n \hookrightarrow H_{n+1}$ induces an isomorphism $I_n \xrightarrow{\cong} I_{n+1}$; denote the colimit of these groups as I. We compute I for each of the ten fermionic symmetry groups in Tables (9.22) and (9.23):

(9.32)

s	H^c	I		Cartan
0	Spin^c	$\mathbb{T}$	(Spin^c_1)	A
1	Pin^c	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{T}$	(Pin^c_1)	AIII

(9.33)

s	H	I		Cartan
0	Spin	$\{\pm 1\}$	(Spin_1)	D
-1	Pin^+	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \{\pm 1\}$	(Pin^+_1)	DIII
-2	$\mathrm{Pin}^+ \ltimes_{\{\pm 1\}} \mathbb{T}$	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \ltimes \mathbb{T}$	(Pin^+_2)	AII
-3	$\mathrm{Pin}^- \times_{\{\pm 1\}} SU_2$	$\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \times_{\{\pm 1\}} SU_2$	(Pin^+_3)	CII
4	$\mathrm{Spin} \times_{\{\pm 1\}} SU_2$	SU_2	(Spin_3)	C
3	$\mathrm{Pin}^+ \times_{\{\pm 1\}} SU_2$	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times SU_2$	(Pin^-_3)	CI
2	$\mathrm{Pin}^- \ltimes_{\{\pm 1\}} \mathbb{T}$	$\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \ltimes_{\{\pm 1\}} \mathbb{T}$	(Pin^-_2)	AI
1	Pin^-	$\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$	(Pin^-_1)	BDI

In the physics literature a $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ subgroup of I containing a time-reversal symmetry, if it exists, is labeled '$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}_T$'. The $\{\pm 1\}$ subgroup is often labeled '$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}_f$' where 'f' means 'fermionic' since the nontrivial element is the center of the spin group. The groups in parentheses are abstractly isomorphic to the group I.

9.2.2. *Twisted Atiyah-Bott-Shapiro map.* Atiyah-Bott-Shapiro [ABS, §11] give a canonical construction of K-theory elements on Thom complexes. The universal incarnation [H, §6.1] is a map of spectra

$$\phi\colon M\mathrm{Spin} \longrightarrow KO. \tag{9.34}$$

Following their arguments we produce similar maps for the group $H(s)$ of type s in Table (9.23). Fix a dimension $n \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geqslant 0}$.

As a first step we stipulate a Morita equivalence

$$A(s) \underset{\text{Morita}}{\approx} \mathrm{Cliff}_{+(n+s)}\,. \tag{9.35}$$

There is a sign at stake—the groupoid of invertible (A, A)-bimodules is equivalent to the groupoid of $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$-graded lines: the sign is the parity of the line. Define the isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Cliff}_{+n} \otimes \mathrm{Cliff}_{+s} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{Cliff}_{+(n+s)} \tag{9.36}$$

as in [ABS, (1.6)], and choose [ABS, (6.9)] a $\mathrm{Cliff}_{\pm 8}$-module $M = M^0 \oplus M^1$ of dimension $8|8$ such that the volume form acts as $+1$ on M^0. There result Morita equivalences (9.35) for all cases except $s = 4$. For that we fix a *quaternionic* $\mathrm{Cliff}_{\pm 4}$-module $N = N^0 \oplus N^1$ of quaternionic dimension $1|1$ such that the volume form acts as $+1$ on N^0.

Now to the twisted ABS construction. Let $\pi\colon V_n \to BH_n(s)$ be the universal bundle associated to $\rho_n\colon H_n(s) \to O_n$. Define the spinor bundle[28]

$$\mathcal{S} := EH_n(s) \times_{H_n(s)} A(s)^{\mathrm{op}} \longrightarrow BH_n(s); \tag{9.37}$$

This is a vector bundle of right $A(s)^{\mathrm{op}}$-modules, or equivalently of left $A(s)$-modules. Left Clifford multiplication (9.27) defines a family of odd skew-adjoint endomorphisms of $\pi^*\mathcal{S} \to V_n$. These operators are invertible off the zero section, and they commute with the left $A(s)$-module structure. Therefore, using the Morita equivalence (9.35), they define an element in $KO^{n+s}(BH_n(s)^{V_n})$, where $BH_n(s)^{V_n}$ is the Thom space of the universal bundle $\pi\colon V_n \to BH_n(s)$. Take the limit $n \to \infty$ after subtracting a trivial rank n bundle from V_n to obtain

$$\phi\colon MH(s) \longrightarrow \Sigma^s KO \tag{9.38}$$

out of the Thom spectrum associated to the stable *normal* structure H. For $s = 0$ this is the Atiyah-Bott-Shapiro (ABS) map [H, §6.1]. We rewrite in terms of the stable *tangential* structure H; see the comments following (7.6). That perp maneuver exchanges Pin^+ and Pin^-, which in Table (9.23) exchanges $s \leftrightarrow -s$. Therefore, (9.38) is a generalized ABS map

$$\phi\colon MTH(s) \longrightarrow \Sigma^{-s} KO. \tag{9.39}$$

In the complex case we obtain a generalized ABS map

$$\phi\colon MTH^c(s) \longrightarrow \Sigma^{-s} K. \tag{9.40}$$

9.2.3. *Twisted Dirac operators.* Next, following [LM, §II.7], we define twisted Dirac operators for the structure groups in Table (9.23). Suppose X is an n-dimensional Riemannian manifold equipped with an $H_n(s)$-structure $P \to X$. We assume given a connection on $P \to X$ compatible with the Levi-Civita connection on the orthonormal frame bundle. Use the embedding (9.26) to form the $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$-graded spinor bundle

$$\mathcal{S}' := P \times_{H_n(s)} A(s) \longrightarrow X. \tag{9.41}$$

Clifford multiplication (9.27) defines a vector bundle map $T^*X \otimes \mathcal{S}' \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}'$, and as usual the Dirac operator $\not{D}_X$ acts on smooth sections of $\mathcal{S}'$ as the covariant derivative followed by Clifford

[28] Our choice of A^{op} in (9.37), rather than A, is essentially a sign choice. We use a geometric model [AS] in which a class in $KO^m(X)$ is represented by a $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$-graded vector bundle over X which is a *left* module for Cliff_m equipped with a family of commuting odd *skew*-adjoint (Fredholm) operators.

multiplication. The Dirac operator is odd and skew-adjoint. It commutes with the right $A(s)$-module structure on $\mathcal{S}'$, or equivalently with the left $A(s)^{\mathrm{op}}$-module structure.

There are topological and geometric indices of Dirac operators on compact manifolds. The topological index is defined using Fredholm operators [AS]. Namely, if X is closed, then $\not{D}_X$ extends to a Fredholm operator on Sobolev completions of the space of smooth sections of $\mathcal{S}'$. This construction works in families: from a fiber bundle $\mathcal{X} \to S$ of closed Riemannian n-manifolds with $H_n(s)$-structure we obtain a family of odd skew-adjoint Fredholm operators parametrized by S. Recalling that $A(s)^{\mathrm{op}}$ is Morita equivalent to $\mathrm{Cliff}_{-(n+s)}$, via (9.35), we deduce that this family of operators has a *topological* index which lies in $KO^{-(n+s)}(S)$. For $s = 0$ this reduces to the usual Clifford-linear Dirac operator definition of the topological index. The Atiyah-Singer index theorem equates this topological index with an analytic index. If S is a smooth manifold and $\mathcal{X} \to S$ a smooth family of Riemannian manifolds with $H_n(s)$-structure, then there is a *geometric* index which lies in the differential cohomology group $\widehat{KO}^{-(n+s)}(S)$; see [FL] for the differential complex K-theory version as well as the Atiyah-Singer theorem in this differential context.

Remark 9.42. For $s = \pm 1$ this discussion specializes to an approach to Dirac operators and index theory on unoriented manifolds with a $\mathrm{Pin}^{\pm}$-structure.

Remark 9.43. There is an analogous discussion in the complex case: replace $H \to H^c$ and $KO \to K$.

9.2.4. *Free fermion theories on Minkowski spacetime M^{n-1}.* As before we only treat the real case. Fix a type s in Table (9.23). Let $G_{n-1}(s)$ be the Lorentz signature anti-Wick rotation of $H_{n-1}(s)$, as in (2.1). If $s = 0$, which is the basic case, then $G_{n-1}(s) = \mathrm{Spin}_{1,n-2}$ is the Lorentz spin group. The analog of (9.26) is an embedding (see (A.3) for $\mathrm{Cliff}_{p,q}$ conventions)

$$\iota\colon G_{n-1}(s) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Cliff}_{n-2,1} \otimes D(s) =: B(s), \tag{9.44}$$

and there is a Morita equivalence of superalgebras

$$B(s) \underset{\text{Morita}}{\approx} \mathrm{Cliff}_{+(n-3+s)}\,. \tag{9.45}$$

We use the conventions following (9.35) to define the Morita equivalence. The image of ι lies in the even subalgebra $B(s)^0 \subset B(s)$. A free fermionic field is specified by a real spinor representation of $G_{n-1}(s)$, which by definition is an *ungraded* real module $\mathbb{S}$ of $B(s)^0$. A spinor field is then a function $\psi\colon M^{n-1} \to \mathbb{S}$.

We quickly summarize special facts about a real spinor representation $\mathbb{S}$ of the Lorentz spin group $\mathrm{Spin}_{1,n-2}$ which are proved in [De, §6]. Fix a component C of timelike vectors $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ with $|\xi|^2 > 0$. The first special property is the existence of *symmetric* $\mathrm{Spin}_{1,n-2}$-invariant maps

$$\Gamma\colon \mathbb{S} \otimes \mathbb{S} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n-1}. \tag{9.46}$$

For an irreducible representation Γ is unique up to a real factor and nonzero Γ are definite; we choose Γ *positive* definite in the sense that $\Gamma(\psi, \psi) \in \overline{C}$ for all $\psi \in S$. This fixes Γ up to a positive

real factor. There are two isomorphism classes of real irreducible representations for $n-1 \equiv 2, 6 \pmod 8$ and a unique irreducible in other cases. Let S_1, S_2 be representative irreducibles (in dimensions with a unique irreducible, set $S_2 = 0$); let Z be the commutant of the spin action, so $Z = \mathbb{R}$, $\mathbb{C}$, or $\mathbb{H}$; and fix positive definite Γ for S_1, S_2. A general real spinor representation $\mathbb{S}$ decomposes as

$$\mathbb{S} \cong W_1 \otimes_Z S_1 \ \oplus \ W_2 \otimes_Z S_2 \tag{9.47}$$

for right Z-modules W_1, W_2. Then positive definite pairings Γ correspond to positive definite hermitian forms on W_1, W_2. For each choice there is a unique compatible $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$-graded $\mathrm{Cliff}_{n-2,1}$-module structure on $\mathbb{S} \oplus \mathbb{S}^*$, where $\mathbb{S}$ is in even degree and $\mathbb{S}^*$ in odd degree. Conversely, if $\mathbb{S}^0 \oplus \mathbb{S}^1$ is a $\mathrm{Cliff}_{n-2,1}$-module, then there is a duality pairing $\mathbb{S}^0 \otimes \mathbb{S}^1 \to \mathbb{R}$ which makes the resulting symmetric form (9.46) positive definite. (Deligne proves this for simple modules in [De, (6.1)]; any module is a sum of simples and the argument applies to each summand.)

The general group $G_{n-1}(s)$ contains the spin group $\mathrm{Spin}_{1,n-2}$ as a subgroup and the quotient $Q(s)$ is compact and independent of n up to isomorphism. An irreducible real representation of $G_{n-1}(s)$ decomposes under the subgroup $\mathrm{Spin}_{1,n-2}$ as (9.47), and a central extension $\widehat{Q(s)}$ of $Q(s)$ acts on each W_i. A choice of $\widehat{Q(s)}$-invariant positive definite hermitian form on W_i yields a $G_{n-1}(s)$-invariant pairing (9.46), and then a $B(s)$-module $\mathbb{S} \oplus \mathbb{S}^*$. Conversely, every $B(s)$-module has this form.

The free Dirac lagrangian is $\frac{1}{2}\Gamma(\psi, d\psi)$, where $d\psi \colon M^{n-1} \to \mathbb{S} \otimes (\mathbb{R}^{n-1})^*$ is the differential of ψ.

Definition 9.48. The module $\mathbb{S}$ *admits a mass term* if there is a *nondegenerate* skew-symmetric $G_{n-1}(s)$-invariant bilinear form

$$m \colon \mathbb{S} \otimes \mathbb{S} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}. \tag{9.49}$$

Then $\frac{1}{2}m(\psi, \psi)$ is a mass term in the free fermion lagrangian.

Lemma 9.50. *$\mathbb{S}$ admits a mass term if and only if $\mathbb{S} \oplus \mathbb{S}^*$ extends to a supermodule of the superalgebra $B(s)[e]$, where e is odd, $e^2 = -1$, and e commutes with the Clifford generators of $B(s)$.*

If $s = 4$ the hypothesis is that e commutes with $D = \mathbb{H}$. As always, the commutation with Clifford generators obeys the Koszul sign rule.

Proof. A mass form (9.49) determines a map $E \colon \mathbb{S} \to \mathbb{S}^*$ characterized by

$$\langle Es_1, s_2 \rangle = m(s_1, s_2), \qquad s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{S}, \tag{9.51}$$

where $\langle -, - \rangle \colon \mathbb{S}^* \otimes \mathbb{S} \to \mathbb{R}$ is the duality pairing. Define $e = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & -E^{-1} \\ E & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in \mathrm{End}(\mathbb{S} \oplus \mathbb{S}^*)$ to construct the action of $B(s)[e]$.

Conversely, given a $B(s)[e]$-module structure on $\mathbb{S} \oplus \mathbb{S}^*$, define m by (9.51) using the map $E \colon \mathbb{S} \to \mathbb{S}^*$ determined by e acting on $\mathbb{S} \oplus \mathbb{S}^*$. Since $e^2 = -1$, the form m is nondegenerate. We must prove it is skew-symmetric. It suffices to assume $\mathbb{S} \oplus \mathbb{S}^*$ is a simple $B(s)[e]$-module,

since any module is a direct sum of simples. Then m is either symmetric or skew-symmetric. Let $f \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \subset \mathrm{Cliff}_{n-2,1} \subset B(s)$ be the Clifford generator with $f^2 = -1$; f is a timelike vector and we choose it to lie in C. Write $f = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & -F^{-1} \\ F & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ for its action on $\mathbb{S} \oplus \mathbb{S}^*$. The positive definiteness of Γ implies that

$$(s_1, s_2)_{\mathbb{S}} = \langle Fs_1, s_2 \rangle, \qquad s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{S}, \tag{9.52}$$

is a positive definite inner product on $\mathbb{S}$. The mass form is $m(s_1, s_2) = (F^{-1}Es_1, s_2)_{\mathbb{S}}$. Set $A = F^{-1}E \in \mathrm{End}(\mathbb{S})$. Since m is either symmetric or skew-symmetric, either $A^* = A$ or $A^* = -A$, where $*$ is with respect to the inner product on $\mathbb{S}$. But $ef = -fe$ implies $A^2 = -\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{S}}$, which rules out $A^* = A$ since A^*A is a nonnegative operator. □

There is an abelian group law on free fermion theories: direct sum of Clifford modules $\mathbb{S}$. The basic relationship [ABS, (11.4)] between Clifford modules and K-theory yields the following.

Theorem 9.53. *The group of free fermion theories in dimension $n-1$ with type s, modulo those which admit a mass term, is isomorphic to*

$$KO^{n-3+s}(\mathrm{pt}) \cong \pi_{3-s-n}(KO). \tag{9.54}$$

Massive free fermions are anomaly-free; see [W1, §1.2] for a recent exposition. So the map from a free fermion theory to the isomorphism class of its anomaly factors through the quotient (9.54).

Remark 9.55. The nature of an irreducible real twisted spin representation $\mathbb{S}_0$ depends on the value of $t = n - 1 + s \pmod 8$. We ask if it is self-conjugate—if $\mathbb{S}_0^* \cong \mathbb{S}_0$—and if so whether the induced nondegenerate bilinear form $\mathbb{S}_0 \otimes \mathbb{S}_0 \to \mathbb{R}$ is symmetric ($\mathbb{S}_0$ orthogonal) or skew-symmetric ($\mathbb{S}_0$ symplectic). Also, the commutant is a real division algebra, so is isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}$, $\mathbb{C}$, or $\mathbb{H}$. We list the types. If $t \equiv 3, 4, 7$, then $\mathbb{S}_0$ is symplectic, and the commutant is $\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H}$, respectively. If $t \equiv 0, 1, 5$, then $\mathbb{S}_0$ is orthogonal and the commutant is $\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{H}$, respectively. If $t \equiv 2, 6$, then there are two nonisomorphic irreducible spin representations which are each others dual; the commutant is $\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{H}$, respectively. For $t \equiv 3, 4, 7$ the K-group (9.54) vanishes, as it must since there is always a mass term. For $t \equiv 0, 1$ the K-group is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$—the direct sum of two copies of the irreducible module admits a mass term—and for $t \equiv 5$ it vanishes. For $t \equiv 2, 6$ the K-group is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}$. These are the cases for which the anomaly theory is not topological.

9.2.5. *The anomaly theory and its deformation class.* Our starting point is the $B(s)^0$-module $\mathbb{S}$ which defines a free fermion theory on Minkowski spacetime M^{n-1} in $(n-1)$ dimensions, as in §9.2.4. In this subsection we sketch the associated n-dimensional anomaly theory, an invertible field theory in n dimensions. (See [F4] for an exposition of anomalies from this viewpoint.) The anomaly theory is not necessarily topological, but it has a deformation class which is and we propose a general formula for it. See [W1] for a discussion of many special cases from a more physical viewpoint.

First, the real representation $\mathbb{S}$ of $G_{n-1}(s)$ extends to a complex representation $\mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{C}}$ of the complexification $G_{n-1}(s)(\mathbb{C})$, which then restricts to a complex representation of $H_{n-1}(s)$. On a curved

Riemannian manifold X^{n-1} with differential $H_{n-1}(s)$-structure $P \to X$ there is an associated complex vector bundle $P \times_{H_{n-1}(s)} \mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{C}} \to X$ whose sections are complex spinor fields. There is a Wick-rotated Dirac lagrangian, possibly with mass term, which is a skew-symmetric form on the space of spinor fields. If X is closed, then the fermionic functional integral over the space of spinor fields is the pfaffian of the Dirac operator on X. In a smooth family $\mathcal{X} \to S$ the pfaffian is not a function, but rather is a section of the *pfaffian line bundle*

$$\mathrm{Pfaff}_{\mathcal{X}/S} \longrightarrow S. \tag{9.56}$$

The bundle $\mathrm{Pfaff}_{\mathcal{X}/S} \to S$ carries a canonical hermitian metric and compatible covariant derivative; it is $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$-graded by the mod 2 index. It is part of the anomaly theory associated to the module $\mathbb{S}$.

We now give a conjectural description of the entire anomaly theory. Fix $k \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geqslant 0}$, which is the codimension in the n-dimensional theory. Let X^{n-k} be a closed $(n-k)$-dimensional Riemannian manifold with differential $H_{n-k}(s)$-structure. The universal Dirac operator (§9.2.3) acts on sections of a real vector bundle $\mathcal{S}' \to X$ of left $A_k(s)^{\mathrm{op}}$-modules, where $A_k(s) = \mathrm{Cliff}_{+(n-k)} \otimes D(s)$ is Morita equivalent to $\mathrm{Cliff}_{+(n-k+s)}$; see (9.35). Let $\underline{\mathbb{S} \oplus \mathbb{S}^*} \to X$ be the constant vector bundle with fiber $\mathbb{S} \oplus \mathbb{S}^*$. Then $\mathcal{S}' \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} (\underline{\mathbb{S} \oplus \mathbb{S}^*}) \to X$ is a real vector bundle of $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$-graded $A_k(s)^{\mathrm{op}} \otimes B(s)$-modules. Our conventions in §9.2.2 give a definite Morita equivalence $A_k(s)^{\mathrm{op}} \otimes B(s) \underset{\text{Morita}}{\approx} \mathrm{Cliff}_{-(3-k)}$. For a family $\mathcal{X} \to S$ the geometric index lies in the differential cohomology group $\widehat{KO}^{-(3-k)}(S)$. Notice that it is independent of n and s. The anomaly picks off the lowest piece of the index via the canonical *Pfaffian homomorphism*

$$\mathrm{Pfaff} \colon \widehat{KO}^{-(3-k)}(S) \longrightarrow \widehat{I\mathbb{Z}}^{1-k}(S). \tag{9.57}$$

The invariants in differential $I\mathbb{Z}$ fit together into an invertible field theory; see [HS].

Example 9.58. For $k = 0$, so $\mathcal{X} \to S$ of relative dimension n, there is an isomorphism $\widehat{I\mathbb{Z}}^1(S) \cong \widehat{H}^1(S) \cong \mathrm{Map}(S, \mathbb{T})$. The corresponding lowest piece of the index is the partition function $e^{2\pi i(\xi/2)}$ of the anomaly theory on an n-manifold, where ξ is the Atiyah-Patodi-Singer invariant [APS]. The division by 2 is due to the skew-symmetry of the Dirac form, the same division by 2 which passes from determinant to pfaffian. The equality between the exponentiated ξ-invariant and the integral in differential K-theory has only been proved in a basic case [Klo, FL] as far as we know.

Example 9.59. For $k = 1$, so $\mathcal{X} \to S$ of relative dimension $n-1$, the group $\widehat{I\mathbb{Z}}^2(S)$ is isomorphic to the group of isomorphism classes of $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$-graded hermitian line bundles $L \to S$ with compatible covariant derivative. For the anomaly theory that element is the pfaffian line bundle $\mathrm{Pfaff}_{\mathcal{X}/S} \to S$. The main theorem in [DF] is the gluing law in the *non-extended* invertible field theory in dimensions $n-1, n$ with partition function the exponentiated ξ-invariant.

The story continues to lower dimensional manifolds, on which the invariants are graded gerbes and higher analogs.

The deformation class of an invertible field theory gotten from integration in differential cohomology is the underlying topological cohomology theory. In the background are techniques from [HS],

which lead to Conjecture 9.62 below. To describe it we use the Anderson self-duality of KO [An, Theorem 4.16] (see also [FMS, Appendix B], [HeSt]):

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{3-s-n}(KO) &\cong \pi_0(\Sigma^{n+s-3}KO) \\ &\cong [KO, \Sigma^{n+s+1}I\mathbb{Z}] \\ &\cong [\Sigma^{-s}KO, \Sigma^{n+1}I\mathbb{Z}]. \end{aligned} \tag{9.60}$$

Thus an isomorphism class of free fermion theories in $\pi_{3-s-n}(KO)$ gives a homotopy class of maps

$$\Sigma^{-s}KO \longrightarrow \Sigma^{n+1}I\mathbb{Z}. \tag{9.61}$$

Conjecture 9.62. *Fix a type s in Table (9.23) and a dimension n. Fix an isomorphism class of free fermion theories modulo those which admit a mass term, i.e., an element of $\pi_{3-s-n}(KO)$. Then the deformation class of the n-dimensional anomaly theory is the homotopy class of the composition*

$$MTH(s) \xrightarrow{(9.39)} \Sigma^{-s}KO \xrightarrow{(9.61)} \Sigma^{n+1}I\mathbb{Z}. \tag{9.63}$$

There is a similar conjecture in the complex case (9.22) with the usual replacements $H \to H^c$ and $KO \to K$. We hope to address this conjecture in the future. We use it in our computations below.

Remark 9.64. If the group (9.60) is finite, hence is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, then there is a reflection positive invertible *topological* field theory in the deformation class whose partition function is the mod 2 index. If the group is free cyclic, hence isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}$, then the deformation class is represented by a reflection positive invertible field theory whose partition function is the exponentiated ξ-invariant of Atiyah-Patodi-Singer, the secondary invariant for a $\mathbb{Z}$-valued topological index in $n+1$ dimensions. This is the case in which there are local anomalies as well as global anomalies, and because of the shift s it happens in both even and odd dimensions.

9.2.6. *Remark on massive n-dimensional free fermion theories.* In §9.2.5 we explained how a free fermion theory in $(n-1)$ dimensions has an associated n-dimensional invertible anomaly theory, and Conjecture 9.62 states its deformation class. We briefly point out that a second scenario leading to invertible n-dimensional theories has the same starting data. Namely, begin with a *massive* free fermion theory in n dimensions. Because the theory has a mass gap its long-range physics is described by a topological field theory, which naturally is n-dimensional. As in previous sections fix a type s in Table (9.23) and let $G_n(s)$ be the Lorentz signature anti-Wick rotation of the corresponding group $H_n(s)$. In the notation of (9.44) there is an embedding $G_n(s) \hookrightarrow B(s)[e']$, where e' is an extra Clifford generator with $(e')^2 = +1$. By Lemma 9.50 spinor representations of $G_n(s)$ which admit a mass term are in bijection with super modules over the superalgebra $B(s)[e', e]$, where e is an extra Clifford generator with $e^2 = -1$. Observe that $B(s)[e', e]$ is Morita equivalent to $\mathrm{Cliff}_{+(n-3+s)}$. We speculate that

(9.65) (i) the resulting low energy theory is trivial if the $B(s)[e', e]$-module is extended to a module over the algebra $B(s)[e', e, f]$ with $f^2 = -1$.

The group of equivalence classes of $B(s)[e,f]$-modules modulo those which extend is the K-group (9.54). Furthermore, we speculate that

(9.66) (ii) the low energy topological theory is invertible and its deformation class is (9.63).

As some evidence supporting (ii) we point out that the partition function in special cases is computed in [W1, §2.1.6, §2.2.3, §3.4, §4.3, §5]. The universal part of the partition function is an exponentiated ξ-invariant, as in Example 9.58.

9.3. Phases of topological insulators and topological superconductors

We apply Conjecture 8.29 to compute possible topological phases for each of the 10 symmetry types (9.22) and (9.23). We remind that the fermionic symmetry groups with $K = \mathbb{T}$ pertain to topological insulators; those with $K = \{\pm 1\}$ and $K = SU_2$ pertain to topological superconductors. The group of topological phases—that is, the group of deformation classes of reflection positive invertible topological field theories with symmetry group H in n spacetime dimensions—is

$$TP_n(H) := [MTH, \Sigma^{n+1} I\mathbb{Z}]. \tag{9.67}$$

It may be computed from the homotopy groups[29] $\pi_q MTH$; see the universal property (5.14). As we are only interested in $n \leqslant 4$, we need only compute for $q \leqslant 5$, and for $q = 5$ we only need to know $\pi_5 MTH$/torsion, since that determines $\mathrm{Hom}(\pi_5 MTH, \mathbb{Z})$. The group $TP_n(H)$ classifies deformation classes of *interacting* theories. The group of deformation classes of *free* fermion theories in $(n-1)$ dimensions modulo those which admit a mass term is given by Lemma 9.50 and (9.60):

$$FF_n(H(s)) := \begin{cases} \pi_{3-s-n}(K) \cong [\Sigma^{-s} K, \Sigma^{n+1} I\mathbb{Z}], & H^c(s) \text{ a } \textit{complex} \text{ symmetry group;} \\ \pi_{3-s-n}(KO) \cong [\Sigma^{-s} KO, \Sigma^{n+1} I\mathbb{Z}], & H(s) \text{ a } \textit{real} \text{ symmetry group,} \end{cases} \tag{9.68}$$

where s is the type in (9.22) or (9.23). According to Conjecture 9.62 the natural homomorphism from the group of deformation classes of free fermion theories (9.60) to the group of all theories is composition with the ABS map (9.39):[30]

$$\Phi\colon FF_n(H) \longrightarrow TP_n(H) \tag{9.69}$$

We compute Φ for each symmetry class.

The results are organized by internal symmetry group. Some of the bordism groups appear in the mathematics literature, whereas for the more exotic symmetry groups we provide computations.

[29] These are Thom's bordism groups, but for the perpendicular tangential structure on the stable normal bundle, so care must be taken since $\mathrm{Pin}^+/\mathrm{Pin}^-$ and $\mathrm{Pin}^{\tilde{c}+}/\mathrm{Pin}^{\tilde{c}-}$ exchange when passing from tangential to normal.

[30] In the interpretation of §9.2.6 it is the map which sends a massive n-dimensional free fermion theory to its long-range approximation.

With the bordism groups in hand, the classification of interacting theories is an immediate consequence of Conjecture 8.29 and the universal property expressed in the short exact sequence (5.14). The free fermion computation is (9.60). The map (9.69) from free fermion phases to interacting phases does not follow from the rest—it must also be computed. We give a uniform treatment based on Lemma 9.25 and §9.2.2.

We check our computations against the condensed matter literature, where groups of SPT phases are deduced using very different arguments. There is almost total agreement, and in the few places we differ we use the homotopy computations to predict what should happen in the physics. The computations which we did not find in the physics literature should be considered predictions.

9.3.1. *Internal symmetry group $K = \{\pm 1\}$.* The symmetry groups are classified in Proposition 2.9. The low degree spin and pin bordism groups are described in a geometric way in [KT1]. The general structure of spin bordism is elucidated in [ABP1]. The computation of pin bordism groups in all degrees may be found in [ABP2] and [KT2].

Theorem 9.70. *The low degree bordism groups for $K = \{\pm 1\}$ are:*

(9.71)

q	$\pi_q MT\mathrm{Spin}$	$\pi_q MT\mathrm{Pin}^+$	$\pi_q MT\mathrm{Pin}^-$
4	$\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}/16\mathbb{Z}$	0
3	0	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	0
2	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z}$
1	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	0	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$
0	$\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$

Furthermore, $\pi_5 MTH$ is finite in all cases.

Corollary 9.72 (Symmetry class D)**.** *The groups of deformation classes of free fermion theories and of reflection positive invertible theories with symmetry group* Spin *are isomorphic to:*

(9.73)

n	$\ker\Phi \longrightarrow FF_n(\mathrm{Spin})$	$\xrightarrow{\Phi} TP_n(\mathrm{Spin})$		$\longrightarrow \operatorname{coker}\Phi$
4	0	0	0	0
3	0	$\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}$	0
2	0	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	0
1	0	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	0
0	0	0	0	0

Literature Note. The groups $TP_1(\mathrm{Spin})$ and $TP_2(\mathrm{Spin})$ were computed by the "group super-cohomology theory" in [GW]; see Table II. That theory is a 2-stage Postnikov truncation of $I\mathbb{Z}$, so in general only computes a subgroup of topological phases; it is the entire group in very low dimensions. The interacting classification $TP_n(\mathrm{Spin})$ appears in [QHZ]: see §IIA for $n = 3$, §IID for $n = 2$, and §IIE for $n = 1$. The group $TP_3(\mathrm{Spin})$ is discussed in [LV, §V A], but their restriction to "non-chiral"

phases means that the E_8 phases which generate $TP_3(\mathrm{Spin})$ were not accounted for. All of the groups in the table, but not the map from free fermions to interacting theories, appear in [KTTW]. Those authors conjecture a cobordism classification of interacting fermionic SPT phases.

Proof. That Φ is an isomorphism in low dimensions follows since the ABS map $M\mathrm{Spin} \to KO$ induces an isomorphism on $\pi_{\leqslant 7}$. □

In the next example we meet a nontrivial kernel of Φ, which is to say free fermion phases which become trivial when interactions are allowed.

Corollary 9.74 (Symmetry class DIII)**.** *The groups of deformation classes of free fermion theories and of reflection positive invertible theories with symmetry group* Pin^+ *are isomorphic to:*

(9.75)

n	$\ker\Phi \longrightarrow FF_n(\mathrm{Pin}^+)$	$\xrightarrow{\Phi} TP_n(\mathrm{Pin}^+)$	$\longrightarrow \mathrm{coker}\,\Phi$	
4	$16\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}/16\mathbb{Z}$	0
3	0	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	0
2	0	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	0
1	0	0	0	0
0	$2\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	0

Literature Note. There are many arguments in the physics literature that 16 copies of the basic free fermion theory in 4 dimensions has a trivial phase once interactions are allowed, and that this does not occur with fewer copies. (As noted in Remark 8.31, the group $TP_4(\mathrm{Pin}^+)$ is torsion, hence *a priori* some multiple of the free theory necessarily becomes trivial once interactions are allowed.) A sample includes [K1, FCV, WS, MFCV, K4] and [W1, §4]. The interacting case in 3 dimensions is investigated in [W1, §3], and various aspects of the invertible field theory are described explicitly. It is also discussed in [LV, §V B], but the nonzero element is missed within the "K-formalism" as the authors explain. The groups $TP_n(\mathrm{Pin}^+)$ as computed here also appear in [KTTW, Table 2].

Corollary 9.76 (Symmetry class BDI)**.** *The groups of deformation classes of free fermion theories and of reflection positive invertible theories with symmetry group* Pin^- *are isomorphic to:*

(9.77)

n	$\ker\Phi \longrightarrow FF_n(\mathrm{Pin}^-)$	$\xrightarrow{\Phi} TP_n(\mathrm{Pin}^-)$	$\longrightarrow \mathrm{coker}\,\Phi$	
4	0	0	0	0
3	0	0	0	0
2	$8\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z}$	0
1	0	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	0
0	0	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	0

Literature Note. The breaking of the $\mathbb{Z}$ classification of free fermions in 2 spacetime dimensions to the $\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z}$ classification of interacting fermions is treated in [FK1, FK2, TPB, YWOX], and [W1, §5]. The groups $TP_n(\mathrm{Pin}^-)$, $n = 1, 2$, are computed by the group super-cohomology in [GW, Table II]. The vanishing of $TP_3(\mathrm{Pin}^-)$ is argued in [LV, §V B]. The groups $TP_n(\mathrm{Pin}^-)$ as computed here also appear in [KTTW, Table 2].

9.3.2. *Internal symmetry group $K = \mathbb{T}$.* The symmetry groups are classified in Proposition 9.3. Spin^c bordism groups are computed in [ABP1]; cf. [Sto, Chapter XI]. Pin^c bordism groups are computed in [BG]. The twisted Pin^c bordism computations are new.

Theorem 9.78. *The low degree bordism groups for $K = \mathbb{T}$ are:*

(9.79)

q	$\pi_q MT\mathrm{Spin}^c$	$\pi_q MT\mathrm{Pin}^c$	$\pi_q MT\mathrm{Pin}^{\tilde{c}+}$	$\pi_q MT\mathrm{Pin}^{\tilde{c}-}$
4	$\mathbb{Z}^2$	$\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	$(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^3$	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$
3	0	0	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	0
2	$\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$
1	0	0	0	0
0	$\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$

Furthermore, $\pi_5 MTH$ is finite in all cases.

Corollary 9.80 (Symmetry class A)**.** *The groups of deformation classes of free fermion theories and of reflection positive invertible theories with symmetry group* Spin^c *are isomorphic to:*

(9.81)

n	$\ker\Phi \longrightarrow FF_n(\mathrm{Spin}^c) \xrightarrow{\Phi} TP_n(\mathrm{Spin}^c) \longrightarrow \mathrm{coker}\,\Phi$			
4	0	0	0	0
3	0	$\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}^2$	$\mathbb{Z}$
2	0	0	0	0
1	0	$\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}$	0
0	0	0	0	0

Literature Note. The vanishing of the group $TP_4(\mathrm{Spin}^c)$ is mentioned in [WPS] at the end of Appendix F.

Corollary 9.82 (Symmetry class AIII)**.** *The groups of deformation classes of free fermion theories and of reflection positive invertible theories with symmetry group* Pin^c *are isomorphic to:*

(9.83)

n	$\ker\Phi \longrightarrow FF_n(\mathrm{Pin}^c) \xrightarrow{\Phi} TP_n(\mathrm{Pin}^c) \longrightarrow \mathrm{coker}\,\Phi$			
4	$8\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$
3	0	0	0	0
2	$4\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$	0
1	0	0	0	0
0	$2\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	0

Literature Note. The group $TP_4(\mathrm{Pin}^c)$ and the map from free fermions is discussed in [WS, §III]; see also [SeWi, §A.4] for the map from free fermions. The vanishing of the group $TP_3(\mathrm{Pin}^c)$ is discussed in [LV, §V D] as well as in the last paragraph of [W1, §3.7].

Corollary 9.84 (Symmetry class AII)**.** *The groups of deformation classes of free fermion theories and of reflection positive invertible theories with symmetry group* $\mathrm{Pin}^{\tilde{c}+}$ *are isomorphic to:*

(9.85)

n	$\ker\Phi \longrightarrow FF_n(\mathrm{Pin}^{\tilde{c}+})$	$\xrightarrow{\Phi} TP_n(\mathrm{Pin}^{\tilde{c}+})$	$\longrightarrow \mathrm{coker}\,\Phi$	
4	0	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	$(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^3$	$(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$
3	0	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	0
2	0	0	0	0
1	0	$\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}$	0
0	0	0	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$

Literature Note. The $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ invariant of free fermion systems in 3 and 4 spacetime dimensions was introduced by Kane-Mele [KM] and Fu-Kane-Mele [FKM] and has been further studied in many papers. The interacting case in 4 dimensions is investigated in [WPS] and in 3 dimensions in [W1, §3.7]; their results agree with ours. On the other hand, [LV, §V C 2] assert that $TP_3(\mathrm{Pin}^{\tilde{c}+}) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$, which is not what the bordism computation gives. The argument in that paper asserts a $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ subgroup of bosonic phases, which would have symmetry group $O \ltimes \mathbb{T}$, as in (9.5). We computed that $\pi_3\big(M(O \ltimes \mathbb{T})\big) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ and the natural projection $\mathrm{Pin}^{\tilde{c}+} \to O \ltimes \mathbb{T}$ induces the zero map on π_3 of the Thom spectra. This implies that the group of bosonic phases is $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, as claimed, but that the lift of that bosonic phase to a fermionic phase is trivial. We suspect that this triviality of the pullback was not noticed, which explains the discrepancy. The physical results in 4 dimensions were recounted in [M] at the end of §VI, where the question of agreement with a bordism computation was raised. This provided strong motivation for the computations in this section. We remark that the description of the partition function of some phases in terms of Stiefel-Whitney classes matches our bordism computations as well. Also, §4.7 of [W1] treats the invertible topological field theory in 4 dimensions defined by the free fermion theory, so only detects the image of Φ in $TP_4(\mathrm{Pin}^{\tilde{c}+})$.

Corollary 9.86 (Symmetry class AI)**.** *The groups of deformation classes of free fermion theories and of reflection positive invertible theories with symmetry group* $\mathrm{Pin}^{\tilde{c}-}$ *are isomorphic to:*

(9.87)

n	$\ker\Phi \longrightarrow FF_n(\mathrm{Pin}^{\tilde{c}-})$	$\xrightarrow{\Phi} TP_n(\mathrm{Pin}^{\tilde{c}-})$	$\longrightarrow \mathrm{coker}\,\Phi$	
4	0	0	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$
3	0	0	0	0
2	0	0	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$
1	0	$\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}$	0
0	0	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	0

Literature Note. The group $TP_4(\mathrm{Pin}^{\tilde{c}-})$ is discussed in detail in the erratum to [WS]. The group $TP_3(\mathrm{Pin}^{\tilde{c}-})$ is asserted to be cyclic of order two in [LV, §V C 1] generated by a bosonic phase. The bosonic phase is the same one identified for the symmetry class AII—see the Literature Note following (9.85)—and again we compute that its lift to a fermionic phase with symmetry group $\mathrm{Pin}^{\tilde{c}-}$ vanishes, which explains the discrepancy.

9.3.3. *Internal symmetry group $K = SU_2$.* The symmetry groups G^0, G^+, G^- are defined and classified in Proposition 9.15.

Theorem 9.88. *The low degree bordism groups for $K = SU_2$ are:*

(9.89)

q	$\pi_q MTG^0$	$\pi_q MTG^+$	$\pi_q MTG^-$
4	$\mathbb{Z}^2$	$\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	$(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^3$
3	0	0	0
2	0	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$
1	0	0	0
0	$\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$

Furthermore, $\pi_5 MTH$ is finite in all cases.

Corollary 9.90 (Symmetry class C)**.** *The groups of deformation classes of free fermion theories and of reflection positive invertible theories with symmetry group $G^0 = \mathrm{Spin} \times_{\{\pm 1\}} SU_2$ are isomorphic to:*

(9.91)

n	$\ker \Phi \longrightarrow FF_n(G^0)$		$\xrightarrow{\Phi} TP_n(G^0) \longrightarrow \mathrm{coker}\, \Phi$	
4	0	0	0	0
3	0	$\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}^2$	$\mathbb{Z}$
2	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0

Literature Note. That $TP_4(G^0) = 0$ was suggested in [WS] in the last paragraph preceding §V A.

Corollary 9.92 (Symmetry class CI)**.** *The groups of deformation classes of free fermion theories and of reflection positive invertible theories with symmetry group $G^+ = \mathrm{Pin}^+ \times_{\{\pm 1\}} SU_2$ are isomorphic to:*

(9.93)

n	$\ker \Phi \longrightarrow FF_n(G^+)$		$\xrightarrow{\Phi} TP_n(G^+) \longrightarrow \mathrm{coker}\, \Phi$	
4	$4\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$
3	0	0	0	0
2	0	0	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$
1	0	0	0	0
0	$2\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	0

Our computations prove Φ maps the generator of $FF_4(G^+)$ to an element of order 4 in $TP_4(G^+)$.

Literature Note. Wang-Senthil [WS, §VI] discusses the $n = 4$ case and conjecture the same group $TP_4(G^+) \cong \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ that we compute; the map from free fermions also agrees.

Corollary 9.94 (Symmetry class CII)**.** *The groups of deformation classes of free fermion theories and of reflection positive invertible theories with symmetry group* $G^- = \mathrm{Pin}^- \times_{\{\pm 1\}} SU_2$ *are isomorphic to:*

(9.95)

n	$\ker\Phi \longrightarrow FF_n(G^-)$	$\xrightarrow{\Phi}$	$TP_n(G^-)$	$\longrightarrow \mathrm{coker}\,\Phi$
4	0	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	$(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^3$	$(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$
3	0	0	0	0
2	$2\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	0
1	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$

Literature Note. The 4-dimensional case is treated in [WS, §V]; the answer they obtain for $TP_4(G^-)$ is $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^5$, which disagrees with the corresponding entry in (9.95). As in the note following Corollary 9.84, we compute the group of bosonic phases with symmetry group $O \times_{\{\pm 1\}} SU_2$ and find $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^4$, but the lift to fermionic phases kills a $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$ subgroup. Again, we suspect the triviality of the pullback was not noticed, which explains the discrepancy.

10. Computations

The computations in §9.3 involve finitely generated abelian groups having no odd torsion, so it suffices then to make them after completing at 2. This can be done using the Adams spectral sequence

$$\mathrm{Ext}_A^{s,t}(H^*(MTH), \mathbb{Z}/2) \Rightarrow \pi_{t-s} MTH, \tag{10.1}$$

where A is the mod 2 Steenrod algebra, and, though not indicated in the notation, the homotopy groups have been completed at 2.

What makes this approach tractable is an identification of the spectrum $\Sigma^s MTH(s)$ with

$$\begin{aligned} &M\,\mathrm{Spin} \wedge MTO_{|s|} && -3 \leqslant s \leqslant 0 \\ &M\,\mathrm{Spin} \wedge MO_{|s|} && 0 \leqslant s \leqslant 3 \\ &\Sigma M\,\mathrm{Spin} \wedge MSO_3 && s = 4, \end{aligned} \tag{10.2}$$

and in the complex case, of $\Sigma^s MTH^c(s)$ with

$$M\,\mathrm{Spin}^c \wedge MO_s \approx \Sigma^{-2} M\,\mathrm{Spin} \wedge MU_1 \wedge MO_s. \tag{10.3}$$

Let $A_1 \subset A$ be the sub algebra generated by Sq^1 and Sq^2. Anderson, Brown, and Peterson [ABP1] give an isomorphism

$$H^*M\,\mathrm{Spin} \approx A \underset{A_1}{\otimes} \{\mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus M\} \tag{10.4}$$

in which M is a graded A_1-module with $M_i = 0$ for $i < 8$. This means that for $t - s < 8$ one can identify the E_2-term of the Adams spectral sequence for[31] $\pi_* MTH(d)$ with

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{Ext}_{A_1}^{s,t}(H^{*-d}MTO_{|d|}, \mathbb{Z}/2) && -3 \leqslant d \leqslant 0 \\ &\mathrm{Ext}_{A_1}^{s,t}(H^{*+d}MO_{|d|}, \mathbb{Z}/2) && -0 \leqslant d \leqslant 3 \\ &\mathrm{Ext}_{A_1}^{s,t}(H^{*+3}MSO_3, \mathbb{Z}/2) && d = 4\ , \end{aligned}$$

and of $\pi_* MTH^c(d)$ with

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{A_1}^{s,t}(H^{*+2+d}MU_1 \wedge MO_d, \mathbb{Z}/2) \qquad d = 0, 1\ .$$

These groups are computed by standard methods, and the computation, as well as the spectral sequences (which collapse) are described Figure 6 and give the results described in tables (9.71), (9.79), and (9.8).

The relationship with the free fermion theories is given by maps of spectra

$$MTH(s) \to \Sigma^{-s} KO \tag{10.5}$$

$$MTH^c(s) \to \Sigma^{-s} K \tag{10.6}$$

or, under the above identifications, maps

$$\begin{aligned} M\,\mathrm{Spin} \wedge MTO_{|s|} &\to KO && s \leqslant 0 \\ M\,\mathrm{Spin} \wedge MO_{|s|} &\to KO && s \geqslant 0 \\ \Sigma M\,\mathrm{Spin} \wedge MSO_3 &\to KO && s = 4 \\ M\,\mathrm{Spin}^{\mathrm{c}} \wedge MO_s &\to K && s = 0, 1\ . \end{aligned} \tag{10.7}$$

These are all maps of $M\,\mathrm{Spin}$ (or $M\,\mathrm{Spin}^{\mathrm{c}}$) modules, in which KO and K are into $M\,\mathrm{Spin}$ and $M\,\mathrm{Spin}^{\mathrm{c}}$-modules using the Atiyah-Bott-Shapiro orientation. They are therefore determined by their restrictions

$$\begin{aligned} MTO_{|s|} &\to KO && s \leqslant 0 \\ MO_{|s|} &\to KO && s \geqslant 0 \\ \Sigma MSO_3 &\to KO && s = 4 \\ MO_s &\to K && s = 0, 1\ . \end{aligned} \tag{10.8}$$



FIGURE 6. The Adams spectral sequences

These are described in Propositions 10.24, 10.27, and 10.35 below, and using them, the assertions about the maps in tables (9.73), (9.75), (9.77), (9.81), (9.83), (9.85), (9.87), (9.91), (9.93), and (9.95) can be verified. The details are summarized in the charts in Figure 6. The complex case is easier and left to the reader.

[31]Here only we use the notation '$H(d)$' in place of '$H(s)$' to avoid the conflict with Adams' homological grading index 's'.

For the identifications (10.2) and the maps (10.8) we begin with a uniform description of the groups $BH(\pm s)$ (for $s \neq 4$). Write

$$\mathbf{P} = K(\mathbb{Z}/2, 1) \times K(\mathbb{Z}/2, 2) \tag{10.9}$$

with the group structure

$$(x_1, x_2) * (y_1, y_2) = (x_1 + y_1, x_2 + y_2 + x_1 y_1) \tag{10.10}$$

in which $x_i, y_i \in H^i(-, \mathbb{Z}/2)$. With this choice the map

$$BO \xrightarrow{(w_1, w_2)} \mathbf{P} \tag{10.11}$$

is a group homomorphism.

For $s \geqslant 0$ define a map $B\widetilde{H}(s) \to BO$ by the homotopy pullback square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B\widetilde{H}(s) & \longrightarrow & BO_s \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle (w_1, w_2)} \\ BO & \xrightarrow[(w_1, w_2 + w_1^2)]{} & \mathbf{P} \end{array} \tag{10.12}$$

and set $B\widetilde{H}(-s) \to BO$ to be the composite

$$B\widetilde{H}(s) \to BO \xrightarrow{-\mathrm{id}} BO. \tag{10.13}$$

The space $B\widetilde{H}(-s) \to BO$ fits into a homotopy pullback square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B\widetilde{H}(-s) & \longrightarrow & BO_s \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle (w_1, w_2)} \\ BO & \xrightarrow[(w_1, w_2)]{} & \mathbf{P} \end{array} \tag{10.14}$$

.

For later reference we note

Remark 10.15. The homotopy fiber of $B\widetilde{H}(\pm s) \to BO$, being the same as the homotopy fiber of $BO_s \to \mathbf{P}$ is

$$\begin{array}{rl} B\,\mathrm{Spin}_s & s \geqslant 1 \\ \mathbb{Z}/2 \times B\mathbb{Z}/2 & s = 0\ . \end{array} \tag{10.16}$$

For $-3 \leqslant s \leqslant 3$ one may identify $B\widetilde{H}(s) \to BO$ with $BH(s) \to BO$. The map $BH(4) \to BO$ fits into a homotopy pullback diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} BH(4) & \longrightarrow & BSO_3 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle w_2} \\ BO & \xrightarrow[(w_1,w_2)]{} & \mathbf{P} \end{array} . \tag{10.17}$$

We leave the verification of these assertions to the reader.

With $s \geqslant 0$, the maps $B\widetilde{H}(\pm s) \to BO$ and $B\widetilde{H}(\pm s) \to BO_s$ can also be expressed in terms of the diagrams of homotopy pullback squares

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} B\widetilde{H}(s) & \longrightarrow & B\,\mathrm{Spin} & & \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ BO \times BO_s & \xrightarrow[-\,\mathrm{id}\,-(V_s-s)]{} & BO & \xrightarrow[(w_1,w_2)]{} & \mathbf{P} \end{array} \tag{10.18}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} B\widetilde{H}(-s) & \longrightarrow & B\,\mathrm{Spin} & & \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ BO \times BO_s & \xrightarrow[\mathrm{id}\,-(V_s-s)]{} & BO & \xrightarrow[(w_1,w_2)]{} & \mathbf{P} \end{array} . \tag{10.19}$$

A map $X \to B\widetilde{H}(s)$ therefore classifies a pair (V, V_s) consisting of a stable vector bundle V (of virtual dimension 0), a vector bundle V_s of dimension s and a Spin structure on $-V - (V_s - s)$. Writing $W = -V - (V_s - s)$, so that $V = -W - (V_s - s)$, one sees that $B\widetilde{H}(s)$ classifies pairs (W, V_s) in which W is a stable Spin bundle of virtual dimension zero. Thus $B\widetilde{H}(s) \to BO$ may be identified with the map

$$\begin{aligned} B\,\mathrm{Spin} \times BO_s &\to BO \\ (W, V_s) &\mapsto -W - (V_s - s). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly $B\widetilde{H}(-s) \to BO$ may be identified with

$$\begin{aligned} B\,\mathrm{Spin} \times BO_s &\to BO \\ (W, V_s) &\mapsto -W + (V_s - s), \end{aligned}$$

and $BH(4) \to BO$ with

$$B\,\mathrm{Spin} \times BSO_3 \to BO$$

via either of the maps

$$(W, V_3) \mapsto -W + (V_3 - 3) \quad \text{or}$$
$$(W, V_3) \mapsto -W - (V_3 - 3).$$

This leads to the identifications

$$\begin{aligned} MT\widetilde{H}(s) &\approx \Sigma^{-s} M\,\mathrm{Spin} \wedge MO_s \\ MT\widetilde{H}(-s) &\approx \Sigma^{s} M\,\mathrm{Spin} \wedge MTO_s \\ MTH(4) &\approx \Sigma^{-3} M\,\mathrm{Spin} \wedge MSO(3) \\ &\approx \Sigma^{3} M\,\mathrm{Spin} \wedge MTSO(3). \end{aligned} \tag{10.20}$$

We define $B\widetilde{H}(\pm s)_n \to BO_n$ by the pullback square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B\widetilde{H}(\pm s)_n & \longrightarrow & B\widetilde{H}(\pm s) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ BO_n & \longrightarrow & BO\ . \end{array} \tag{10.21}$$

The space $B\widetilde{H}_n(s)$ classifies a pair (V_n, V_s) consisting of vector bundles of dimension n and s and a Spin structure on $-V_n - V_s$ (or, equivalently on $V_n + V_s$), while $B\widetilde{H}(-s)_n$ classifies pairs (V_n, V_s) a Spin structure on $-V_n + V_s$. For $s \geqslant 0$ there is therefore a pullback square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B\widetilde{H}_n(s) & \longrightarrow & B\,\mathrm{Spin}_{n+s} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ BO_n \times BO_s & \longrightarrow & BO_{n+s}\ . \end{array} \tag{10.22}$$

Proposition 10.23. *The space $B\widetilde{H}(\pm s)_n$ is the classifying space of a compact Lie group $\widetilde{H}(\pm s)_n$. The group $\widetilde{H}_n(s)$ is the stabilizer in Spin_{n+s} of a s-plane in $\mathbb{R}^{n+s}$.*

Proof. The first assertion is a consequence of the pullback square (10.21) and Remark 10.15. The second is immediate from (10.22) □

The construction of §9.2.2 leads to maps

$$MT\widetilde{H}(s) \to \Sigma^{-s} KO$$

and so, by (10.20), to

$$\begin{aligned} M\,\mathrm{Spin}\wedge MTO_s &\to KO \\ M\,\mathrm{Spin}\wedge MO_s &\to KO \\ \Sigma M\,\mathrm{Spin}\wedge MSO_3 &\to KO. \end{aligned}$$

These are maps of $M\,\mathrm{Spin}$-modules, so to describe them it suffices the restricted maps

$$\begin{aligned} MO_s &\to KO \\ MTO_s &\to KO \\ \Sigma MSO_3 &\to KO\ . \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 10.24. *Let $V \to BO_s$ be the universal vector bundle. The map $MO_s \to KO$ corresponds to the element of $KO(V, V-0)$ given by applying the difference bundle construction to*

$$\begin{aligned} V\times\Lambda^*(V) &\to \Lambda^*(V) \\ (v,\omega) &\mapsto v\wedge\omega. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. In the notation of 9.25, the algebra $A(s)$ is $\mathrm{Cliff}_{+s}\otimes\mathrm{Cliff}_{-s}$, so that A^{op} is also $\mathrm{Cliff}_{+s}\otimes\mathrm{Cliff}_{-s}$, but with left Clifford multiplication by $v\in\mathbb{R}^s$ sending $x\otimes y$ to $(-1)^{|x|}x\otimes vy$. The composed embedding $O_s\to H_s\to A^{\mathrm{op}}$ is the map

$$O_s \to \mathrm{Cliff}_{+s}\otimes\mathrm{Cliff}_{-s} \tag{10.25}$$

sending reflection through the hyperplane perpendicular to $v\in\mathbb{R}^s$ to $v\otimes v$.

Let $P\to BO_s$ be the universal principal O_s bundle. The K-theory class described in 9.2.2 is the difference bundle on $(V, V-0)$ associated to the O_s-equivariant "Clifford multiplication" map

$$\mathbb{R}^s\times(A^{\mathrm{op}}\underset{A^{\mathrm{op}}}{\otimes}M)\to(A^{\mathrm{op}}\underset{A^{\mathrm{op}}}{\otimes}M) \tag{10.26}$$

in which $M=\mathrm{Cliff}_s$ is the left A^{op} bimodule specified in §9.2.2, and giving the Morita equivalence of A^{op} with $\mathbb{R}$. Passing to associated bundles, this works out to be

$$\begin{aligned} V\times\mathrm{Cliff}(V) &\to \mathrm{Cliff}(V) \\ (v,\omega) &\mapsto (-1)^{|\omega|}\omega v. \end{aligned}$$

The anti-automorphism of $\mathrm{Cliff}(V)$ extending the identity map of V gives an isomorphism of this with

$$\begin{aligned} V\times\mathrm{Cliff}(V) &\to \mathrm{Cliff}(V) \\ (v,\omega) &\mapsto v\omega. \end{aligned}$$

The claim now follows from the standard way of "wrapping up" the complex $V\times\Lambda(V)\to\Lambda(V)$ using $v\pm\iota_v$ (see [ABS, Proposition 11.6] and the surrounding discussion for the complex case). □

Proposition 10.27. *The map $MTO_s \to KO$ factors as*

$$MTO_s \to (BO_s)_+ \to KO \tag{10.28}$$

in which the first map is the map

$$\mathrm{Thom}(BO_s, -V) \to \mathrm{Thom}\left(BO_s, (-V)\oplus V\right) \tag{10.29}$$

and the second corresponds to the trivial line bundle $1 \in KO^0(BO_s)$.

Proof. Write $\mathrm{Gr}_s(\mathbb{R}^{n+s})$ for the Grassmannian of s-planes in $(n+s)$-space, and let V_n and V_s be the universal n-plane and s-plane bundles. These bundles come equipped with a trivialization

$$V_s \oplus V_n \approx \mathrm{Gr}_s(\mathbb{R}^{n+s}) \times \mathbb{R}^{n+s}. \tag{10.30}$$

From the identification $\mathrm{Gr}_s(\mathbb{R}^{n+s}) = \mathrm{Spin}_{n+s}/H_n$ of Proposition 10.23 it follows that the bundle V_n comes equipped with an H_n-structure. The construction of 9.2.2 gives an element $U \in KO^{n+s}(\mathrm{Thom}(\mathrm{Gr}_s(\mathbb{R}^{n+s}), V_n))$. The assertion is that this pulled back from the canonical generator (the suspension of $1 \in KO^0(\mathrm{pt})$) of $\tilde{KO}^{n+s}(S^{n+s})$ along the map

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Thom}(\mathrm{Gr}_s(\mathbb{R}^{n+s}); V_n) &\to \mathrm{Thom}(\mathrm{Gr}_s(\mathbb{R}^{n+s}); V_s \oplus V_n)\\ &\approx S^{n+s} \wedge \mathrm{Gr}_s(\mathbb{R}^{n+s})_+ \to S^{n+s}.\end{aligned}$$

This is immediate from the construction. The algebra $A(s)^{\mathrm{op}}$ is $\mathrm{Cliff}_{-s} \otimes \mathrm{Cliff}_{-n}$. The class U is the complex of left A-modules (which come as right A^{op}-modules) obtained by applying

$$\mathrm{Spin}_{s+n} \underset{H_n}{\times} (-) \tag{10.31}$$

to the the H_n-equivariant Clifford multiplication map

$$\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathrm{Cliff}_{-s} \otimes \mathrm{Cliff}_{-n} \to \mathrm{Cliff}_{-s} \otimes \mathrm{Cliff}_{-n}\,. \tag{10.32}$$

This map evidently extends to the Spin_{s+n} equivariant Clifford multiplication map

$$\mathbb{R}^s \oplus \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathrm{Cliff}_{-s} \otimes \mathrm{Cliff}_{-n} \to \mathrm{Cliff}_{-s} \otimes \mathrm{Cliff}_{-n} \tag{10.33}$$

so the class U is pulled back from the bundle of left A-modules on $(\mathbb{R}^{s+n}, \mathbb{R}^{s+n} - \{0\})$ obtained by applying

$$\mathrm{Spin}_{n+s} \underset{\mathrm{Spin}_{n+s}}{\times} (-) \tag{10.34}$$

to (10.33). This class represents the suspension of 1. □

For the case $s = 4$ what we require is the following

Proposition 10.35. *The restriction of the map*

$$S^1 \wedge MSO_3 \to KO$$

to $S^4 \to KO$ *is the generator of* $\tilde{K}O^0(S^4)$.

Proof. From the diagram (10.17) a map to $BH(4)$ can be thought of as consisting of a stable vector bundle V, an oriented 3-plane bundle V_3 and a Spin-structure on $V \oplus V_3$. We map $BSO(4) \to BH(4)$ by taking V to corresponding to the defining representation and V_3 to be one of the two irreducible representations of dimension 3. The construction of §9.2.2 then leads to the bundle on $MSO(4)$ corresponding to the $SO(4)$-equivariant map

$$\mathbb{R}^4 \times N \to N$$

where N is the irreducible quaternionic Cliff_4-module specified in 9.2.2 with $SO(4)$-action from the embedding above. This restricts to the generator of $KO(\mathbb{R}^4, \mathbb{R}^4 - \{0\})$, by [ABS, Theorem 11.5]. □

The two complex cases are handled similarly, using either the pullback squares

(10.36)
$$\begin{array}{ccc} BH^c(s) & \longrightarrow & BO_s \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle (w_1, \beta w_2)} \\ BO & \xrightarrow[(w_1, \beta w_2)]{} & K(\mathbb{Z}/2, 1) \times K(\mathbb{Z}, 3) \end{array}$$

for the identification

(10.37)
$$MTH^c(s) \approx \Sigma^{-s} M\,\mathrm{Spin}^{\mathrm{c}} \wedge MO_s$$

or

(10.38)
$$\begin{array}{ccc} HB & \longrightarrow & BO_s \times BU(1) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle (w_1, w_2 + c_1)} \\ BO & \xrightarrow[(w_1, w_2)]{} & \mathbf{P} \end{array}$$

for the identification

(10.39)
$$MTH^c(s) \approx \Sigma^{-s-2} M\,\mathrm{Spin} \wedge MU_1 \wedge MO_s.$$

11. A topological spin-statistics theorem

In a relativistic quantum field theory the spin-statistics theorem states that the central element of the Lorentz spin group acts on the Hilbert space of the theory as $(-1)^F$, where F is the $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$-valued grading operator;[32] see [SW, GJ, Kaz] for proofs in the framework of Wightman quantum field theory. In this section we prove the analog for reflection positive *non-extended* invertible topological theories. We do not know a version for fully extended theories. See [J-F] for another account of spin-statistics in topological field theory, but without positivity. A topological version of spin-statistics also enters into [GK] in the context of fermionic lattice models.

To formulate the statement we Wick rotate the central element of the Lorentz spin group to the central element of the Euclidean spin group. On a curved Riemannian spin manifold M, it acts as the *spin flip*: the identity diffeomorphism of M covered by the action of -1 on the spin frames. For a general symmetry group H_n it is the action of the distinguished central element $k_0 \in K$ in the internal symmetry group; see Corollary 2.7. Let $s\mathrm{Vect}_{\mathbb{C}}$ be the symmetric monoidal category of super vector spaces; the symmetry incorporates the Koszul sign rule.

Definition 11.1. Let $F\colon \mathrm{Bord}_{\langle n-1,n\rangle}(H_n) \to s\mathrm{Vect}_{\mathbb{C}}$ be a (not necessarily topological) field theory. We say F *satisfies spin-statistics* if it maps the spin flip on every $(n-1)$-manifold Y to the exponentiated grading operator $(-1)^F$ on the super vector space $F(Y)$.

Example 11.2. The spin-statistics connection fails without reflection positivity. Consider a 1-dimensional invertible topological theory F of spin manifolds with values in the category of $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$-graded complex lines. There are 4 theories up to isomorphism:[33] $F(\mathrm{pt}_+)$ is either even or odd, the spin flip acts as either $+1$ or -1, and these choices are independent. Half of these theories satisfy spin statistics, and they are precisely the ones for which $F(S^1_{\mathrm{bounding}}) = +1$, which by Theorem 7.17 is the condition for stability, and so for reflection positivity.

Theorem 11.3. *Let $F\colon \mathrm{Bord}_{\langle n-1,n\rangle}(H_n) \to s\mathrm{Line}_{\mathbb{C}}$ be a reflection positive invertible topological field theory. Then F satisfies spin-statistics.*



FIGURE 7. The composition $e_Y \circ \tau \circ c_Y$

[32] F vanishes on bosonic states and is the identity on fermionic states. In a free theory there is a dense Fock space of states with a finite number of particles on which F counts the number of fermionic particles modulo two.

[33] We compute using Theorem 5.20: $[\Sigma^1 MT\mathrm{Spin}_1, \Sigma^1 I\mathbb{C}^\times] \cong \mathrm{Hom}(\pi_1\Sigma^1 MT\mathrm{Spin}_1, \mathbb{C}^\times)$, the Thom spectrum $\Sigma^1 MT\mathrm{Spin}_1$ is the suspension spectrum of $\mathbb{RP}^\infty_+$, and $\pi_1\mathbb{RP}^\infty_+ \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. By contrast, $\pi_1 MT\mathrm{Spin} \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, hence $[MT\mathrm{Spin}, \Sigma^1 I\mathbb{C}^\times] \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, and so by Theorem 1.1 there are only two reflection positive theories.

Proof. Let Y be a closed H_n-manifold and set $L = F(Y)$. Recall from §4.2 and Definition B.8 the coevaluation $c_Y \colon \varnothing^{n-1} \to Y \amalg Y^\vee$ and the evaluation $e_Y \colon Y^\vee \amalg Y \to \varnothing^{n-1}$. Let $\tau \colon Y \amalg Y^\vee \to Y^\vee \amalg Y$ be the symmetry map. The composition $e_Y \circ \tau \circ c_Y$ is $S^1_{\text{nonbounding}} \times Y$ (see Figure 7), and under F it maps to the composition $\mathbb{C} \to L \otimes L^* \to L^* \otimes L \to \mathbb{C}$. The Koszul sign rule in the symmetry gives

$$F(S^1_{\text{nonbounding}} \times Y) = \operatorname{tr}_s \mathrm{id}_L = \operatorname{tr}(-1)^F = \begin{cases} +1, & L \text{ even}, \\ -1, & L \text{ odd}, \end{cases} \tag{11.4}$$

where tr_s is the supertrace. The ratio of (11.4) with $F(S^1_{\text{bounding}} \times Y)$ is the supertrace of the spin flip, and since the spin flip has order two this ratio equals ± 1. But $S^1_{\text{bounding}} \times Y$ is the spin double of c_Y (see Example 4.29), so by reflection positivity we conclude from Proposition 4.24 that $F(S^1_{\text{bounding}} \times Y) = 1$. □

Appendix A. Wick rotation of time-reversal symmetry

We begin in §A.1 with a review of pin groups and pin manifolds. In §A.2 we take as our starting point a relativistic quantum field theory in Minkowski spacetime. The analytic continuation of correlation functions, combined with the spin-statistics theorem, dictates the Euclidean field theory ramification of time-reversal symmetry. We do not attempt a general account for all field theories, but at least we justify the correspondence between the alternatives

$$\text{pin}^+\text{-structure} \quad \text{vs.} \quad \text{pin}^-\text{-structure} \tag{A.1}$$

in the Euclidean field theory and the alternatives

$$T^2 = (-1)^F \quad \text{vs.} \quad T^2 = 1 \tag{A.2}$$

for the action of time-reversal on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ of states.

For ease of exposition we assume the dimension of spacetime is $n \geqslant 3$.

A.1. Pin groups and pin manifolds

References for this section include [ABS, BDGK, KT1]. While we assume $n \geqslant 3$, with minor modifications the discussion goes through for $n \leqslant 2$ as well.

We take Lorentz signature as our starting point. Let $\mathbb{R}^{1,n-1}$ be the standard vector space with basis $e_0, e_1, \dots, e_{n-1}$ and the standard inner product: $\langle e_0, e_0 \rangle = 1$, $\langle e_i, e_i \rangle = -1$, $i = 1, \dots, n-1$, and $\langle e_\mu, e_\nu \rangle = 0$, $\mu \neq \nu$. Its isometry group is the orthogonal group $O_{1,n-1}$. The group of components of $O_{1,n-1}$ is isomorphic to $\{\pm 1\} \times \{\pm 1\}$; an orthogonal transformation either preserves or exchanges the two components of timelike vectors ξ (vectors with $\langle \xi, \xi \rangle > 0$), and it either

preserves or reverses the orientation of any spacelike codimension 1 subspace. In terms of the block matrix $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & \alpha \\ \eta & A \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in O_{1,n-1}$ the first question is the sign of the real number a and the second the determinant of the $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ matrix A. The identity component of $O_{1,n-1}$ has a unique (up to isomorphism) connected double covering group $\mathrm{Spin}_{1,n-1}$. It is contained in the even subalgebra of a real Clifford algebra, and there are two equally good choices for the signs:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Cliff}_{1,n-1}: &\quad e_0^2 = +1, \quad e_i^2 = -1, \quad i = 1, \dots, n-1, \\ \mathrm{Cliff}_{n-1,1}: &\quad e_0^2 = -1, \quad e_i^2 = +1, \quad i = 1, \dots, n-1. \end{aligned} \tag{A.3}$$

The Lorentz orthogonal group $O_{1,n-1}$ has a complexification $O_n(\mathbb{C})$ consisting of complex $n \times n$ orthogonal matrices. This complex group has two components distinguished by the determinant, which for an orthogonal matrix is ± 1. The identity component $SO_n(\mathbb{C})$ has a subgroup which is the union of the two components of $O_{1,n-1}$ of matrices with determinant 1. Also, $SO_n(\mathbb{C})$ has a unique connected double covering group $\mathrm{Spin}_n(\mathbb{C})$, which contains $\mathrm{Spin}_{1,n-1}$ as a subgroup. The complex Lie group $O_n(\mathbb{C})$ deformation retracts onto its maximal compact subgroup O_n, which is the group of orthogonal symmetries of the real vector space spanned by

$$\tilde{e}_0 = \sqrt{-1}\, e_0, \ \tilde{e}_1 = e_1, \ \dots, \ \tilde{e}_{n-1} = e_{n-1} \tag{A.4}$$

with its inherited negative definite inner product. The identity component SO_{n-1} has a unique connected double covering group Spin_n, which is the maximal compact subgroup of $\mathrm{Spin}_n(\mathbb{C})$. It is contained in the even subalgebra of a real Clifford algebra, and again there are two equally good choices for the signs:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Cliff}_n^-: &\quad \tilde{e}_\mu^2 = -1, \quad \mu = 0, \dots, n-1, \\ \mathrm{Cliff}_n^+: &\quad \tilde{e}_\mu^2 = +1, \quad \mu = 0, \dots, n-1. \end{aligned} \tag{A.5}$$

The orthogonal group $O_{1,n-1}$ has many double cover groups which contain $\mathrm{Spin}_{1,n-1}$ as identity component, but we are only interested in those groups which complexify. It is easiest to begin with the compact orthogonal group O_n, which has two double covers $\mathrm{Pin}_n^\pm$ with identity component Spin_n. Each is a subgroup of invertible elements in a real Clifford algebra: $\mathrm{Pin}_n^\pm \subset \mathrm{Cliff}_n^\pm$. They are group extensions

$$1 \longrightarrow \{\pm 1\} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Pin}_n^\pm \longrightarrow O_n \longrightarrow 1 \tag{A.6}$$

Observe that $\mathrm{Pin}_1^+ \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathrm{Pin}_1^- \cong \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$.

Lemma A.7. *There are unique complex Lie groups* $\mathrm{Pin}_n^\pm(\mathbb{C})$ *with identity component* $\mathrm{Spin}_n(\mathbb{C})$*, which double cover* $O_n(\mathbb{C})$*, and which contain* $\mathrm{Pin}_n^\pm$ *as maximal compact subgroups.*

Remark A.8. We warn that $\mathrm{Pin}_n^\pm(\mathbb{C})$ are complex Lie groups, whereas the group 'Pin_n^c', which is defined in [ABS, §3] as a subgroup of the complex Clifford algebra, is a compact real Lie group; it and twisted variants appear in §9.

Proof. Up to isomorphism there is a unique double covering space $X \to O_n(\mathbb{C})$ whose inverse image over each component of $O_n(\mathbb{C})$ is connected. The restriction over $O_n \subset O_n(\mathbb{C})$ is isomorphic as a double covering space to $\mathrm{Pin}_n^{\pm} \to O_n$. Choose an isomorphism of spaces and transport the group structure, then extend the group structure on the identity component Spin_n to that of $\mathrm{Spin}_n(\mathbb{C})$ on the entire component $X_+ \subset X$ containing Spin_n. Now use covering space theory to extend the group structure to all of X. For example, setting $X_- = X \backslash X_+$, lift the map $X_+ \times X_- \to O_n(\mathbb{C})_-$ to a map $X_+ \times X_- \to X_-$ using basepoints in the compact pin group. □

Define $\mathrm{Pin}_{1,n-1} \subset \mathrm{Pin}_n^-(\mathbb{C})$ (resp. $\mathrm{Pin}_{n-1,1} \subset \mathrm{Pin}_n^+(\mathbb{C})$) as the restriction of the double cover $\mathrm{Pin}_n^-(\mathbb{C}) \to O_n(\mathbb{C})$ (resp. $\mathrm{Pin}_n^+(\mathbb{C}) \to O_n(\mathbb{C})$) over $O_{1,n-1} \subset O_n(\mathbb{C})$. These real Pin groups are subgroups of the group of invertible elements in a Clifford algebra: $\mathrm{Pin}_{1,n-1} \subset \mathrm{Cliff}_{1,n-1}$ and $\mathrm{Pin}_{n-1,1} \subset \mathrm{Cliff}_{n-1,1}$.

We summarize this discussion with the inclusions

$$\mathrm{Pin}_{n-1,1} \subset \mathrm{Pin}_n^+(\mathbb{C}) \supset \mathrm{Pin}_n^+, \qquad \mathrm{Pin}_{1,n-1} \subset \mathrm{Pin}_n^-(\mathbb{C}) \supset \mathrm{Pin}_n^- \tag{A.9}$$

A Riemannian manifold X has a principal O_n-bundle of frames $\mathcal{B}_O(X) \to X$ whose points represent orthonormal bases of the tangent spaces to X. The following is a special case of Definition 2.28.

Definition A.10. A $\mathrm{pin}^{\pm}$*-structure* on X is a pair (P, θ) consisting of a principal $\mathrm{Pin}_n^{\pm}$-bundle $P \to X$ and an isomorphism $\mathcal{B}_O(X) \xrightarrow{\theta} P/\{\pm 1\}$ of principal O_n-bundles.

Pin structures, as spin structures, do not necessarily exist. The obstructions are given by Stiefel-Whitney classes: a pin^+-structure exists on X if and only if[34] $w_2(X) = 0$ and a pin^--structure exists if and only if $(w_1^2 + w_2)(X) = 0$. Double covers of X act on pin structures as follows. If $Q \to X$ is a double cover, viewed as a principal $\{\pm 1\}$-bundle, and (P, θ) is a $\mathrm{pin}^{\pm}$-structure, then $Q \times_X P \to X$ is a principal $(\{\pm 1\} \times \mathrm{Pin}_n^{\pm})$-bundle. The $\mathrm{Pin}_n^{\pm}$-bundle $(Q \times_X P)/\{\pm 1\} \to X$ associated to the homomorphism $\{\pm 1\} \times \mathrm{Pin}_n^{\pm} \to \mathrm{Pin}_n^{\pm}$ (multiplication in $\mathrm{Pin}_n^{\pm}$ with first argument restricted to the central subgroup in (A.6)), along with a canonical isomorphism of underlying O_n-bundles obtained from θ, is a $\mathrm{pin}^{\pm}$-structure. The set of isomorphism classes of $\mathrm{pin}^{\pm}$-structures, if nonempty, is a torsor over the abelian group $H^1(X; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$; that is, this group acts freely and transitively on the set of isomorphism classes. There is a canonical double cover of X, the orientation double cover, whose points represent orientations of the tangent spaces to X.

Definition A.11. The w_1*-involution* is the action of the orientation double cover on pin structures.

Recall that the equivalence class of the orientation double cover is $w_1(X) \in H^1(X; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$.

Remark A.12. Let $\hat{\alpha}$ be the automorphism of $\mathrm{Pin}_n^{\pm}$ which is the identity on Spin_n and multiplication by the central element -1 on the complement; it covers the identity automorphism of O_n. An alternative description of the w_1-transform $(P^{\sim}, \theta)$ of a pin-structure (P, θ) is the same manifold P with the same map θ, but with the $\mathrm{Pin}_n^{\pm}$-action altered by precomposition with $\hat{\alpha}$. (To see this, write the orientation double cover as P/Spin_n and construct the isomorphism of $\mathrm{Pin}_n^{\pm}$-bundles

$$P/\mathrm{Spin}_n \times P \longrightarrow P^{\sim} \tag{A.13}$$

[34] These are Stiefel-Whitney classes of the *tangent* bundle: $w_q(X) = w_q(TX)$. There is a potential confusion with Stiefel-Whitney classes of the *stable normal* bundle, which is what appears naturally in bordism theory.

which maps $(\mathfrak{o}, p) \mapsto p$ if $p \in \mathfrak{o}$ and $(\mathfrak{o}, p) \mapsto p \cdot (-1)$ if $p \notin \mathfrak{o}$. Here $\mathfrak{o} \subset P$ is a Spin_n-orbit.)

A.2. Time-reversal in relativistic quantum field theory and its Wick rotation

The key point, flagged in [KTTW, §5.1], can be simply explained. If time-reversal acts on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ of the theory via an anti-unitary operator T with $T^2 = (-1)^F$, then by the spin-statistics theorem the square of the time-reversal transformation e_0 on spacetime equals the central element -1 in the spin group. This means the Lorentz pin group is $\mathrm{Pin}_{n-1,1} \subset \mathrm{Cliff}_{n-1,1}$; see the first line of (A.3) in which $e_0^2 = -1$. The Wick rotation to Euclidean signature is Pin_n^+; see (A.9). If instead $T^2 = 1$, then the Wick rotation of the appropriate Lorentz pin group to Euclidean signature is Pin_n^-.

In this section we sketch a rigorous argument for this correspondence of Euclidean signature pin groups and time-reversal. We use the analytic continuation of correlation functions, working in the framework of Wightman quantum field theory [SW, GJ, Kaz]. This argument shows in particular why we only see the "Cliffordian" [BDGK] Lorentz signature pin groups $\mathrm{Pin}_{n-1,1}$ and $\mathrm{Pin}_{1,n-1}$ as symmetries of a relativistic quantum field theory instead of more general possible double covers of $O_{1,n-1}$. We assume $n \geqslant 3$.

Minkowski spacetime M is an n-dimensional affine space whose vector space V of translations is equipped with an inner product of signature $(1, n-1)$. (After choosing a basis we can identify $V \cong \mathbb{R}^{1,n-1}$.) The Poincaré group contains the translation group V as a subgroup with quotient group $\mathrm{Spin}(V)$ (isomorphic to $\mathrm{Spin}_{1,n-1}$). To Wick rotate to imaginary time, fix an orthogonal splitting $V = U \oplus U^\perp$ with U a 1-dimensional timelike subspace. Then the Euclidean translation group is $V_E = \sqrt{-1}\, U \oplus U^\perp$ and the corresponding Euclidean space is $E = M \times_V V_E$, an affine space over V_E. Complexified Minkowski spacetime is $M_{\mathbb{C}} = M \times_V V_{\mathbb{C}}$.

Correlation functions in a relativistic quantum field theory have analytic continuations. An m-point function is a Poincaré-invariant map[35] $\mathcal{W}\colon M^{\times m} \to \mathbb{C}$. Translation invariance under V implies $\mathcal{W}$ descends to a $\mathrm{Spin}(V)$-invariant function $W\colon V^{\times(m-1)} \to \mathbb{C}$ of the displacements between successive arguments of $\mathcal{W}$. Positivity of energy in combination with geometric arguments prove that W is the boundary value of a $\mathrm{Spin}(V_{\mathbb{C}})$-invariant analytic function $W_{\mathbb{C}}$ on an $SO(V_{\mathbb{C}})$-invariant connected domain $\mathcal{D} \subset V_{\mathbb{C}}^{\times(m-1)}$, where $V_{\mathbb{C}}$ is the complexification of V. Let

$$\mathcal{U} = \{(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{m-1}) \in (V_E)^{\times(m-1)} : \xi_{i_1} + \cdots + \xi_{i_k} \neq 0 \quad \text{for all } 1 \leqslant k \leqslant m-1,\ 1 \leqslant i_1 < \cdots < i_k \leqslant m-1\}; \tag{A.15}$$

then $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{D}$. The restriction of $W_{\mathbb{C}}$ to $\mathcal{U}$, lifted to $E^{\times m}$, is the Euclidean m-point function (Schwinger function), which is invariant under the spin cover of the identity component of the

[35] We are making several notational simplifications. First, $\mathcal{W}$ is the Schwartz kernel for a distribution—a function of m Schwartz functions. Ignoring the smearing by these Schwartz functions, it is defined as

$$\mathcal{W}(p_1, \dots, p_m) = \langle \Omega, \Psi(p_1) \cdots \Psi(p_n) \Omega \rangle, \tag{A.14}$$

where Ω is the vacuum and Ψ is an operator-valued distribution, a quantum field. The second simplification is that Ψ takes values in a real representation of the Lorentz spin group $\mathrm{Spin}(V)$, so in general $\mathcal{W}$ is a vector-valued function which exhibits covariance, rather than invariance, under the Poincaré group. A third notational simplification: we must remove all diagonals in the domains of $\mathcal{W}$, W, and $W_{\mathbb{C}}$.

Euclidean group. We remind that all diagonals are removed: correlation functions are not considered for operators at coincident points. The entire domain $\mathcal{D}$ has an explicit description. Fix a component V_+ of the space $\{\xi : \langle \xi, \xi\rangle > 0\}$ of timelike vectors.[36] Define the *backward tube* $\mathcal{T} = V - \sqrt{-1}\,V_+ \subset V_{\mathbb{C}}$. Then $\mathcal{D} \subset V_{\mathbb{C}}^{\times(m-1)}$ is the subset obtained by applying the diagonal action of $SO(V_{\mathbb{C}})$ and the permutation group Sym_m to $\mathcal{T}^{\times(m-1)} \subset V_{\mathbb{C}}^{\times(m-1)}$. The set V of real spacetime vectors is not contained in $\mathcal{T}$, but the domain $\mathcal{D}$ does contain real $(m-1)$-tuples of vectors $(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{m-1}) \in \mathcal{D} \cap V^{\times(m-1)}$, called *Jost points*, characterized by the property that any positive linear combination of ξ_i is spacelike.

Example A.16. The first nontrivial case is $n = 1$, $m = 2$. (See §3.1 for a closely related discussion.) The nonzero vectors in the line V are timelike, $V_+ \subset V$ is a ray, $\mathcal{T}$ is an open half-plane in the complex line $V_{\mathbb{C}}$, the group $SO(V_{\mathbb{C}})$ is trivial, and the nontrivial element in Sym_2 maps $\mathcal{T}$ to $-\mathcal{T}$. Therefore $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{T} \cup -\mathcal{T} = V_{\mathbb{C}} \backslash V$: it is the complexified group of translations minus the real translations. In this case $V_E = \sqrt{-1}V$. Note that $V_E \setminus \{0\} \subset \mathcal{D}$.

The analytic continuation $W_{\mathbb{C}}$ is used to deduce the CPT theorem[37] using an argument of Jost [J]. We only sketch the argument briefly; see [SW, §4-3], [Kaz, §2.4], [AgVm, §11.6] for the full proof. As explained after (A.3), the complex spin group $\mathrm{Spin}(V_{\mathbb{C}}) \cong \mathrm{Spin}_n(\mathbb{C})$ restricts over $SO_{1,n-1} \subset SO_n(\mathbb{C})$ to a two-component Lie group G whose identity component is $\mathrm{Spin}(V) \cong \mathrm{Spin}_{1,n-1}$. Let $\widetilde{G}$ be the corresponding two-component Lie group whose identity component is the Poincaré group. The non-identity component of $\widetilde{G}$ (and of G) is labeled 'RT'. The nontrivial step is that symmetries in the RT component act *anti-unitarily* on $\mathcal{H}$, which does not translate into invariance of W under $\widetilde{G}$ but rather, up to signs, invariance after reversing the arguments. Suppose more generally that $\beta \in O(V)$ is a symmetry of Minkowski spacetime which is implemented anti-unitarily on $\mathcal{H}$ by an operator B which preserves the vacuum. The anti-unitarity implies $\Psi(\beta p) = B\Psi^*(p)B^{-1}$ for p a point of spacetime. Then for a correlation function at m spacetime points,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{C}}(\beta p_1, \dots, \beta p_m) &= \langle \Omega, \Psi(\beta p_1) \cdots \Psi(\beta p_m)\Omega\rangle \\
&= \langle \Omega, B\Psi^*(p_1)B^{-1} \cdots B\Psi^*(p_m)B^{-1}\Omega\rangle \\
&= \overline{\langle B^{-1}\Omega, \Psi^*(p_1) \cdots \Psi^*(p_m)B^{-1}\Omega\rangle} \\
&= \overline{\langle \Omega, \Psi^*(p_1) \cdots \Psi^*(p_m)\Omega\rangle} \\
&= \pm\langle \Omega, \Psi(p_m) \cdots \Psi(p_1)\Omega\rangle \\
&= \pm\mathcal{W}(p_m, \dots, p_1)
\end{aligned} \tag{A.17}$$

The sign comes from the Koszul sign rule, if the field Ψ is fermionic. Here again we omit the vector values of Ψ from the notation: the symmetry also acts on those. A similar maneuver holds for more general correlation functions. This reversal can be executed on spacetime points which are spacelike separated, so in particular at Jost points, which live in $\mathcal{D}$. Identities involving the analytic function $W_{\mathbb{C}}$ which are valid at Jost points are valid everywhere in $\mathcal{D}$. The desired identity on W follows by taking a limit at the boundary of $\mathcal{D}$.

[36] This choice is required in the definition of a quantum field theory in order to formulate the positivity of energy.

[37] It is better to call it the CRT theorem, as in [W1]. The 'P' in 'CPT' is understood to be the parity transformation which acts as -1 on space and so is orientation-preserving if the dimension of spacetime is odd; by contrast, the 'R' in 'CRT' denotes reflection in a single spatial direction and is orientation-reversing in all dimensions.

If the Hilbert space of the theory is purely bosonic, then the spin-statistics theorem[38] implies that the central element $-1 \in \mathrm{Spin}(V)$ acts trivially, so the symmetry group of the theory is the union $\overline{G}$ of the identity and RT components of $O(V)$, together with translations. Assume now that a bosonic theory is invariant under the *entire* group of isometries of M, which is generated by $O(V)$ and translations. This follows, for example, if the theory is invariant under a single time-reversal transformation. We run the Jost argument backwards. First, observe that $\mathcal{D}$ is invariant under $O(V_{\mathbb{C}})$: it is $SO(V_{\mathbb{C}})$-invariant and also invariant by any transformation in the P-component of $O(V)$, since V_+ and so $\mathcal{T}$ are, hence $\mathcal{D}$ is invariant under the group generated, which is $O(V_{\mathbb{C}})$. The $O(V)$-invariance of the theory translates into new identities on the Wightman function W (which are different for the T-component and the P-component since elements of the former act anti-unitarily while elements of the latter act unitarily). Since the Jost points are invariant under $O(V)$, and we can reverse the order of arguments when necessary (A.17) using the commutation rules for spacelike separated points, we translate these new identities into invariance of $W_{\mathbb{C}}$ under $O(V)$ when restricted to the Jost points. It follows from analyticity that $W_{\mathbb{C}}$ is $O(V)$-invariant on $\mathcal{D}$, and since it is also $SO(V_{\mathbb{C}})$-invariant it is invariant under the group $O(V_{\mathbb{C}})$ generated by $O(V)$ and $SO(V_{\mathbb{C}})$. Restricting to Euclidean space we conclude that the Euclidean correlation functions are invariant under the entire Euclidean group, including orientation-reversing transformations such as reflections.

Now consider a quantum field theory with fermionic states which has a time-reversal symmetry σ in addition to Poincaré symmetry. Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$ be the Lie group of symmetries generated by σ and $\widetilde{G}$. Let $\mathcal{G} = \widetilde{H}/V$ denote the quotient by translations.

Lemma A.18. *$\mathcal{G}$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Pin}_{n-1,1}$ or $\mathrm{Pin}_{1,n-1}$.*

Proof. First, the natural map $\pi\colon \mathcal{G} \to O(V)$ given by the action on V is surjective, because the subgroup $G = \widetilde{G}/V$ maps onto $\overline{G}$, and σ maps onto one of the remaining components, the T component. Also, π is a double cover since the restriction $G \to \overline{G}$ is. Choose an element t in the T component of $O(V)$ which squares to the identity and let $\tau \in \pi^{-1}(t)$. The action A_τ of τ by conjugation on G, which we need to determine,[39] covers the action of t by conjugation on $\overline{G}$. Since $\mathrm{Spin}(V_{\mathbb{C}})$ is a symmetry of analytically continued correlation functions, A_τ must extend to act on $\mathrm{Spin}(V_{\mathbb{C}})$ covering the known conjugation A_t on $SO(V_{\mathbb{C}})$. But $A_\tau(1) = 1$ and $\mathrm{Spin}(V_{\mathbb{C}})$ is 1-connected, so covering space theory implies that A_τ uniquely determined. Now $t^2 = 1$ which implies two possibilities for the square of τ: either $\tau^2 = 1$ or $\tau^2 = -1$. These considerations completely determine the group structure since G and τ generate $\mathcal{G}$. Embed G in the appropriate Clifford algebra (A.3) and choose $\tau = e_0$ to construct an isomorphism to one of the pin groups. □

Next, we argue as in the bosonic case. If a correlation function W is invariant under one of the pin groups, then the analytic continuation $W_{\mathbb{C}}$ is invariant under $\mathrm{Pin}(V_{\mathbb{C}})$. Therefore, the Euclidean correlation functions are invariant under the double cover of the Euclidean group associated to the appropriate compact pin group, the choice of which is dictated by (A.9).

[38] This theorem states that $-1 \in \mathrm{Spin}(V)$ acts as the exponentiated grading operator $(-1)^F$ on $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}^0 \oplus \mathcal{H}^1$.

[39] There are two possible lifts of A_t to G, since G has two components, which is why we bring in the connected complex spin group.

Appendix B. Involutions on categories and duality

Definition B.1. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a category.

(1) An *involution* of $\mathcal{C}$ is a pair (τ, η) of a functor $\tau\colon \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}$ and a natural isomorphism $\eta\colon \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{C}} \to \tau^2$ such that for any $x \in \mathcal{C}$ we have $\tau\eta_x = \eta_{\tau x}$ as morphisms $\tau x \to \tau^3 x$.

(2) A *fixed point* of τ is a pair (x, θ) of an object $x \in \mathcal{C}$ and an isomorphism $x \xrightarrow{\theta} \tau x$ such that $\tau\theta \circ \theta = \eta_x$ as morphisms $x \to \tau^2 x$.

If $\mathcal{C}$ is a symmetric monoidal category, then the involution τ is required to be a symmetric monoidal functor: for $x, y \in \mathcal{C}$ there is given an isomorphism $\tau x \otimes \tau y \xrightarrow{\cong} \tau(x \otimes y)$ and these isomorphisms are compatible with the symmetry and with η.

Example B.2. Let $\mathcal{C} = \mathrm{Vect}_{\mathbb{C}}$ be the category of complex vector spaces and linear maps. Define $\tau\colon \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}$ to be the functor which takes complex vector spaces and linear maps to their complex conjugates. (The complex conjugate vector space is the same underlying real vector space with the sign of multiplication by $\sqrt{-1} \in \mathbb{C}$ reversed; the complex conjugate of a linear map is the same map of sets.) Then there is a canonical identification of τ^2 with $\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{C}}$. A fixed point is a complex vector space with a real structure. As a variation, if $\mathcal{C} = s\mathrm{Vect}_{\mathbb{C}}$ is the category of super ($\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$-graded) vector spaces and τ complex conjugation as above, but now η is composed with the exponentiated grading automorphism (denoted '$(-1)^F$' in the physics literature), then a fixed point is a super vector space with a real structure on its even part and a quaternionic structure on its odd part. If we restrict to the subgroupid $\mathcal{C}^\times$ of super lines and isomorphisms, then all fixed points are even.

Definition B.3. Let (τ, η) be an involution on a category $\mathcal{C}$. The *fixed point category* $\mathcal{C}^\tau$ has as objects fixed points (x, θ), and a morphism $(x, \theta) \to (x', \theta')$ in $\mathcal{C}^\tau$ is a morphism $(x \xrightarrow{f} x') \in \mathcal{C}$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} x & \xrightarrow{f} & x' \\ {\scriptstyle\theta}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\theta'} \\ \tau x & \xrightarrow{\tau f} & \tau x' \end{array} \tag{B.4}$$

commutes. There is a *forgetful functor* $\mathcal{C}^\tau \to \mathcal{C}$ which maps $(x, \theta) \mapsto x$.

Example B.5. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be the *groupoid* of $\mathbb{Z}$-torsors: an object T is a set with a simply transitive action of the additive group $\mathbb{Z}$ and a morphism $T \to T'$ is an isomorphism which commutes with the $\mathbb{Z}$-actions. Let τ be the involution which sends a torsor T to its dual $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(T, \mathbb{Z})$ and sends a morphism to its inverse transpose. The dual of T may be identified with T as a set; the dual $\mathbb{Z}$ action by $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ is the original action by $-n$. The fixed point category $\mathcal{C}^\tau$ is equivalent to the set $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$: there are two isomorphism classes of objects and no nontrivial automorphisms. Representatives are the maps $\theta_0\colon x \mapsto -x$ and $\theta_1\colon x \mapsto 1 - x$ taking the trivial $\mathbb{Z}$-torsor $\mathbb{Z}$ to its dual. Observe that θ_0 has a fixed point, whereas θ_1 does not.

Definition B.6. Let $\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}$ be categories with involutions and $F\colon \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{C}$ a functor. Then *equivariance data* for F is an isomorphism $\phi\colon F\tau_{\mathcal{B}} \xrightarrow{\cong} \tau_{\mathcal{C}} F$ of functors $\mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{C}$ such that for every object

$x \in \mathcal{B}$ the diagram

(B.7)
$$\begin{array}{ccc} Fx & \xrightarrow{F\eta_{\mathcal{B}}} & F\tau^2_{\mathcal{B}}x \\ & \searrow^{\eta_{\mathcal{C}}} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \phi^2} \\ & & \tau^2_{\mathcal{C}}Fx \end{array}$$

commutes.

There are additional compatibilities for a symmetric monoidal functor between symmetric monoidal categories; we do not spell them out. We often loosely say that "F is an equivariant functor", but it is important to remember that equivariance is data+condition, not simply a condition.

Next, we review duality in a symmetric monoidal category. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a symmetric monoidal category and $x \in \mathcal{C}$. Denote the tensor unit by $1 \in \mathcal{C}$. (The tensor unit in $\mathrm{Bord}_{\langle n-1,n\rangle}(H_n)$ is the empty set as an $(n-1)$-dimensional manifold; the tensor unit in $\mathrm{Vect}_{\mathbb{C}}$ is the trivial 1-dimensional vector space $\mathbb{C}$.)

Definition B.8. Let x be an object in a symmetric monoidal category $\mathcal{C}$. *Duality data* for x is a triple $(x^\vee, c, e)$ consisting of an object $x^\vee \in \mathcal{C}$ together with morphisms $c\colon 1 \to x \otimes x^\vee$ and $e\colon x^\vee \otimes x \to 1$ such that the compositions

(B.9)
$$\begin{aligned} x &\xrightarrow{c\otimes \mathrm{id}} x \otimes x^\vee \otimes x \xrightarrow{id\otimes e} x \\ x^\vee &\xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}\otimes c} x^\vee \otimes x \otimes x^\vee \xrightarrow{e\otimes \mathrm{id}} x^\vee \end{aligned}$$

are identity maps. If $x_0 \xrightarrow{f} x_1$ is a morphism, then the dual morphism is the composition

(B.10)
$$f^\vee\colon x_1^\vee \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}\otimes c_{x_0}} x_1^\vee \otimes x_0 \otimes x_0^\vee \xrightarrow{e_{x_1}\otimes \mathrm{id}} x_0^\vee$$

The morphism c is called *coevaluation* and e is called *evaluation*. We say that $x^\vee$ is "the" dual to x since any two triples of duality data are uniquely isomorphic. Assuming all objects have duals, we can make choices of duality data for all objects at once and so obtain a duality involution δ on $\mathcal{C}$, but δ does not satisfy Definition B.1 since the direction of morphisms is reversed (B.10); in other words, δ is a functor to the *opposite* category.

Definition B.11. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a category.

(1) A *twisted involution* of $\mathcal{C}$ is a pair (δ, η) of a functor $\delta\colon \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{op}}$ and a natural isomorphism $\eta\colon \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{C}} \to \delta^{\mathrm{op}} \circ \delta$ such that for any $x \in \mathcal{C}$ we have $\delta\eta_x \circ \eta_{\delta x} = \mathrm{id}_{\delta x}$.
(2) A *fixed point* of δ is a pair (x, θ) of an object $x \in \mathcal{C}$ and an isomorphism $x \xrightarrow{\theta} \delta x$ such that $\delta\theta \circ \eta_x = \theta$ as morphisms $x \to \delta x$.

Definition B.3 applies with a single change: the direction of the bottom arrow in (B.4) is reversed.

Example B.12. For $\mathcal{C} = \mathrm{Vect}_{\mathbb{C}}$ the duality involution $\delta\colon \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{op}}$ maps a vector space V to its dual V^* and a linear map $f\colon V \to W$ to $f^*\colon W^* \to V^*$. A fixed point of δ is a vector space V equipped with a nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form; a linear map $f\colon V \to W$ in $\mathcal{C}^\delta$ preserves the bilinear forms. A fixed point for the composite of duality and complex conjugation (Example B.2) is a complex vector space V with a nondegenerate hermitian form; a linear map $f\colon V \to W$ in the fixed point category is a partial isometry—an injective map which preserves the hermitian forms.

Remark B.13. There is a higher categorical context for Definition B.11. Let Cat denote the 2-category of categories. There is an involution $\alpha\colon \mathrm{Cat} \to \mathrm{Cat}$ which sends a category $\mathcal{C}$ to its opposite $\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{op}}$. (There is an extra categorical layer over Definition B.1: there is a triple (α, η_1, η_2) of data and a single condition.) A twisted involution in the sense of Definition B.11 is fixed point data for α.

Definition B.14. Let (τ, η) be an involution on a symmetric monoidal category $\mathcal{C}$. A *hermitian structure* on an object $x \in \mathcal{C}$ is an isomorphism $\tau x \xrightarrow{\cong} x^\vee$.

Proposition 4.8 asserts that every object in a bordism category has a canonical hermitian structure. Observe that if $F\colon \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{C}$ is an equivariant symmetric monoidal functor between symmetric monoidal categories with involution, as in Definition B.6, then the image of a hermitian structure on an object $b \in \mathcal{B}$ is a hermitian structure on Fb.

References

[A] M. Atiyah, *Topological quantum field theories*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1988), no. 68, 175–186 (1989). 2, 14, 15

[ABP1] D. W. Anderson, E. H. Brown, Jr., and F. P. Peterson, *The structure of the Spin cobordism ring*, Ann. of Math. (2) **86** (1967), 271–298. 68, 70, 74

[ABP2] ———, *Pin cobordism and related topics*, Comment. Math. Helv. **44** (1969), 462–468. 68

[ABS] M. F. Atiyah, R. Bott, and A. Shapiro, *Clifford modules*, Topology **3** (1964), no. suppl. 1, 3–38. 6, 13, 20, 55, 58, 60, 61, 64, 79, 81, 83, 84

[AgVm] Luis Alvarez-Gaumé and Miguel A Vázquez-Mozo, *An invitation to quantum field theory*, vol. 839, Springer Science & Business Media, 2011. 87

[An] D. W. Anderson, *Universal coefficient theorems for K-theory*. mimeographed notes, University of California at Berkeley (1969). 6, 66

[APS] M. F. Atiyah, V. K. Patodi, and I. M. Singer, *Spectral asymmetry and Riemannian geometry. I*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **77** (1975), 43–69. 46, 65

[AS] M. F. Atiyah and I. M. Singer, *Index theory for skew-adjoint Fredholm operators*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1969), no. 37, 5–26. 61, 62

[AZ] Alexander Altland and Martin R. Zirnbauer, *Nonstandard symmetry classes in mesoscopic normal-superconducting hybrid structures*, Phys. Rev. B **55** (1997), 1142–1161. 6, 58

[BC] E. H. Brown and M. Comenetz, *Pontrjagin duality for generalized homology and cohomology theories*, Amer. J. Math. **98** (1976), 1–27. 31

[BD] John C. Baez and James Dolan, *Higher-dimensional algebra and topological quantum field theory*, J. Math. Phys. **36** (1995), no. 11, 6073–6105, arXiv:q-alg/9503002. 2, 28

[BDGK] Marcus Berg, Cécile DeWitt-Morette, Shangjr Gwo, and Eric Kramer, *The pin groups in physics: C, P and T*, Reviews in Mathematical Physics **13** (2001), no. 08, 953–1034, arXiv:math-ph/0012006. 83, 86

[BG] Anthony Bahri and Peter Gilkey, *The eta invariant,* Pin^c *bordism, and equivariant* Spin^c *bordism for cyclic* 2*-groups*, Pacific J. Math. **128** (1987), no. 1, 1–24. 70

[CGW] Xie Chen, Zheng-Cheng Gu, and Xiao-Gang Wen, *Local unitary transformation, long-range quantum entanglement, wave function renormalization, and topological order*, Phys. Rev. B **82** (2010), 155138, arXiv:1004.3835. 3

[CM] Sidney Coleman and Jeffrey Mandula, *All possible symmetries of the S matrix*, Physical Review **159** (1967), no. 5, 1251–56. 7

[D] Freeman J. Dyson, *The threefold way. Algebraic structure of symmetry groups and ensembles in quantum mechanics*, J. Mathematical Phys. **3** (1962), 1199–1215. 6, 58

[De] Pierre Deligne, *Notes on spinors*, Quantum Fields and Strings: a course for mathematicians, Vol. 1, 2 (Princeton, NJ, 1996/1997), Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999, pp. 99–135. 62, 63

[DF] Xianzhe Dai and Daniel S. Freed, *η-invariants and determinant lines*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **320** (1995), no. 5, 585–591, arXiv:hep-th/9405012. 65

[F1] Daniel S. Freed, *Higher algebraic structures and quantization*, Comm. Math. Phys. **159** (1994), no. 2, 343–398, arXiv:hep-th/9212115. 2, 28

[F2] ———, *The cobordism hypothesis*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **50** (2013), no. 1, 57–92, arXiv:1210.5100. 15, 28

[F3] D. S. Freed, *Short-range entanglement and invertible field theories*, arXiv:1406.7278. 4, 27, 31, 46, 47, 48

[F4] Daniel S. Freed, *Anomalies and invertible field theories*, String-Math 2013, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 88, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2014, pp. 25–45. arXiv:1404.7224. 64

[FCV] Lukasz Fidkowski, Xie Chen, and Ashvin Vishwanath, *Non-Abelian Topological Order on the Surface of a 3D Topological Superconductor from an Exactly Solved Model*, Phys. Rev. **X3** (2013), no. 4, 041016, arXiv:1305.5851 [cond-mat.str-el]. 69

[FH] Daniel S. Freed and Michael J. Hopkins, *Chern-Weil forms and abstract homotopy theory*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **50** (2013), no. 3, 431–468, arXiv:1301.5959. 54

[FHT1] Daniel S. Freed, Michael J. Hopkins, and Constantin Teleman, *Consistent orientation of moduli spaces*, The many facets of geometry, Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, 2010, pp. 395–419. arXiv:0711.1909. 32, 41

[FHT2] D. S. Freed, M. J. Hopkins, and C. Teleman, *Loop groups and twisted K-theory III*, Ann. of Math. **174** (2011), no. 2, 974–1007, arXiv:math/0511232. 57

[FK1] Lukasz Fidkowski and Alexei Kitaev, *Topological phases of fermions in one dimension*, Phys. Rev. B **83** (2011), 075103, arXiv:1008.4138. 69

[FK2] ———, *Effects of interactions on the topological classification of free fermion systems*, Phys. Rev. B **81** (2010), 134509, arXiv:0904.2197. 69

[FKM] Liang Fu, C. L. Kane, and E. J. Mele, *Topological Insulators in Three Dimensions*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98** (2007), 106803, arXiv:cond-mat/0607699. 71

[FL] Daniel S. Freed and John Lott, *An index theorem in differential K-theory*, Geom. Topol. **14** (2010), no. 2, 903–966, arXiv:0907.3508. 62, 65

[FM1] Daniel S. Freed and Gregory W. Moore, *Twisted equivariant matter*, Ann. Henri Poincaré **14** (2013), no. 8, 1927–2023, arXiv:1208.5055. 6, 7, 58

[FM2] ———, *Setting the quantum integrand of M-theory*, Commun. Math. Phys. **263** (2006), 89–132, arXiv:hep-th/0409135. 5

[FMS] Daniel S. Freed, Gregory W. Moore, and Graeme Segal, *The uncertainty of fluxes*, Commun. Math. Phys. **271** (2007), 247–274, arXiv:hep-th/0605198. 66

[GJ] James Glimm and Arthur Jaffe, *Quantum physics*, second ed., Springer-Verlag, New York, 1987. A functional integral point of view. 18, 82, 86

[GK] Davide Gaiotto and Anton Kapustin, *Spin TQFTs and fermionic phases of matter*, arXiv:1505.05856. 4, 32, 82

[GMTW] Søren Galatius, Ulrike Tillmann, Ib Madsen, and Michael Weiss, *The homotopy type of the cobordism category*, Acta Math. **202** (2009), no. 2, 195–239, arXiv:math/0605249. 30, 40, 41

[GW] Zheng-Cheng Gu and Xiao-Gang Wen, *Symmetry-protected topological orders for interacting fermions—fermionic topological non-linear σ-models and a group super-cohomology theory*, 1201.2648. 4, 68, 69

[H] M. J. Hopkins, *Algebraic topology and modular forms*, Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. I (Beijing, 2002) (Beijing), Higher Ed. Press, 2002, pp. 291–317. arXiv:math/0212397. 60, 61

[HeSt] Drew Heard and Vesna Stojanoska, *K-theory, reality, and duality*, J. K-Theory **14** (2014), no. 3, 526–555, arXiv:1401.2581. 66

[HHZ] P. Heinzner, A. Huckleberry, and M.R. Zirnbauer, *Symmetry Classes of Disordered Fermions*, Communications in Mathematical Physics **257** (2005), no. 3, 725–771, arXiv:math-ph/0411040. 6, 58

[HS] M. J. Hopkins and I. M. Singer, *Quadratic functions in geometry, topology, and M-theory*, J. Diff. Geom. **70** (2005), 329–452, arXiv:math/0211216. 31, 33, 65

[J] R Jost, *A remark on the CTP theorem*, Helv. Phys. Acta **30** (1957), 409–416. 87

[J-F] Theo Johnson-Freyd, *Spin, statistics, orientations, unitarity*, arXiv:1507.06297. 82

[K1] Alexei Kitaev, *Toward Topological Classification of Phases with Short-range Entanglement*, 2011. http://online.kitp.ucsb.edu/online/topomat11/kitaev/. Lecture at KITP. 3, 4, 69

[K2] ———, *On the Classification of Short-Range Entangled States*, June, 2013. http://scgp.stonybrook.edu/archives/7874. Lecture at SCGP. 4

[K3] ———, *Short range entangled quantum states*, May, 2014. lecture at MSRI. 4

[K4] Alexei Kitaev, *Homotopy-theoretic approach to SPT phases in action: $\mathbb{Z}/16\mathbb{Z}$ classification of three-dimensional superconductors*, January 2015. http://www.ipam.ucla.edu/abstract/?tid=12389&pcode=STQ2015. talk at *Symmetry and Topology in Quantum Matter*, Institute for Pure and Applied Mathematics. 69

[K5] Alexei Kitaev, *Anyons in an exactly solved model and beyond*, Annals of Physics **321** (2006), no. 1, 2–111, arXiv:cond-mat/0506438. 5, 46

[K6] ———, *Periodic table for topological insulators and superconductors*, AIP Conf.Proc. **1134** (2009), 22–30, arXiv:0901.2686 [cond-mat.mes-hall]. 6, 58

[Ka1] Anton Kapustin, *Symmetry Protected Topological Phases, Anomalies, and Cobordisms: Beyond Group Cohomology*, arXiv:1403.1467 [cond-mat.str-el]. 4

[Ka2] ———, *Topological field theory, higher categories, and their applications*, Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians. Volume III (New Delhi), Hindustan Book Agency, 2010, pp. 2021–2043. arXiv:1004:2307. 28

[Kaz] David Kazhdan, *Introduction to QFT*, Quantum fields and strings: a course for mathematicians. Vol. 1, pp. 377–418, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1999. Material from the Special Year on Quantum Field Theory held at the Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton, NJ, 1996–1997. 18, 82, 86, 87

[Klo] K. R. Klonoff, *An index theorem in differential K-theory*, 2008. http://repositories.lib.utexas.edu/bitstream/handle/2152/3912/klonoffk16802.pdf?sequence=2. University of Texas Ph.D. thesis. 65

[KM] C. L. Kane and E. J. Mele, *Z_2 Topological Order and the Quantum Spin Hall Effect*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95** (2005), 146802. 71

[KS] M. Kontsevich and G. B. Segal, *Wick rotation and the positivity of energy in quantum field theory.* in preparation. 8, 15, 24

[KT1] R. C. Kirby and L. R. Taylor, *Pin structures on low-dimensional manifolds*, Geometry of Low-Dimensional Manifolds, 2 (Durham, 1989), London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., vol. 151, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1990, pp. 177–242. 68, 83

[KT2] ———, *A calculation of Pin^+ bordism groups*, Comment. Math. Helv. **65** (1990), no. 3, 434–447. 68

[KTTW] Anton Kapustin, Ryan Thorngren, Alex Turzillo, and Zitao Wang, *Fermionic Symmetry Protected Topological Phases and Cobordisms*, 1406.7329. 4, 69, 86

[KZ] Ricardo Kennedy and Martin R Zirnbauer, *Bott periodicity for Z2 symmetric ground states of gapped free-fermion systems*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1409.2537 (2014). 6, 58

[L] Jacob Lurie, *On the classification of topological field theories*, Current developments in mathematics, 2008, Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2009, pp. 129–280. arXiv:0905.0465. 2, 28, 30

[La] R. J. Lawrence, *Triangulations, categories and extended topological field theories*, Quantum topology, Ser. Knots Everything, vol. 3, World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 1993, pp. 191–208. 2, 28

[LM] H. Blaine Lawson, Jr. and Marie-Louise Michelsohn, *Spin geometry*, Princeton Mathematical Series, vol. 38, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1989. 61

[LV] Yuan-Ming Lu and Ashvin Vishwanath, *Theory and classification of interacting integer topological phases in two dimensions: A Chern-Simons approach*, Phys. Rev. B **86** (2012), 125119, arXiv:1203.3156. 68, 69, 70, 71

[M] Max A. Metlitski, *S-duality of $u(1)$ gauge theory with $\theta = \pi$ on non-orientable manifolds: Applications to topological insulators and superconductors*, arXiv:1510.05663. 54, 71

[MFCV] Max A. Metlitski, Lukasz Fidkowski, Xie Chen, and Ashvin Vishwanath, *Interaction effects on 3D topological superconductors: surface topological order from vortex condensation, the 16 fold way and fermionic Kramers doublets*, arXiv:1406.3032 [cond-mat.str-el]. 69

[MS] G. W. Moore and G. B. Segal, *D-branes and K-theory in 2D topological field theory*, Dirichlet branes and mirror symmetry (Paul S. Aspinwall, Tom Bridgeland, Alastair Craw, Michael R. Douglas, Mark Gross, Anton Kapustin, Gregory W. Moore, Graeme Segal, Balázs Szendrői, and P. M. H. Wilson,

eds.), Clay Mathematics Monographs, vol. 4, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2009, pp. x+681. arXiv:hep-th/0609042. 28

[MW] Scott Morrison and Kevin Walker, *Blob homology*, Geom. Topol. **16** (2012), no. 3, 1481–1607, arXiv:1009.5025. 47

[OS] Konrad Osterwalder and Robert Schrader, *Axioms for Euclidean Green's functions. II*, Comm. Math. Phys. **42** (1975), 281–305. With an appendix by Stephen Summers. 18

[QHZ] Xiao-Liang Qi, Taylor L Hughes, and Shou-Cheng Zhang, *Topological field theory of time-reversal invariant insulators*, Physical Review B **78** (2008), no. 19, 195424. 68

[Se1] Graeme Segal, *The definition of conformal field theory*, Topology, geometry and quantum field theory, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., vol. 308, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2004, pp. 421–577. (1988 preprint). 2, 14

[Se2] ———, *Felix Klein Lectures 2011*. http://www.mpim-bonn.mpg.de/node/3372/abstracts. 15

[Se3] ———, *Classifying spaces and spectral sequences*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1968), no. 34, 105–112. 29

[SeWi] Nathan Seiberg and Edward Witten, *Gapped Boundary Phases of Topological Insulators via Weak Coupling*, 1602.04251. 6, 13, 54, 55, 56, 70

[SRFL] Shinsei Ryu, Andreas P. Schnyder, Akira Furusaki, and Andreas W.W. Ludwig, *Topological insulators and superconductors: Tenfold way and dimensional hierarchy*, arXiv:0912.2157; New J.Phys. **12** (2010), 065010, arXiv:0912.2157. 6, 58

[ST] Stephan Stolz and Peter Teichner, *Supersymmetric field theories and generalized cohomology*, Mathematical foundations of quantum field theory and perturbative string theory, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 83, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2011, pp. 279–340. arXiv:1108.0189. 15, 16, 28

[Sto] Robert E. Stong, *Notes on cobordism theory*, Mathematical notes, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J.; University of Tokyo Press, Tokyo, 1968. 70

[SW] Raymond F Streater and Arthur S Wightman, *PCT, spin and statistics, and all that*, Princeton University Press, 2000. 82, 86, 87

[T] René Thom, *Quelques propriétés globales des variétés différentiables*, Comment. Math. Helv. **28** (1954), 17–86. 2

[TPB] Ari M Turner, Frank Pollmann, and Erez Berg, *Topological phases of one-dimensional fermions: An entanglement point of view*, Physical Review B **83** (2011), no. 7, 075102, arXiv:1008.4346. 69

[W1] Edward Witten, *Fermion Path Integrals And Topological Phases*, arXiv:1508.04715. 6, 56, 64, 67, 69, 70, 71, 87

[W2] E. Witten, *Quantum field theory and the Jones polynomial*, Comm. Math. Phys. **121** (1989), no. 3, 351–399. 32

[W3] E. Witten, *What one can hope to prove about three-dimensional gauge theory*. http://scgp.stonybrook.edu/video_portal/video.php?id=563. Talk at *Mathematical Foundations of Quantum Field Theory Workshop*, Simons Center for Geometry and Physics, January 2012. 32

[Wen] Xiao-Gang Wen, *SPT order and algebraic topology*. http://helper.ipam.ucla.edu/publications/stq2015/stq2015_12402.pdf. talk at *Symmetry and Topology in Quantum Matter*. 47

[WPS] Chong Wang, Andrew C Potter, and T Senthil, *Classification of interacting electronic topological insulators in three dimensions*, Science **343** (2014), no. 6171, 629–631. 70, 71

[WS] Chong Wang and T Senthil, *Interacting fermionic topological insulators/superconductors in 3D*, Physical Review B **89** (2014), no. 19, 195124, arXiv:1401.1142. 6, 54, 58, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73

[YWOX] Yi-Zhuang You, Zhong Wang, Jeremy Oon, and Cenke Xu, *Topological number and fermion Green's function for strongly interacting topological superconductors*, Physical Review B **90** (2014), no. 6, 060502, arXiv:1403.4938. 69

Department of Mathematics, University of Texas, Austin, TX 78712
E-mail address: dafr@math.utexas.edu

Department of Mathematics, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138
E-mail address: mjh@math.harvard.edu